

FILED
CIVIL PROCESSING

2022 JUN 10 P 2:43

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25

Date: May 27, 2022 Case: Depp, II -v- Heard

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

		7706		7708
	VIRGINIA:	ין	APPEARANCES	
	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY	2	·	1
	x]3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM	
	JOHN C. DEPP, II, :	4	DEFENDANT:	
	Plaintiff and :	5	BENJAMIN G. CHEW, ESQUIRE	
	Counterclaim Defendant, :	6	ANDREW C. CRAWFORD, ESQUIRE	
	v. : Civil Action No.:	- 7	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	•
i	AMBER LAURA HEARD, : CL-2019-0002911	la la	601 Thirteenth_Street:NW-	
	Defendant and :	9	Suite 600	
0	Counterclaim Plaintiff. :	110	Washington, D.C. 20005	
1	х	111	202.535.1700	
2	HEARING .	12		
3	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PENNEY AZCARATE	13	CAMILLE M. VASQUEZ, ESQUIRE	•
4	Fairfax, Virginia	14	SAMUEL A. MONIZ, ESQUIRE	
5	Friday, May 27, 2022	. 15	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
6	9:00 a.m. EDT .	16	2211 Michelson Drive	
7	TRIAL DAY 25	17	7th Floor	
8		18	Irvine, CA 92712	
9		19	949.440.0234	
0	Job No.: 443909	20	•	
1	Pages: 7705 - 7981	21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2	Reported by: Judith E. Bellinger, RPR, CRR	22		
	Held at:	7707	A P P E A R A N C E S C O N T I N U E D	770,9
	•	2	*	
		3	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE	
	CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY	4	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
	4110 Chain Bridge Road	15	7 Times Square	
	Courtroom 5J	16	New York, NY	
	Fairfax, Virginia 22030	7	212.209.4938	
	703.691.7320	8		•
		9	REBECCA MACDOWELL LECAROZ, ESQUIRE	
0		10	WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE	
1		11	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
2		12	One Financial Center	
3		13	Boston, MA 02111	
4		14	617.856.8149	•
5		15		
5		16	•	•
7		17	•	
8		18	1	
9		19		
0		20		
_		21		
1				
2		22		

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

	Conducted on	May 21, 2022
	7718	7712
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED	1 courtroom and the following proceedings took
2	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM	2 place.)
3	PLAINTIFF:	3 THE COURT: Thank you. You can be
4	EL LENE CHARLEON DESERVACET ECOLITE	4 seated. Thank you.
5 6	ELAINE CHARLSON BREDEHOFT, ESQUIRE	5 All right. Good morning, ladies and
7	ADAM S. NADELHAFT, ESQUIRE DAVID E. MURPHY, ESQUIRE	6 gentlemen.
8	CHARLSON BREDEHOFT COHEN BROWN &	7 All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the
9	NADELHAFT, P.C.	8 jury, you've now heard the evidence in the case.
10	11260 Roger Bacon Drive	9 Before the lawyers make their closing arguments,
11	Suite 201 .	10 the Court will instruct you on the instructions of
12	Reston, VA 20190	11 law that you are to apply in deciding this case,
13	703.318.6800	12 okay? Since it's been quite a while since I gave
14		13 the preliminary instructions, I want to remind you
15	J. BENJAMIN ROTTENBORN, ESQUIRE	14 that in closing arguments, the lawyers will refer
16	ELAINE D. McCAFFERTY, ESQUIRE	15 to the testimony and the other evidence that you
17	WOODS ROGERS PLC	16 will have heard, but what the lawyers say in their
18	18 South Jefferson Street	17 closing arguments is not itself evidence. Their
19	Suite 1400	18 statements are only their recollection of what the
20	P.O. Box 14125.	19 evidence in the case was.
21	Roanoke, VA 24011	20 It is your collective recollection, as
22	540.983.7540	21 seven jurors, of what the evidence in the case was
		22 which shall govern your deliberations. In
	7711	7713
lı .	PROCEEDINGS	1 addition, your notes should be used only as an aid
2	THE BAILIFF: All rise. Please be	2 to your memory. You should not give your notes
3 s	seated and come to order.	3 precedence over your independent recollection of
4	THE COURT: Good morning.	4 the evidence. You should rely on your independent
5	MR. CHEW: Good morning, Your Honor.	5 recollection of what the evidence in the case was,
6	MS. BREDEHOFT: Good morning.	6 and you should not be unduly influenced by the
7	THE COURT: Do you have any matters	7 notes of other jurors.
	before the jury comes out?	8 I wanted to make you aware that due to
9	MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor.	ly the high-profile nature of this case, the Court
9 10	THE COURT: That's what I like to hear.	10 has entered an order to seal the names of the
		11 jurors for a year, which is different than that
	Okay. Fantastic. Let me just take a minute to	
_	get my computer up, please, sir.	12 what I had instructed you in the beginning of the
All right. Just also, I did, for the		13 case, so I wanted to bring that to your attention,
14 ones that are going to go back to the jury, I did		14 okay? All right.
	that off the "Depp" and "Heard" on the title, so	15 As to the following jury instructions
16 it just has the numbers on the jury instructions,		16 that I'm going to give you, you'll be able to take
	okay?	17 these written jury instructions into the
18		18 deliberation room with you, so you don't need to
19	MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.	19 write down exactly what I say right now because
20	THE COURT: Okay. We're ready for the	20 you'll all have copies of them. But I would ask
_	jury.	21 for your attention while I read them to you, okay?
22	(Whereupon, the jury entered the	22 With respect to the instructions that

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

1 I'm about to give you, you may note that some of 2 them are numbered and some of them are lettered at 3 the top and that the numbers and the letters do

4 not necessarily follow any sequence or any order.

5 Do not concern yourself with that. Some might 6 even be missing, but just consider only the body

7 or text of the instruction, not the headings,

8 okay? All right.

Now, some of the instructions may
10 appear to be in conflict. This is because the
11 Court cannot predict your findings of fact;
12 therefore, the Court must instruct you, the jury,
13 on the applicable law under both the plaintiff's
14 and the defendant's theory of the case, okay? All
15 right.

16 You have been chosen and sworn as
17 jurors in this case to try the issues of fact
18 presented. You must consider and decide this case
19 fairly and impartially. You are to perform this
20 duty without bias or prejudice as to any party.
21 All persons stand equal before the law and are
22 entitled to the same treatment under the law. Our

1 testimony of a witness as you think proper.

You are entitled to use your common sense in judging any testimony. From these things and all the other circumstances of the case, you may determine which witnesses are more believable and weigh their testimony accordingly.

You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy, bias, guesswork, or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely 10 upon the evidence and instructions of the Court.

11 Your verdict must be based on the facts
12 as you find them and on the law contained in all
13 of these instructions. With respect to Plaintiff
14 John C. Depp II's claims against Defendant Amber
15 Laura Heard, the issues in this case are
16 summarized below.

17 Number 1: Whether Ms. Heard made or 18 published any of the following statements:

19 A. "Amber Heard: I spoke up against 20 sexual violence and faced our culture's wrath. 21 That has to change."

B. "Then two years ago, I became a

7717

1 system of law does not permit jurors to be 2 governed by sympathy, prejudice, or public

3 opinion. Both of the parties and the public

4 expect that you will carefully and impartially

5 consider all the evidence in the case, follow the

6 law as stated by the Court, and reach a just 7 verdict regardless of the consequences.

You are the judges of the facts, the credibility of the witnesses, and the weight of the evidence. You may consider the appearance and

11 manner of the witnesses on the stand; their 12 intelligence; their opportunity for knowing the

13 truth and for having observed the things about

14 which they testified; their interest in the

15 outcome of the case; their bias; and, if any have

16 been shown, their prior inconsistent statements; 17 or whether they have knowingly testified

18 untruthfully as to any material fact in the case.

19 You may not arbitrarily disregard 20 believable testimony of a witness. However, after 21 you have considered all the evidence in the case,

22 then you may accept or discard all or part of the

public figure representing domestic abuse, and I
felt the full force of our culture's wrath for
women who speak out."

4 C. "I had the rare vantage point of seeing, in real time, how institutions protect men 6 accused of abuse."

Number 2: Do any of Ms. Heard's statements imply or insinuate anything about Mr. Depp?

Number 3: Were Ms. Heard's statements 11 seen by anyone other than Mr. Depp?

Number 4: Did Ms. Heard's statements
13 convey a defamatory implication to someone who saw
14 them other than Mr. Depp?

Number 5: Are the implications or 16 insinuations about Mr. Depp in Ms. Heard's 17 statements false?

Number 6: Did Ms. Heard make the 19 statements with actual malice?

Number 7: If Mr. Depp is entitled to 21 recover, what is the amount of Mr. Depp's damages?

On these issues, Mr. Depp has the

PLANET DEPOS

7715

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

7720 1 burden of proof. 1 Mr. Depp on his claim of defamation about the Your decisions on these issues must be 2 following statement if he has proved by the greater weight of the evidence that: governed by the instructions that follow. With respect to Ms. Heard's claims Number 1: Ms. Heard made or published against Mr. Depp, the issues in this case are the following statement: "Amber Heard: I spoke summarized below. up against sexual violence and faced our culture's wrath. That has to change"; and Number 1: Did Adam Waldman, while 8 acting as an agent for Mr. Depp, make the 8 - Number 2: The statement was about 9 following statements: 9 Mr. Depp; and 10 A. "Amber Heard and her friends in the 10 Number 3: The statement is false; and 11 media use fake sexual violence allegations as both Number 4. The statement has a 11 12 defamatory implication about Mr. Depp; and 12 a sword and shield, depending on their needs. Number 5: The defamatory implication 13 They have selected some of her sexual violence 14 hoax 'facts' as a sword, inflicting them on the 14 was designed and intended by Ms. Heard; and Number 6: Due to the circumstances 15 public and Mr. Depp"; B. "Quite simply this was an ambush, a 16 surrounding the publication of this statement, it 17 hoax. They set Mr. Depp up by calling the cops, 17 conveyed a defamatory implication to someone who 18 saw it other than Mr. Depp; and 18 but the first attempt didn't do the trick. The 19 officers came to the penthouses, thoroughly If Mr. Depp further proved by clear and 20 searched and interviewed, and left after seeing no 20 convincing evidence; 21 Number 7, that Ms. Heard made the 21 damage to face or property. So Amber and her 22 statement with actual malice. 22 friends spilled a little wine and roughed the 7719 7721 1 place up, got their stories straight under the If Mr. Depp failed to prove any one or 2 more of the seven elements above, then you shall 2 direction of a lawyer and publicist, and then find your verdict for Ms. Heard with respect to 3 placed a second call to 911"; and 4 the above statement. C. "We have reached the beginning of 5 the end of Ms. Heard's abuse hoax against Johnny You shall only return your verdict for Depp." 6 Mr. Depp on his claim for defamation about the Number 2: Are any of the statements. 7 following statement if he has proved by the about Ms. Heard? greater weight of evidence that: Number 3: Were any of statements seen Number 1: Ms. Heard made or published 10 the following statement: "Then two years ago, I 10 by someone other than Ms. Heard? 11 Number 4: Are any of the statements 11 became a public figure representing domestic 12 false? 12 abuse, and I felt the full force of our culture's 13 13 wrath for women who speak out"; and Number 5: Were any of the statements Number 2: The statement was about 14 made with actual malice? Number 6: If Ms. Heard is entitled to 15 Mr. Depp; and 16 recover, what is the amount of Ms. Heard's 16 Number 3: The statement is false; and 17 damages? 17 Number 4: The statement has a 18 On these issues, Ms. Heard has the 18 defamatory implication about Mr. Depp; and

PLANET DEPOS

19

19 burden of proof.

Your decisions on these issues must be

You shall only return your verdict for

21 governed by the instructions that follow.

Number 5: The defamatory implication

Number 6: Due to the circumstances

20 was designed and intended by Ms. Heard; and

22 surrounding the publication of this statement, it

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

conveyed a defamatory implication to someone who
 saw it other than Mr. Depp; and

If Mr. Depp further proved by clear and convincing evidence, Number 7, that Ms. Heard made the statement with actual malice.

6 If Mr. Depp failed to prove any one or 7 more of the seven elements above, then you shall 8 find your verdict for Ms. Heard with respect to 9 the above statement.

10 You shall only return your verdict for 11 Mr. Depp on his claim for defamation about the 12 following statement if he has proved by the 13 greater weight of the evidence that:

Number 1: Ms. Heard made or published 15 the following statement: "I had the rare vantage 16 point of seeing, in real time, how institutions 17 protect men accused of abuse," and

18 Number 2: The statement was about 19 Mr. Depp; and

20 Number 3: The statement is false; and

Number 4: The statement has a 22 defamatory implication about Mr. Depp; and

Number 5: The defamatory implication was designed and intended by Ms. Heard; and Number 6: Due to the circumstances

4 surrounding the publication of this statement, it5 conveyed a defamatory implication to someone who

6 saw it other than Mr. Depp; and

7 If Mr. Depp further proved by clear and 8 convincing evidence;

Number 7, that Ms. Heard made the 10 statement with actual malice.

11 If Mr. Depp failed to prove any one or 12 more of the seven elements above, then you shall 13 find your verdict for Ms. Heard with respect to 14 the above statement.

You shall only return your verdict for 16 Ms. Heard on her claim for defamation about the 17 following statement if she has proved by the 18 greater weight of the evidence that:

Number 1: Adam Waldman, while acting 20 as an agent for Mr. Depp, made or published the 21 following statement: "Amber Heard and her friends 22 in the media use fake sexual violence allegations

as both a sword and shield, depending on their
 needs. They have selected some of her sexual
 violence hoax 'facts' as the sword, inflicting
 them on the public and Mr. Depp"; and

Number 2: The statement is about 5 Ms. Heard: and

Number 3: The statement was seen by someone other than Ms. Heard; and

9 Number 4: The statement is false; and

10 If Ms. Heard further proved by clear 11 and convincing evidence that the statement by 12 Mr. Waldman was made with actual malice.

13 If Ms. Heard failed to prove any one or 14 more of the five elements above, then you shall 15 find your verdict for Mr. Depp with respect to the 16 above statement.

17 You shall only return your verdict for 18 Ms. Heard on her claim for defamation about the 19 following statement if she has proved by the 20 greater weight of the evidence that:

Number 1: Adam Waldman, while acting 22 as an agent for Mr. Depp, made or published the

77.23 | 77. 1 following statement: "Quite simply this was an

2 ambush, a hoax. They set Mr. Depp up by calling

3 the cops but the first attempt didn't do the

4 trick. The officers came to the penthouses,

5 thoroughly searched and interviewed, and left

6 after seeing no damage to face or property. So

7 Amber and her friends spilled a little wine and 8 roughed the place up, got their stories straight

9 under the direction of a lawyer and a publicist,

10 and then placed a second call to 911"; and 11 Number 2: The statement is about

12 Ms. Heard; and

Number 3: The statement was seen by 14 someone other than Ms. Heard; and

Number 4: The statement is false; and

16 If Ms. Heard further proved by clear 17 and convincing evidence, Number 5, that the 18 statement by Mr. Waldman was made with actual 19 malice.

20 If Ms. Heard failed to prove any one or 21 more of the five elements above, then you shall 22 find your verdict for Mr. Depp with respect to the

7729

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

1 above statement.

You shall only return your verdict for 3 Ms. Heard on her claim for defamation about the 4 following statement if she has proved by the greater weight of the evidence that:

Number 1: Adam Waldman, while acting as an agent for Mr. Depp, made or published the 8 following statement: "We have reached the 9 beginning of the end of Ms. Heard's abuse hoax 10 against Johnny Depp"; and

Number 2: The statement is about 12 Ms. Heard; and

Number 3: The statement was seen by 14 someone other than Ms. Heard; and

15 Number 4: The statement is false; and

16 If Ms. Heard further proved by clear 17 and convincing evidence, Number 5, that the 18 statement by Mr. Waldman was made with actual 19 malice.

. If Ms. Heard failed to prove any one or 20 21 more of the five elements above, then you shall 22 find your verdict for Mr. Depp with respect to the

1 the words used are defamatory and relate to

2 Mr. Depp. However, such circumstances cannot

extend the meaning of the words used in the op-ed

beyond their ordinary and common meaning. The

proposed implication must be reasonably drawn from

the words actually used.

In determining whether any of the 8 statements in the op-ed are false and defamatory as to Mr. Depp, you must read the statements in 10 the context of the op-ed as a whole. This means 11 you may not seize on any one word, phrase, or 12 image or consider only one particular statement, 13 phrase, or passage in isolation.

. In determining whether any of 15 Mr. Waldman's statements are false and defamatory, 16 you must read those statements in context as a 17 whole. This means you may not seize on any one 18 word, phrase, or image or consider only one 19 particular statement, phrase, or passage in 20 isolation.

Both parties have the burden of proving 22 actual malice by clear and convincing evidence.

1 above statement.

When used in these instructions, the 3 phrase "the greater weight of the evidence," also 4 sometimes called the "preponderance of the 5 evidence," means the evidence which you find more 6 persuasive when evaluated against all the evidence 7 that has been admitted in the case. The testimony 8 of one witness whom you believe can be the greater 9 weight of the evidence.

When a party has the burden of proving 11 any issue by clear and convincing evidence, he or 12 she must produce evidence that creates in your 13 minds a firm belief or conviction that he or she 14 has proved the issue.

A statement that is not directly 15 16 defamatory may nonetheless suggest a defamatory 17 meaning in an indirect way. That is, by 18 implication. In determining whether any of the 19 statements have a defamatory implication, you must 20 consider the op-ed as a whole and the 21 circumstances surrounding its publication. You 22 should consider if these circumstances show that

1 To meet this burden, each party must prove by 2 .clear and convincing evidence that each statement was made or published, Number 1, with knowledge that the statement was false; or

Number 2: So recklessly as to amount to a willful disregard for the truth. That is with a high degree of awareness that the statement was probably false.

Actual malice is a subjective analysis 10 that looks to the state of mind of the person who 11 made the statement. If the person who made the 12 statement believed it was substantially accurate 13 at the time of its publication, then it does not 14 give rise to liability for defamation.

The term "actual malice" should not be 16 confused with the more common meaning of the word 17 "malice," such as "ill will" or "hatred." Actual 18 malice is not established merely because an author 19 was motivated by ill will, prejudice, hostility, 20 hatred, contempt, or even a desire to injure 21 another.

22 In order to find that Ms. Heard

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

7730

1 republished the original op-ed including the 2 headline through a tweet on December 19th, 2018, 3 you must find that she retransmitted the 4 defamatory material or redistributed the material 5 with the goal of reaching a new audience. Stated 6 differently, republication occurs when the speaker 7 has affirmatively reiterated the statement. A 8 hyperlink directing readers to a previous article 9 on the same web site does not direct the previous

10 article to a new audience. Merely linking to an 11 article does not amount to republication, but 12 adding content to a linked article may constitute 13 republication.

14 You must determine whether any added 15 content was intended to reach a new audience. If 16 you find any content added to the hyperlink was 17 intended to reach a new audience, it constitutes a 18 republication. Further, if you find a 19 republication occurred on December 19th, 2018, 20 then in order to find for Depp against Ms. Heard, 21 you must also find by clear and convincing 22 evidence that Ms. Heard made this republication

1 assume the burden of convincing you of the truth 2 of the statements. The burden remains on each party to prove that the statements he or she complains of are false.

The rules of evidence ordinarily do not 6 permit witnesses to testify as to their opinions or conclusions. An exception to this rule exists. 8 as to those whom we call expert witnesses. 9 Witnesses who, by education and experience, have 10 become expert in some art, science, profession, or 11 calling may state their opinions as to relevant 12 and material matters in which they profess to be 13 expert and may also state their reasons for the 14 opinion.

You should consider each expert opinion 16 received in evidence of this case and give it such 17 weight as you may think it deserves. If you 18 should decide that the opinions of an expert 19 witness is not based upon sufficient education and 20 experience or if you should conclude that the 21 reasons given in support of the opinion are not 22 sound or if you feel that it is outweighed by

7731

1 with actual malice.

12

A principle is a person or a legal entity with power or right to control the means 4 and methods of performance by which another 5 persons performs the principal's work.

An agent is a person who is subject to 7 the power or right of a principal to control the 8 means and methods of performing the work.

An attorney has the express authority 10 to do everything which the client expressly 11 authorized him to do and the implied authority to 12 do everything necessary or incidental to the 13 purpose of which he was retained.

14 Ms. Heard has the burden of proving by 15 the greater weight of the evidence that 16 Mr. Waldman was an agent of Mr. Depp, and that 17 Mr. Waldman was acting within the scope of his 18 agency when he made the statements.

19 You must remember that there is no 20 burden on either party to prove the truth of any 21 of the statements. Both parties were free to 22 offer proof of truth, but by doing so, they do not

1 other evidence, you may disregard the opinion 2 entirely.

3 . In considering the weight to be given 4 to the testimony of the competitor witnesses whose 5 opinions differ, you may consider the ability and 6 character of the witnesses, their actions upon the witness stand, the weight and process of the 8 reasoning by which they support their opinion, 9 their possible bias in favor of the side for which 10 they testify, their relative opportunities for 11 study or observations of the matters about which 12 they testify, and any other matters which serve to 13 illuminate their statements.

In considering the weight to be given 15 testimony of expert witnesses, you should consider 16 the basis for his or her opinion and the manner by 17 which he or she arrived at it and the underlying 18 facts and data upon which he or she relied.

19 An expert witness was asked whether 20 statements contained in published treatises, 21 periodicals, or pamphlets are reliable authority 22 of a type normally relied upon by others in his or

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

7733

7.732

7737

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

1 her field of expertise. This evidence is 2 permitted to test the knowledge, accuracy, and 3 opinions of the expert witness, and if such 4 evidence is established as a reliable authority by 5 expert testimony, it may be considered by you 6 along with the other evidence in the case.

In considering the evidence, you are 8 not limited to the bald statements of the 9 witnesses. In other words, you are not limited 10 solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses 11 testify. On the contrary, you are permitted to 12 draw from the facts which you find to have been 13 proven such reasonable inferences as may seem 14 justified in light of your experience.

During the trial of this case, certain 15 16 testimony has been presented to you by way of 17 video depositions. You should give this testimony 18 the same consideration as to its weight and 19 credibility as you give to the testimony of 20 witnesses who testified here in court. You must 21 not discount any testimony merely because it was 22 shown to you by video recording.

1 is not evidence that what the witness previously 2 said was true.

If you believe from the evidence that Ms. Heard or Mr. Depp previously made a statement inconsistent with her or his testimony at this trial, that previous statement may be considered by you as evidence that what Ms. Heard or Mr. Depp previously said was true.

9 In reaching your verdict in this case, 10 you are to consider the testimony and evidence. 11 Sometimes attorneys stated objections during the 12 course of this trial and instructed the witness 13 not to answer a question. Objections and 14 instructions to witnesses are not evidence and you 15 may not consider them in reaching your verdict. 16 Nor are you to draw any inference from the fact 17 that an objection was made. You must not consider 18 any matter that was rejected or stricken by the 19 Court. It is not evidence and should be 20 discarded.

Any amount of damages requested by a 22 party is not evidence in this case. You should

7735

When one of the parties testifies 2 unequivocally to the facts within his or her own 3 knowledge, those statements of fact and the 4 necessary inferences from them are binding upon 5 him or her. He or she cannot rely on other 6 evidence in conflict with his or her own testimony 7 to strengthen his or her own case; however, you 8 must consider his or her testimony as a whole. 9 And you must consider a statement made in one part 10 of his or her testimony in the light of any 11 explanation or clarification made elsewhere in his 12 or her testimony. 13 Any fact that may be proved by direct

16 inferences and deductions from the evidence. 17 If you believe from the case that a 18 witness other than Mr. Depp or Ms. Heard 19 previously made a statement inconsistent with his 20 or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose 21 for which that statement may be considered by you 22 is its bearing on the witness's credibility. It

14 evidence may be proved by circumstantial evidence.

15 That is you may draw all reasonable and legitimate

1 not consider it as evidence in arriving at your 2 verdict.

3 If you find your verdict for Mr. Depp 4 or Ms. Heard, then he or she is entitled to 5 recover compensatory damages without any proof of 6 actual or pecuniary injury. As a result, injury 7 to Mr. Depp or Ms. Heard's personal and business 8 reputation, humiliation and embarrassment is 9 presumed. If you find either party liable for 10 defamation, then in determining the actual 11 amount -- determining the amount of damages to 12 which the opposing party is entitled, you may take 13 into consideration all the circumstances 14 surrounding the defamatory statements, the 15 occasions on which they were made, and the extent 16 of their publication; the nature and character of 17 the insult; the probable effect on those who heard 18 the statements, and their probable and natural 19 effect upon the defamed party's personal feelings 20 and upon his or her standing in the community and 21 in business. Your verdict should be for the 22 amount that will fully and fairly compensate him

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

1 or her for: Number 1, any loss or injury to his 2 or her business; number 2, any insult to him or 3 her including any pain, embarrassment, humiliation 4 or mental suffering; number 3, any injury to his 5 or her reputation; and number 4, any actual 6 out-of-pocket losses that were caused by the statements. Mr. Depp cannot recover damages for 8 any harm that occurred after November 2nd, 2020. 9 Ms. Heard can recover damages for any harm that 10 occurred after Mr. Waldman's statements were 11 published. 12 The burden is on the party seeking 13 damages to prove by the greater weight of the 14 evidence each item of damages he or she claims and 15 to prove that each item was caused by the

16 defamatory statements at issue. Neither party is 17 required to prove the exact amount of damages, but 18 the party must show sufficient facts and 19 circumstances to permit you to make a réasonable 20 estimate of each item. If either party fails to 21 do so, then he or she cannot recover for that 22 item. 7739

If you have found by clear and convincing evidence that the statements in the op-ed were made with knowledge that they were 4 false or so recklessly as to amount to willful 5 disregard for the truth, that is with a high 6 degree of awareness that the statements were 7 probably false, then you may award punitive 8 damages to punish Ms. Heard for such actions and 9 to serve as an example to prevent others from 10 making such statement in the future. You must 11 state separately in your verdict any amount you 12 allow as compensatory damages, if any, and the 13 amount you allow as punitive damages. 14 If you have found by clear and 15 convincing evidence that Mr. Waldman, while acting 15 courtroom listening to testimony and looking at 16 as an agent for Mr. Depp, made the statements with 17 knowledge that they were false or so recklessly as 18 to amount to willful disregard for the truth, that 19 is with a high degree of awareness that the 20 statements were probably false, then you may award 21 punitive damages to punish Mr. Depp for his such 22 actions and to serve as an example to prevent

1 others from making such statements in the future. 2 You must state separately in your verdict any amount that you allow as compensatory damages, if any, and the amount you allow as punitive damages. 5 All right. Closing arguments. 6 MS. VASQUEZ: Good morning. Good 7 morning. 8 THE COURT: There you go. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Good morning. 10 THE COURT: No, you had it for a 11 second. 12 MS. VASQUEZ: Good morning. Good 13 morning. Thank you. Try that again. Good morning. On May 27th, 2016, 15 Ms. Heard walked into a courthouse in Los Angeles, 16 California to get a no notice ex parte restraining 17 order against Mr. Depp, and in doing so, ruined 18 his life by falsely telling the world that she was 19 a survivor of domestic abuse at the hands of 20 Mr. Depp.

21 Today, on May 27th, 2022, exactly 22 six years later, we ask you to give Mr. Depp his

1 life back by telling the world that Mr. Depp is 2 not the abuser Ms. Heard said he is, and hold Ms. Heard accountable for her lies.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, as you probably know by now, my name is Camille Vasquez. On behalf of Mr. Depp and all my colleagues at Brown Rudnick, I want to thank you 8 deeply for your time and your service over these 9 last seven weeks.

10 We understand that it probably has not 11 been convenient for you to be here every day, and 12 we are so grateful for your time and careful 13 consideration of the evidence as you deliberate.

After weeks of sitting in this 14 16 evidence, now it's time for you, the jury, to come 17 to a decision. You have been entrusted with a 18 serious task. What is at stake in this trial is a 19 man's good name. Even more than that, what is at 20 stake at this trial is a man's life, the life that 21 he lost when he was accused of a heinous crime, 22 and the life he can live when he is finally

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1 vindicated. Exactly six years ago today, on 2 May 27th, 2016, Ms. Heard walked into court and 3 filed a false report of domestic abuse against her 4 husband of 15 months, Johnny Depp.

The scene was a setup. She tipped off 6 the paparazzi so they would be waiting. They knew 7 exactly where she would pause, which side of her 8 face to photograph, and the photos captured what 9 she wanted them to see. The image of a battered 10 woman. But what the paparazzi did not know is 11 that the dark mark on her face mysteriously 12 appeared six days after last seeing Mr. Depp. It 13 was a lie. She knew it. Mr. Depp knew it. And 14 the multiple witnesses you heard from who saw her 15 that week of May 21st, 2016, also knew it. But 16 the world only saw what she wanted them to see. 17 Two years later, when promoting the 18 biggest role of her career, until this trial, 19 Ms. Heard presented herself to the world as a 20 public figure representing domestic abuse. The 21 headline of the op-ed featured the term "sexual 22 violence," even though she had never before

1 accused her ex-husband of such a heinous crime. 2 Ms. Heard and her lawyers like to remind you of 3 how the op-ed did not mention Mr. Depp by name, 4 but Ms. Heard made sure that there would be no 5 mistake about who she was referring to. She 6 inserted "two years ago" so the world would 7 remember the photo of a battered woman, the mark 8 on her face standing outside the courthouse. And 9 they would once again see Mr. Depp as the villain, 10 this time, in full swing of the #MeToo movement. 11 But what was happening behind closed doors was 12 quite different from what Ms. Heard presented to 13 the world, the exact opposite, in fact. There is 14 an abuser in this courtroom, but it is not 15 Mr. Depp. And there is a victim of domestic abuse 16 in this courtroom, but it is not Ms. Heard. The 17 evidence presented at this trial has shown that 18 Ms. Heard is, in fact, the abuser, and Mr. Depp 19 the abused.

As you heard from Mr. Depp and multiple 21 other witnesses that testified under oath at this 22 trial, Mr. Depp experienced persistent verbal,

physical, and emotional abuse by Ms. Heard duringtheir relationship.

And when their relationship was over,

4 Ms. Heard inflicted the greatest and cruelest
5 injury of all, she publicly and falsely named
6 Mr. Depp as the abuser. Ms. Heard never thought
7 she would be held accountable. Never thought that
8 she would have to face her abuser. She never
9 thought she would never -- have her supposed
10 mountain of evidence vetted. She never thought
11 that Mr. Depp would tell you, the jury and the
12 world, that he was the real victim of domestic

14 (Whereupon the following audio 15 recording was played.)

13 abuse. She said it in her own words.

MS. HEARD: You can tell people it was 17 a fair fight. And see what the judge -- see what 18 the jury and judge think. Tell the world, Johnny. 19 Tell them, Johnny Depp, I, Johnny Depp, a man, I 20 am a victim, too, of domestic violence.

21 MR. DEPP: Yes.

22

7743

MS. HEARD: And I know it's a fair

7745

1 fight. And see how these people believe or side 2 with you.

3 (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.)
4 MS. VASQUEZ: At the start of this
5 case, Mr. Chew and I stood before you to give you
6 our opening statements on behalf of Mr. Depp.
7 During that statement, we made promises to you
8 about what the evidence would ultimately show at

9 the end of this trial. We've kept those promises.

10 One of those promises we made was that you would 11 come to understand who Ms. Heard is. That she is 12 a deeply troubled person. Violently afraid of 13 abandonment. Desperate for attention and

14 approval. And in her relationship with Mr. Depp, 15 she was violent, she was abusive, and she was 16 cruel.

17 You heard from Dr. Shannon Curry who 18 explained that Ms. Heard suffers from borderline 19 personality disorder and histrionic personality 20 disorder. These are disorders that are 21 characterized by anger, sometimes uncontrollable 22 and explosive anger, and a powerful, sometimes

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM 1 desperate, need for attention, acceptance, and

- 2 approval. Fear of abandonment is the deepest
- 3 fear. A person with these disorders will suffer
- 4 from dramatically fluctuating moods and can
- 5 sometimes be violent and aggressive. They can
- 6 also be charming and likeable, but they can be
- 7 incredibly manipulative and wild. An emotional
- 8 rollercoaster with wild swings, from idolizing
- 9 their partner to devaluing him. In fact, you saw
- 10 that when she completed testing with her own
- 11 forensic psychology expert, Dr. Dawn Hughes.
- 12 Ms. Heard self-reported that she felt like she had
- 13 three or four different personalities and that
- 14 sometimes her temper would explode and she would 14 there's yelling or anything. You split in many --15 completely lose control.
- You heard from multiple witnesses that 16 17 Ms. Heard can be very pleasant, even charming, but 18 you also heard from multiple witnesses and in 19 audio recordings of Ms. Heard that she can be 20 incredibly aggressive, violent, and cruel.
- 21 You heard that even from her former 22 assistant, Kate James. Ms. James testified that

1 Mr. Depp's greatest crime, in her mind, the fault

- 2 she confronts him with time and time again is that
- he splits. He leaves the fight, especially when
- 4 Ms. Heard gets physical, and she couldn't stand it
- 5 when he did. You heard from Ms. Heard yourselves
- 6 and audio recordings how upsetting it was to her when Mr. Depp would split.
- 18 (Whereupon, the following audio 9 recording was played.)
- MS. HEARD: Anytime anything goes
- 11 wrong, you split. I feel like it's the first
- 12 thing. And it's unnecessary. It's not always
- 13 you're splitting because there's blows or because
- 15 most times when I'm still speaking in this volume 16 and nothing has been thrown or hit or anything.
- (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.)
- MS. VASQUEZ: You saw the barrage of 19 text messages she would send when he would leave, 20 calling him a monster for doing so.
- You heard from witnesses like Travis 22 McGivern, Tara Roberts, and Debbie Lloyd, that

7747

- 1 Ms. Heard would scream, yell, and send her
- 2 barrages of text messages. In fact, Ms. Heard
- 3 testified that -- Ms. James testified that
- Ms. Heard literally spat in her face.
- You heard from Ms. Heard's former best
- 6 friend Rocky Pennington, that Ms. Heard once hit
- 7 her when Ms. Pennington did not do her best to
- 8 meet Ms. Heard's needs.
- You also heard from Beverly Leonard, 10 that she once witnessed Ms. Heard assault her then
- 11 partner in the Seattle airport in 2009. And you
- 12 heard from Mr. Depp, that Ms. Heard used her
- 13 sister, Whitney, as a punching bag, literally and 14 figuratively.
- 15 You also heard overwhelming evidence 16 from Mr. Depp and the people that were around him
- 17 and Ms. Heard during the relationship, that 18 Mr. Depp suffered persistent verbal and physical
- 19 abuse at the hands of Ms. Heard. You heard
- 20 evidence from Dr. Curry and the fact witnesses at 21 this trial, that Ms. Heard's deepest fear is one
- 22 of abandonment, being left by Mr. Depp.

- 1 Ms. Heard would claw Mr. Depp, block the elevator,
- 2 throw a punch when Mr. Depp tried to separate
- 3 himself from the fight. And you heard from
- 4 Mr. Depp and Ms. Heard's couple therapist,
- 5 Dr. Anderson, that Ms. Heard reported that when 6 Mr. Depp would leave to deescalate a fight, she
- would strike him to keep him there. She would
- rather be in a fight than have him leave.
- You heard from Mr. Depp about how often
- 10 Ms. Heard would berate him, insult him and
- 11 physically attack him, including one of the most 12 serious occasions when Ms. Heard threw a vodka
- 13 bottle at Mr. Depp severely injuring his finger
- 14 and then to put a cigarette out on his face.
- 15 You heard from Mr. Depp that this whole 16 incident in Australia started because Ms. Heard
- 17 was enraged that Mr. Depp wanted a postnuptial
- 18 agreement and she wasn't in his will. Just a 19 month after getting married.
- 20 When her husband was seriously injured 21 and bleeding from a partially amputated finger, 22 what did Ms. Heard do? She pursued him. She kept

7753

1 coming after him because that's what she does. She wouldn't stop. (Whereupon, the following audio 4 recording was played.) MR. DEPP: It's not to get you mad. 6 It's just to get -- it's just to get out of a bad situation that is happening before it gets worse. In Australia, when we had the big fight 9 where I lost the tip of my finger, at least five 10 bathrooms and two bedrooms I went to, to, to --MS. HEARD: To avoid talking to me. To 12 avoid working it out. That's the problem. MR. DEPP: To escape the fight. 13 14 MS. HEARD: You don't escape the fight. 15 You escape the solution. You escape the solution. 16 You escape figuring it out. We cannot work it out 17 if you run away to the bathroom every time. (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.) 18 19 MS. VASQUEZ: You heard Mr. Depp 20 testify he went through something like a nervous

1 Connolly, another security member, who testified he saw Ms. Heard throw things at Mr. Depp, a cigarette lighter on a plane, a soda can from upstairs at the Eastern Columbia Building. He never saw marks on Ms. Heard. But he saw marks on Mr. Depp, scratches, bruises on his left eye, scratches on his left ear. And he took pictures documenting injuries, like this one. 9 You also heard from Tara Roberts, a 10 manager at Mr. Depp's island who witnessed 11 Ms. Heard tell Mr. Depp that he was washed-up and 12 would die fat and lonely. 13 You also heard from Ms. Roberts that 14 she witnessed Ms. Heard clawing and grabbing at 15 Mr. Depp, grabbing his hair, trying to pull him 16 back to her, and Mr. Depp had a visible injury to 17 his nose on that occasion.

Most importantly, you heard from

20 recordings, admitting to being physically violent

21 with Mr. Depp. You heard Ms. Heard admit to

19 Ms. Heard yourselves, on multiple audio

22 hitting Mr. Depp.

7751

1 Ms. Heard do as her husband was bleeding from 2 serious injury? She wrote snarky messages right 3 back to him. She denied it under oath to you, but 4 the evidence that you saw speaks for itself. You heard from Mr. Depp's security, 6 Sean Bett, who was aware of constant arguing and 7 bickering. He witnessed Ms. Heard calling 8 Mr. Depp names, fat ass, fuck you, Johnny. 9 Mr. Bett testified that he saw and documented 10 injuries on Mr. Depp. a swollen eyelid in March of 11 2015, cuts on his nose, scratch marks on his chin 12 in December of 2015.

21 breakdown that day, and he wrote a lot of things

22 on mirrors and other places. Again, what did

And you heard from Travis McGivern, who 14 testified that Ms. Heard called Mr. Depp names 15 like washed-up, fucking cunt, and worst of all, a 16 fucking deadbeat dad. Ms. Heard would take the 17 low blows and hit him where it hurts, his 18 children. Mr. McGivern told you that on 19 March 23rd, 2015, Ms. Heard threw things at 20 Mr. Depp, spat at him, and ultimately punched him 21 in the face, leaving him with a shiner. You heard testimony from Malcolm

22

(Whereupon, the following audio recording was played.) 3 MR. DEPP: And then you fucking clocked 4 me. 5 MS. HEARD: I remember hitting you as a response to the door thing. And I'm really sorry about hitting you with the door or hitting your head. I did not mean to nor --MR. DEPP: You didn't mean to hit me in 10 the head with the door, but you meant to --11 · MS. HEARD: I didn't --12 MR. DEPP: -- punch me in the jaw? 13 MS. HEARD: I meant to hit you. And I 14 did not do this thing with the door. I do 15 remember I did mean to hit you. 16 MR. DEPP: So that you didn't mean? MS. HEARD: The door? No. God, no. I 17 18 didn't -- I --19 MR. DEPP: But punching me in the jaw 20 you did?

MS. HEARD: Okay. I'm sorry I hit you.

22 I did mean to hit you, but it was in response. I

Conducted on May 27, 2022				
7754	7756			
1 just reacted in response to my foot, I just	MR. DEPP: Yeah, you did. So I had to			
2 reacted.	2 get the fuck out of here.			
(Whereupon, the audio recording ended.)	3 MS. HEARD: Yes, you did. So you did			
4 MS. VASQUEZ: You heard her admit it	4 the right thing, the big thing. You know what?			
5 again and claim Mr. Depp was a baby for	5 You are admirable.			
6 complaining about the abuse.	6 (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.)			
7 (Whereupon, the following audio	7 MS. VASQUEZ: Take a minute to really			
8 recording was played.)	8 think about what you just heard there. "I'm sorry			
9 MR. DEPP: And I watched you lie. And	9 that I didn't hit you across the face in a proper			
10 then I	10 slap, but I was hitting you. I was not punching.			
11 MS. HEARD: I didn't punch you. I	11 Babe, you're not punched." Imagine that was			
12 didn't punch you, by the way. I'm sorry, that I	12 Mr. Depp saying that to Ms. Heard on that			
13 didn't hit you across the face in a proper slap,	13 recording.			
14 but I was hitting you. I was not punching you.	14 Let's play one more.			
15 Babe, you're not punched.	(Whereupon, the following audio			
MR. DEPP: Don't tell me what it feels	16 recording was played.)			
17 like to be punched.	MR. DEPP: That fake laugh. That fake			
18 MS. HEARD: You know, you've been in a	18 laugh is too much to take.			
19 lot of fights. You've been around a long time. I	MS. HEARD: No. I'm sure she's			
20 know, yeah.	20 MR. DEPP: That fake laugh. It's so			
21 MR. DEPP: No. When you fucking have a	21 disgusting.			
22 closed fist.	22 MS. HEARD: I'm sure she's great. I'm			
7755	7757			
1 MS. HEARD: You didn't get punched.	1 sure she's great.			
2 You got hit. I'm sorry, I hit you like this, but	2 MR. DEPP: I think this may be some of			
3 I did not punch you. I did not fucking deck you.	3 the best performances of your life. Fucking			
4 I fucking was hitting you. I don't know what the	4 tragedy.			
5 full motion of my actual hand was, but you're	5 MS. HEARD: No, no, no, no. You're			
6 fine. I did not hurt you. I did not punch you.	6 right. I don't. It's all about performing for			
7 I was hitting you.	7 you.			
8 MR. DEPP: How are your toes?	8 MR. DEPP: Performing for me? Oh,			
9 MS. HEARD: What am I supposed to do,	9 Amber, I don't regret. I don't regret.			
10 do this?	MS. HEARD: Oh, what else? What else			
11 MR. DEPP: How are your toes?	11 don't you			
MS. HEARD: I'm not sitting here	12 MR. DEPP: I don't regret it.			
13 bitching about it, am I? You are. That's the	MS. HEARD: Oh, god. Come on. Lay it			
14 difference between me and you. You're a fucking	14 on me. What else? What else other thing do you			
15 baby.	15 want to add?			
MR. DEPP: Because you start	MR. DEPP: I can't talk to you when you			
MS. HEARD: You are such a baby. Grow	17 sound like Fozzie Bear.			
18 the fuck up, Johnny.	MS. HEARD: You fucking lying piece of			
19 MR. DEPP: Did you start a physical	19 shit.			
20 fight?	20 MR. DEPP: That's a kids' show.			
21 MS. HEARD: I did start a physical	21 MS. HEARD: Oh, no. I want to know.			
22 fight.	22 MR. DEPP: Get out.			

MS. HEARD: I want to know. MR. DEPP: Get out. Your Uber is out 12 there. 4 MS. HEARD: I'm kinda waiting. Then go 5 get it. MR. DEPP: Yeah. 6 MS. HEARD: Wait, is there no other 8 place for you to run in your 15 other houses, to 9 go run? Come on. Go be a real married man and go 10 deal with your shit the way that a man does. Go 11 run to the next house. Every man does. Go on, 12 run away. 13 (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.) 14 MS. VASQUEZ: This is the real 15 Ms. Heard. The one in the audio recording, not 16 the one you saw in this courtroom. 17 What you didn't hear on a single 18 recording you heard in this case, and there were 19 many played by both parties, is Mr. Depp ever 20 admitting to hitting, punching, or kicking 21 Ms. Heard. You didn't hear it. It doesn't exist. 22 It didn't happen. And despite the fact that

2 next morning, he learned that someone had left feces on his bed. Ms. Heard denies responsibility but she admitted to the prank to Starling Jenkins. You heard him testify to that effect. 6 For almost a month after that, they didn't see each other. And you heard from 8 Mr. Depp that when his mother died on May 20th, 9 2016, he was all set to leave Ms. Heard. He was 10 done. And when Ms. Heard learned that 11 12 Mr. Depp was done, that she was really losing him, 13 she went on the attack. She filed for divorce 14 before Mr. Depp could. Then, as you heard from 15 Mr. Depp's divorce lawyer, Laura Wasser, Ms. Heard 16 sent a letter demanding financial support. And 17 when her demands weren't met, Ms. Heard struck a 18 blow against Mr. Depp that was more damaging than 19 any physical blow she had ever landed. When she 20 walked into court, six years ago today, on 21 May 27th, 2016, to get a domestic violence 22 restraining order against Mr. Depp, she did so in 7761

1 evening. He left with Travis McGivern, and the

1 Mr. Depp and Ms. Heard are heard discussing many
2 of the alleged incidents you've heard about at
3 this trial, like Australia and the Bahamas, you
4 never heard Ms. Heard accuse Mr. Depp of sexual
5 assault. Unlike Mr. Depp, who you heard admit to
6 and own up to his past mistakes and his struggles,
7 particularly with drugs and alcohol. Ms. Heard
8 will not admit that she has ever done anything
9 wrong. But she cannot deny what you heard on
10 those recordings. As much as Ms. Heard and her
11 lawyers have tried to make this case about
12 Mr. Depp's language, it is Ms. Heard that
13 repeatedly admits to violence.
14 By April and May of 2016. Mr. Depp had

13 repeatedly admits to violence.

14 By April and May of 2016, Mr. Depp had
15 reached his limit. His mother was dying. His
16 finances were a mess. And when he showed up late
17 to Ms. Heard's 30th birthday party because a
18 meeting with his new business manager, Edward
19 White, had gone late, Ms. Heard, of course, was
20 not understanding. She was furious. You heard
21 from Mr. Depp, both in this courtroom and on audio
22 recordings, that Ms. Heard punched him that

front of paparazzi with a mark on her face. The
 evidence presented at this trial demonstrates that
 Ms. Heard didn't just want a divorce, she wanted
 to ruin him.
 Ms. Heard denied alerting TMZ to the

6 TRO filing in this courtroom, but you heard
7 testimony from Morgan Tremaine, that TMZ knew to
8 go to the courthouse, knew which side of her face
9 the alleged bruise was on, and that Ms. Heard
10 would pause, she would pause for paparazzi who got
11 the photos that ended up splashed across
12 newspapers and magazines.

Ms. Heard testified that she did not 14 personally give photographs of her apparent 15 injuries to People magazine, but somehow these 16 pictures that she took and maintained on her 17 devices ended up on the cover of People magazine 18 one month later.

19 In the end, she walked away with 20 \$7 million without any tax liabilities, which she 21 said she was donating to two charities, the ACLU 22 and the Children's Hospital of Los Angeles. The

3

organization.

United States.

1 Children's Hospital of Los Angeles.

5 organization, nonprofit organization, in the

MALE SPEAKER: Yeah. Right.

MALE SPEAKER: ACLU is a human rights

MS. HEARD: Sorry, ACLU is a prominent

1 ACLU, they got less than a million dollars from 2 her, and the Children's Hospital, they got \$250,000. But that didn't stop Ms. Heard from 5 telling the world that she donated everything 6 because, remember, she wanted nothing. And she had donated everything. The word "donated." And that didn't stop her from 9 testifying, under the penalty of perjury, that she 10 had donated it. Ms. Heard tried to tell you that 11 pledge and donate mean the same thing. She claims 12 she hasn't given the money because she was sued. 13 But you've heard uncontested evidence that she had 14 the money for 13 months prior to this lawsuit 15 being commenced by Mr. Depp. She had all the 16 money and had month after month after month to 17 fulfill her pledge and actually donate the money. 18 But she didn't. She also told you that she never 20 fulfilled the pledge because Mr. Depp sued her for 21 defamation. That's a blatant lie. It was

7763

2 initiate an arbitration against Mr. Depp for 3 defamation, three months before the op-ed was even 4 published, and six months before Mr. Depp filed 5 this lawsuit. Not only does this contradict 7 Ms. Heard's excuse for not making donations, it 8 contradicts her narrative that she just wants to 9 move on with her life. That she just wants 10 Mr. Depp to leave her alone. Lies. That is 11 Ms. Heard's narrative, lies upon lies. (Whereupon, the following video was 12 13 played.) 14 MALE SPEAKER: There were actually all 15 kinds of accusations flying your way when you said 16 all this, and then there was a divorce settlement, 17 you got \$7 million. People were saying this is 18 all about the money, but then you did something 19 that twisted that whole argument.

What did you do with that money?

22 donated to -- I split it between the ACLU and

MS. HEARD: \$7 million in total was

20

21

22 Ms. Heard who fired the first shot after the

1 divorce was finalized, after she sought to

MS. HEARD: It's called the American 9 Civil Liberties Union, and they work on behalf of 10 marginalized communities on the ground and in 11 legislative reform, and --12 MALE SPEAKER: Well, more power to you 13 because that's something that I've never heard of. MS. HEARD: I wanted nothing. 15 . (Whereupon, the video ended.) 16 MS. VASQUEZ: When you catch Ms. Heard 17 in a lie, she tries to cover it up with more lies. 18 So let's talk about the giant lie at the heart of 19 this case, Ms. Heard's claim that Mr. Depp has 20 been an abusive monster and that she is a public 21 figure representing domestic abuse. 122 At the start of this case, Mr. Chew and 7765 1 I told you that you were going to hear some 2 disturbing and graphic tales of abuse from 3 Ms. Heard and they were designed to shock you and 4 overwhelm you, which you have. We told you that 5 this would be a performance, the role of her lifetime, as a heroic survivor of brutal abuse. 7 When Mr. Depp brought this case for defamation, 8 Ms. Heard went all in. She spun a story of shocking, overwhelming brutal abuse. She came 10 into this courtroom prepared to give the 11 performance of her life, and she gave it. 12 Ms. Heard's acting coach, Kristina 13 Sexton, testified that Ms. Heard has difficulty 14 crying when she is acting. You saw it, Ms. Heard 15 sobbing without tears, while spinning elaborate, 16 exaggerated, fantastical accounts of abuse and 17 everything going on in her mind almost a decade 18 prior while enduring that abuse. It is a

20 She told you what she thinks about -- 21 excuse me -- she told you what she thinks you need

22 to hear to convict this man as a domestic abuser

19 performance.

1 and a rapist. She wants you to believe that she 2 was abused countless times, countless times, over the entire course of their relationship. But as 4 Mr. Chew and I promised you, the evidence just 5 does not bear that out. Ms. Heard has told you 6 that she has mountains of evidence of abuse, but 7 there are no medical records reflecting she 8 sustained any injuries from this abuse she claims. 9 Ms. Heard had medical professionals at her 10 disposal: Dr. Kipper; Debbie Lloyd; hér own 11 nurse, Erin Falati, and yet there's nothing. 12 Ms. Heard took pictures all the time: 13 Pictures of Mr. Depp sleeping, pictures of 14 cocaine, pictures of property damage, pictures of 15 herself. And as an actress, she was photographed 16 all the time. Where are the pictures of the 17 horrific injuries Ms. Heard describes? 18 Ms. Heard also took audio recordings 19 and videos frequently. Where are the videos of 20 Mr. Depp attacking her? Where are the audio 21 recording of Mr. Depp admitting to or apologizing 22 for physical abuse? There are none.

What Ms. Heard has and what you've seen multiple times is video of Mr. Depp banging some cabinets around in a kitchen, a video that based 4 on the testimony you heard from Morgan Tremaine, 5 the former TMZ employee, Ms. Heard seems to have 5 6 sold to TMZ right before she was deposed in connection with her divorce from Mr. Depp. 8 Sure, Ms. Heard can point to text 9 messages where Mr. Depp is apologizing to her, but 10 never for violence. Ms. Heard's counsel showed 11 you Defendant's Exhibit 325, where Mr. Depp says 12 sorry for "my behavior. I'm a fucking savage." 13 But this is not an apology for physical violence. 14 It's an apology for "these heinous slinging 15 insults." Mr. Depp is apologizing for the words 16 he used. Unlike Ms. Heard, who you heard 17 apologizing for physical violence in multiple 18 audio recordings, you have never heard that from 19 Mr. Depp because he never used physical violence 20 against Ms. Heard.

21 Ms. Heard told you that Mr. Depp 22 started abusing her right from the very beginning of their relationship, starting at the beginning of 2012. But she has repeatedly testified under oath differently, to having spent a year of bliss with Mr. Depp before he ever laid a hand on her, and now, now, in this courtroom, she has suddenly erased an entire year of magic. She wants you to believe that instead of hitting after a year of magic, Mr. Depp was hitting her immediately. The good times she has testified to about repeatedly in the past apparently never happened. And with the good times erased, Ms. Heard wants you to believe that she gave Mr. Depp a big knife that said, "Hasta la muerte," "until death," to the man the who supposedly would get drunk and high and beat the.

16 Ms. Heard admitted to you during this
17 trial that Mr. Depp always wears big, chunky rings
18 on every finger. According to her, he was wearing
19 these rings when he'd slap her, hit her, punch her
20 in the face. But you have not seen a single
21 photograph that reflects the serious injuries
22 Ms. Heard would have sustained if this was true,

1 not one.

Ms. Heard testified to an incident in
March 2013 when Mr. Depp supposedly hit her
multiple times in the face while wearing rings.
And this is what her face looked like afterwards.
(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)
Ms. Heard testified to an incident in
Russia where she claims Mr. Depp whacked her in
the face and gave her a bloody nose. She didn't
take pictures of her bloody nose. But her
the photograph was taken on that trip, and this is
what she looked like.
(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)

13 (Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)
14 Ms. Heard told you that there was an
15 incident the night of the Met Gala in May 2014
16 when Mr. Depp supposedly whacked Ms. Heard in the
17 face, leaving her with a red, discolored, and
18 swollen nose that she felt was broken. Ms. Heard
19 didn't show you any photographs of these alleged
20 injuries. But photographs were taken of her the
21 following night, and there were no visible
22 injuries to Ms. Heard's face.

PLANET DEPOS. 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

7773

(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.) Ms. Heard testified that in January 2015, while she and Mr. Depp were in 4 Tokyo, Mr. Depp knelt on her back so aggressively that she was concerned that bruises would be 6 visible in her backless dress. Here's Ms. Heard in that backless dress. (Whereupon, a photograph was shown.) Ms. Heard testified that after an 10 incident in December of 2015, she had two black 11 eyes, a broken nose, and chunks of hair missing. 12 The assault was allegedly so violent that there 13 was blood left all over the pillows and Mr. Depp

16 (Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)

15 that bed frame.

14 had broken the bed frame. Pictures were taken of

17 And in that picture, there appears to 18 be a pocket knife on the bed. And that picture 19 fails to capture the bloody pillows.

20 And here is what Ms. Heard looked like 21 on the James Corden show the next day.

(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)

Indeed, you heard from Ms. Heard's own 2 nurse, Erin Falati, that she saw her a day or two 3 afterwards and could not visualize the injuries 4 Ms. Heard described.

Ms. Heard did take picture of herself 6 after this alleged incident, but are the injuries 7 in these photographs consistent? That's the 8 question: Are they consistent with the brutal 9 assault and serious injuries Ms. Heard has 10 testified to? Or are these injuries more 11 consistent with Mr. Depp's testimony, that he and 12 Ms. Heard bumped heads while he was trying to 13 restrain Ms. Heard to keep her from attacking him.

14 (Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)

15 I have Australia, where Mr. Depp 16 sustained the most serious injury from his 17 relationship with Ms. Heard. Ms. Heard's spun 18 story of horror, a three-day ordeal with a 19 drug-fueled Mr. Depp violently assaulting her, 20 cutting his own finger off, dragging her through

21 glass, and then bending her back over a counter 22 and raping her with a whiskey bottle. She claimed 1 that she had bruises on her face, cuts all over her arms and feet, and was bleeding from her vagina from the sexual assault.

And what did Ms. Heard say she did? She went upstairs, took some sleeping pills, and went to sleep.

The next morning, she took pictures of the mirrors her husband had written on in paint, using his amputated finger. She took no picture 10 of herself, her alleged injuries, or the property 11 damage she testified to in this courtroom.

12 When Malcolm Connolly, Jerry Judge, 13 Dr. Kipper, and Nurse Debbie Lloyd arrived to 14 extract Mr. Depp and get him medical treatment for 15 his finger injury, you heard from Mr. Connolly, 16 Dr. Kipper, and Ms. Lloyd, all of them testified 17 they did not observe any injuries to Ms. Heard, 18 and no one, including Ms. Heard, testified that 19 she sought medical treatment.

You also heard from Ben King, who also 21 saw Ms. Heard right after this alleged incident. 22 He flew home with her to Los Angeles. He

7771 1 testified that Ms. Heard didn't want to leave.

> that she said, "I can't leave. I can't leave. It will be the end if I leave."

4 He also testified that once they did 5 leave for Los Angeles, Ms. Heard asked him if he ever got so mad he just lost it.

When Ms. Heard arrived in Los Angeles, she saw Travis McGivern, who also testified he observed no injuries, and her personal nurse, Erin 10 Falati, who documented no requests for medical 11 treatment and no injuries in her nursing notes for 12 Ms. Heard.

You heard from Mr. Depp's sister 14 Christi that she also saw Ms. Heard when she 15 arrived back to Los Angeles and observed no 16 injuries on Ms. Heard. Christi testified that 17 when she told Ms. Heard her fighting with Mr. Depp 18 was unsustainable, Ms. Heard responded that 19 Christi should get off her cross, that Mr. Depp 20 liked her feisty.

21 Ms. Heard's testimony about what 22 occurred in Australia just doesn't add up. That's

7774

because there was a monster in that house inAustralia, but it wasn't Mr. Depp; it was

3 Ms. Heard. Other than Ms. Heard's testimony, all 5 the evidence you've seen in this trial shows that 6 it was Ms. Heard who attacked and grievously 7 wounded Mr. Depp when she threw a vodka bottle at 8 him, severing his finger. Mr. Depp told 9 Dr. Kipper that when Dr. Kipper arrived to treat 10 Mr. Depp's finger. You've seen the pictures of 11 the bar area in the Australia with the broken 12 vodka bottles on the ground and trails of blood 13 drops on the floor and the bloody tissue on the 14 floor. You've heard from Ben King that the tip of 15 Mr. Depp's finger was found in the same bar area. 16 And you heard from Dr. Gilbert that the 17 injury to Mr. Depp's finger could have been caused 18 exactly as he described. And interestingly, you 19 heard from Kristina Sexton that Ms. Heard told her 20 that Mr. Depp injured his finger while swinging a 21 bottle around, not smashing a phone, as Ms. Heard

Ms. Heard testified about another incident just two weeks after the events in Australia where Mr. Depp supposedly attacked 4 Ms. Heard and almost pushed her sister, Whitney, down the stairs. Whitney is the only witness that you heard from that backs up Ms. Heard's version of events. She's it. And the only witness other than Ms. Heard who claims to have seen Mr. Depp 9 physically attack Ms. Heard. But just like 10 Ms. Heard's version of the events in Australia, 11 her testimony about the staircase incident and 12 that of her sister, Whitney's, just doesn't square 13 up with the other evidence in this trial. Their 14 testimony is contradicted by testimony of Travis 15 McGivern and Debbie Lloyd, who were there that 16 night.

Ms. Heard and Whitney testified that 18 Mr. Depp threw a Red Bull can that hit or almost 19 hit Ms. Lloyd. Ms. Lloyd testified that never 20 happened.

21 Mr. McGivern testified that it was 22 Ms. Heard that threw a can at Mr. Depp.

7775

around.

You also heard from Ms. Sexton that Ms. Heard first told her about the bottle rape in Australia while Ms. Sexton was at Ms. Heard's home meeting with her lawyers the day before Ms. Sexton was scheduled to be deposed in this case.

22 surmised in this courtroom, but swinging a bottle

The evidence presented at this trial 8 shows that this is not the only time that 9 Ms. Heard disclosed a sexual assault at a 10 convenient time for purposes of litigation that 11 she's involved in. The first time Ms. Heard 12 claimed that Mr. Depp sexually assaulted her in 13 the Bahamas in December 2015 was after Tara 14 Roberts, the manager of Mr. Depp's island, 15 submitted a sworn statement in the U.K. action 16 describing the incident she testified to in this 17 courtroom where Ms. Heard chased Mr. Depp out of 18 the house, was yelling and then pleading with 19 Mr. Depp to stay while clawing at his hair and 20 clothes, and left Mr. Depp with an injury to his 21 face. That's the first time she disclosed it, 22 after there was contrary evidence.

1 Ms. Heard testified that Whitney was 2 between her and Mr. Depp when she punched him. 3 Mr. McGivern testified that he was the one between 4 Ms. Heard and Mr. Depp when Ms. Heard punched 5 Mr. Depp.

Ms. Heard testified that Mr. Depp was
able to get his hands in her hair, yank her, and
then hit her in the face with the cast he had on.
But you heard from Ms. Lloyd and Dr. Kulber,
Mr. Depp's medical doctor who treated Mr. Depp's
finger injury, that Mr. Depp's finger was in a
delicate state. He had a pin in his finger, a
skin graft, and soft cast that immobilized
Mr. Depp's two middle fingers. And tellingly, the
only picture of an injury from this incident is of
Mr. Depp with a shiner.

17 And then we have May 21st, 2016.
18 Ms. Heard testified that Mr. Depp threw a phone at 19 her face, causing a visible injury. Ms. Heard is 20 shown in pictures she claims to show this injury.
21 But these photographs are not to be trusted. You 22 heard from Mr. Neumeister, but like many of the

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

photographs Ms. Heard has presented in this case,
 these photos were stored in a photo editing
 application. And these photos show signs of
 manipulation.

(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)
These two photographs were taken at the
exact same time and have the exact same file name,
but they are visually different.

One shows significantly more redness on 10 Ms. Heard's face than the other, which doesn't 11 show any injury at all.

Ms. Heard testified the difference is 13 explained by turning on a light. But there is no 14 way Ms. Heard could have taken a picture, turned 15 on a light, and then taken another picture with 16 every hair in the exact same place within the same 17 second. It's impossible.

Moreover, you heard from multiple
19 witnesses -- including Officer Melissa Saenz,
20 Officer Tyler Hadden, and Officer William Gatlin,
21 Isaac Baruch, Alejandro Romero -- who saw
22 Ms. Heard on or after May 21st and saw no injuries

7779

4

6

1 to her face before she showed up to that

2 courthouse with a mark on May 27th. And the very

3 next day, after Ms. Heard walked into court with a

4 bruise on her face to obtain a domestic violence

5 restraining order against Mr. Depp, Ms. Heard was

6 photographed laughing with her best friend,

7 fresh-faced, with no bruise on her face.

(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)
The mountain of evidence that Mr. Depp
to abused Ms. Heard is simply not there. What we
that have is a mountain of unproven allegations that
are wild, over the top, and implausible. And you
acan't pick and choose which of these wild
dallegations to believe and which ones to
sistengard; you either believe all of it or none of
tit. Either Mr. Depp sexually assaulted Ms. Heard
with a bottle in Australia, or Ms. Heard got up on
that stand, in front of all of you, and made up
that horrific tale of abuse. Either she's a

20 victim of truly horrific abuse, or she is a woman 21 who is willing to say absolutely anything.

22 It is disturbing to think that

1 Ms. Heard would make up the horrific tales of

2 abuse that she testified to in this courtroom.

3 But this case doesn't come down to whether you

4 believe Ms. Heard or you believe Mr. Depp. This

5 case comes down to whether you believe Ms. Heard

6 or you believe Mr. Depp, Christi Dembrowski, Sean

7 Bett, Malcolm Connolly, Travis McGivern, Starling 8 Jenkins, Keenan Wyatt, Dr. Kipper, Nurses Debbie

9 Lloyd and Erin Falati, Tara Roberts, Ben King,

10 Kate James, Kate Moss, Dr. Kulber, Morgan Night,

11 Morgan Tremaine, Officer Melissa Saenz, Officer

12 Tyler Hadden, Officer William Gatlin, and Beverly 13 Leonard.

What Ms. Heard testified to in this
15 courtroom is a story of far too many women. But

16 the overwhelming evidence, weight of that

17 evidence, shows that it is not her story. It's

18 not Ms. Heard's story. It was an act of profound

19 cruelty, not just to Mr. Depp, but to true

20 survivors of domestic abuse, for Ms. Heard to hold

21 herself out as a public figure representing

22 domestic abuse. It was false, it was defamatory,

. 7781

1 and it caused irrepairable harm. And to tell more

about that, I'm going to turn it over to mycolleague and my friend, Mr. Chew.

MR. CHEW: Thank you, Camille.

Logistics are not our strong suit.

And thanks to all of you, truly, for

7 taking so much time over these last several weeks

8 to listen to Mr. Depp, to his friends, to his

9 family, and to so many others who have come

10 forward, physically, in this courtroom, some from

11 a very long distance, to tell you the truth and to

12 finally, after so many years, to correct the

13 record.

As Ms. Vasquez said, you have now come 15 to know the real Amber Heard. Scary.

We also told you at the start of this
17 trial that you were going to come to know the real
18 Johnny Depp, not the many characters you've seen
19 him play so wonderfully in the movies, but the man
20 himself. We kept that promise. You've met the
21 real Johnny Depp.

You have heard that Mr. Depp came from

PLANET DEPOS

22

7785

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

7782

1 humble, very difficult beginnings. You have heard

- 2 from Mr. Depp's big sister, who's here in the
- 3 courtroom today, Christi Dembrowski, who told you 3
- 4 how Mr. Depp grew up in a deeply troubled
- 5 household with a mother, Betty Sue, who was
- 6 physically abusive and mentally cruel. As
- 7 Ms. Dembrowski testified, one of the names that
- 8 Betty Sue called Mr. Depp when he was a boy was
- 9 "One Eye" because he had to wear an eye patch for 10 some time.
- His mother used to hit his father and 12 the kids, including young Mr. Depp. And when his 13 mother got violent, Mr. Depp would retreat and 14 find a place to hide. Sometimes, he and his 15 sister Christi would find a place to hide 16 together.
- 17 Mr. Depp never hit back. And like his 18 father, he either stood up and took the violence, 19 or he retreated from it. Those were habits held 20 return to later when he found himself in a 21 relationship with another person who returned his 22 love with abuse, with physical abuse.

1 mention, including, of course, his iconic role as

- 2 Captain Jack Sparrow in the Pirates of the
- 3 Caribbean franchise. Fame came with challenges to
- 4 Mr. Depp and his family. It was a strange
- 5 experience for a shy young man from Kentucky to be
- 6 thrust into the limelight, pursued by paparazzi,
- 7 and to have even his very name become a brand.
- 8 But he did his best to live a private, quiet life,
- 9 and despite it all, and over the years built a
- 10 reputation as a respected artist and as a decent,
- 11 very well-liked man, which you've heard from many 12 people.
- Mr. Depp dated major figures like
 14 Winona Ryder, Kate Moss, and Vanessa Paradis, with
 15 whom he spent 14 years together and with whom they
 16 had their children.
- 17 Before Amber Heard, ladies and 18 gentlemen, no woman ever, no woman ever before 19 Amber Heard ever claimed that Mr. Depp raised a 20 hand to her in his 58 years.
- 21 And no other woman since Ms. Heard made 22 that false claim back on May 17th -- May 27th,

7783

177

And despite this long, unhappy history
with his mother, Johnny never turned his back on

- 3 her. In her elder years, Mr. Depp moved his
- 4 mother to California, and he moved her to
- 5 Los Angeles right across the street from his house
- 6 so his two children could get to know her. He
- 7 hired nurses for his mother. He made sure to take
- 8 care of her and to make her comfortable. She
- 9 never went to hospice. She had the nurses make
- 10 her comfortable until the day she died. And from
- 11 those humble and difficult beginnings, Mr. Depp
- 12 grew into one of the greatest actors and movie 13 star in his generation.
- Now, he came to Los Angeles with his 15 first love as a young man, planning to be a 16 musician. Music had been a part of his life ever 17 since he found peace himself in his room as a 18 young boy when he was escaping and he would 19 learn -- he learned to play the guitar and would 20 use it as solace.
- 21 But it was as an actor as his star 22 began its rise as he appeared in films too many to

1 2016, has -- and repeated it in her December 2018

- 2 op-ed, has any woman come forward since. This is
- 3 #MeToo without any #MeToo. To the contrary,
- 4 ladies and gentlemen, you heard Kate Moss two days
- 5 ago testify -- this is a woman who has never
- 6 testified in any proceeding, ever, a very private
- 7 person -- testified that Mr. Depp never abused her
- 8 and that Ms. Heard lied to you, and she lied to
- 9 you twice when she told you --
- 10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Do you want to approach?
- 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Sure.
- 13 (Sidebar.)
- MR. ROTTENBORN: Absolutely misstates
- 15 the evidence. That's way beyond argument. You
- 16 didn't let him ask that question of Ms. Moss.
- MR. CHEW: When she said she suggested 18 twice that she --
- 19 THE COURT: Stairs. But it was 20 involving the stairs.
- 21 MR. CHEW: Correct. That's what I'm 22 going to say.

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

THE COURT: That's what he's going to 1 her fists ever did. 2 say? Okay. And make no mistake, Ms. Heard has 3 (Open court.) 3 admitted -- unlike Mr. Depp. Ms. Heard has MR. CHEW: Ladies and gentlemen, as I admitted on the audio tapes you've heard at this 5 was saying, Ms. Heard lied to you twice when she trial, and Ms. Vasquez just played you a few of 6 suggested to you that Mr. Depp pushed Kate Moss them -- she has admitted repeatedly to physically 7 down the stairs. You told her -- you heard her abusing Mr. Depp. And I would just like to take a 8" say just two days ago that Mr. Depp never did that 8 minute to talk about the harm to Mr. Depp and his 9 and he never hit her and he never kicked her. family. 10 That was one of --10 You have heard from several of the 11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor. 11 people in Mr. Depp's life about the damage 12 That's not what she testified to. 12 Ms. Heard's lies have done to him. You heard from 13 MR. CHEW: That's exactly what she 13 his sister Christi, who told you how hard it has 14 testified to. 14 been on his family and on her little brother 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: We just discussed 15 personally. 16 that. 16 You heard from Mr. Depp's friend of 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 17 four decades, and that speaks volumes about 18 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 Mr. Depp. He has lifetime friends who appear for 19 They're still at it. 19 him. Mr. Baruch told you how Mr. Depp and his 20 Ms. Heard and her lawyers have spent 20 family had been "completely wrecked" by 21 much of this trial talking about drugs and 21 Ms. Heard's lies. You heard Mr. Depp testify that 22 alcohol. Mr. Depp is no saint, and he has never 22 he has lost nothing less than everything because 7787 1 claimed to be one. He has made mistakes in his 1 of Ms. Heard's lies, nothing less than everything. 2 life, as we all have. Yes, he has struggled with 2 You heard about Mr. Depp's children, 3 drugs and alcohol, but you never heard him deny Jack and Lily-Rose. His children have had to hear 4 that. We told you that in the opening statement. these allegations since 2016. And then when that 5 He owns his flaws. He admits to them. He told started to die down, they had to hear them again, this time in December 2018, this time in The you all about them. · But he is not a violent abuser. He's Washington Post, which is a national and not an abuser, as Ms. Heard claims, and he did not international publication, and Mr. Depp has had to and does not deserve to have his life, his legacy, live with the knowledge that his children will 10 destroyed by a vicious lie. And this is, as 10 keep hearing those false rumors and statements 11 Ms. Vasquez said, this is the sixth anniversary of 11 against him. 12 that. There is a world of difference between 12 Mr. Depp has millions of fans, people 13 having substance abuse problems and being a 13 who grew up watching him on 21 Jump Street, which 14 physical abuser. 14 is something you heard Ms. Heard mock on one of Ms. Heard falsely accused Mr. Depp of 15 the tapes that was played to you. Or they grew up 16 beating her. That was her first allegation. And 16 watching him play the (indiscernible) pirate 17 you know why, and you know that wasn't true. 17 Captain Jack Sparrow in the Pirates of the Then, years, years after the fact, for 18 Caribbean movies, or Willy Wonka. 19 the first time, she accused him of raping her. 19 Mr. Depp means something to those 20 The lies have grown and metastasized over time, 20 people, and those people mean a lot to Mr. Depp.

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

21

21 and they need to be stopped. And those false

22 accusations have caused more harm to Mr. Depp than

He's a fond memory from childhood or

22 adolescence or adulthood. And to tarnish him as

an abuser is to destroy him in the eyes of many of 2 these people, who will never look at him the same way again.

And because of what Ms. Heard did, and 5 because of what she said, Mr. Depp will go to his 6 grave knowing no matter what he does, no matter 7 the outcome of this trial, there are people who 8 used to look up to him who now believe that he 9 beat a woman, which is the worst thing that you 10 can say about a man.

11 Beyond the personal emotional effects 12 of Ms. Heard's lies, their impact on Mr. Depp and 13 his family, the damage she inflicted on his 14 reputation and career is undeniable. There's an 15 old saying that a good reputation takes a lifetime 16 to build but only a second to destroy.

Mr. Depp spent, in fact, a lifetime 18 building his reputation as one of the greatest 19 actors and movie stars of his generation, an 20 iconic figure respected and loved throughout 21 Hollywood and recognized and admired.

You heard evidence from Jack Whigham.

1 because it was coming from a first-person account.

2 It was not from a journalist, it was coming from

someone observing, it was from someone saving this

4 happened to me."

5 You also heard from Richard Marks, an expert in the inner-workings of Hollywood in the entertainment industry, who explained that the impact of Ms. Heard's allegations on Mr. Depp was devastating. "It's devastating. It's the type of 10 claim, the MeToo claim of sexual violence, 11 domestic abuse that has canceled a list of 12 actors."

13 And for many, and Mr. Depp will agree 14 with this, rightfully so, MeToo is an important 15 movement. A movement that Mr. Depp supports and 16 believes in. It's for true survivors of abuse, 17 not Ms. Heard. True victims need protection and 18 true, true perpetrators need to face the 19 repercussions.

20 But as you heard in this case, Amber 21 Heard is not a true victim. And Mr. Depp 22 certainly is not an abuser. Again, nobody has

7791

1 come out of the woodwork to say MeToo. This is

the unique and singular MeToo case where there's

3 not a single MeToo. In fact, to the contrary.

4 Kate Moss, who was in a romantic relationship with

5 Mr. Depp for three years, which he broke off, came

forward to say, no, he never pushed her down any 7 stairs.

8 And you heard Doug Bania, an expert in 9 Internet and social media analytics, testify to 10 the impact that Ms. Heard's allegations had on 11 Mr. Depp's popularity.

12 Ms. Heard's lawyers tried to tell you 13 that all of the damage done to Mr. Depp's career 14 was because of his own behavior, because he was 15 sometimes unprofessional or sometimes late to 16 sets.

But that doesn't hold up. Mr. Depp has 17 18 been a major figure in Hollywood for decades. 19 Yes, Mr. Depp was late to sets sometimes. But as 20 Mr. -- as Richard Marks testified "when you hire 21 Johnny Depp, you get all of Johnny Depp, which 22 includes being late."

1 who is here today, Mr. Depp's agent, who explained

2 that Mr. Depp was "very well-regarded and

3 respected by peers in the artistic community."

4 And you've heard further testimony from

5 Mr. Whigham that Mr. Depp had a deal with Disney

6 to play Captain Jack Sparrow in the sixth movie

7 sequel of the Pirates of the Caribbean franchise,

8 for 22.5 million.

22

But, as Mr. Whigham explained, all of 10 that changed when Ms. Heard published her op-ed in 11 December of 2018, in The Washington Post. Quoting 12 from the testimony you heard by Mr. Whigham, 13 "After the op-ed, it was impossible to get him a 14 studio film, which is what we normally would have 15 focused on in that time period."

16 Another quote, it was on the damage, 17 the impact of this op-ed as opposed to the other 18 stories that you saw, the other publications. 19 Mr. Whigham explained why this one was so 20 damaging. "It was a first-person account, I mean, 21 from the victim. It's extremely impactful, you 22 know, with respect to Johnny, it was catastrophic

7795

Keenan Wyatt, who has worked for 2 decades as a sound engineer on some of Mr. Depp's 3 films, said much the same thing. And by the way, 4 Mr. Wyatt is not and never has been an employee of Mr. Depp. He's just worked with him on movies.

Mr. Depp wasn't canceled by Hollywood because he was sometimes late to set. He was 8 canceled because Ms. Heard falsely accused him of 9 domestic violence and sexual violence on the pages 10 of The Washington Post on December 18, 2018,

11 repeating the prior lies of May 17th [sic], 2016. 12 And as you saw at trial, Ms. Heard

16

13 published the op-ed on December 18, 2018, 14 two years after her public allegations of domestic 15 abuse. Ms. Heard's publication of the op-ed 16 coincided with the release of her major movie 17 Aquaman that December, and it coincided, as well, 18 with her announcement on Twitter that she was

19 becoming an ACLU ambassador for women's rights. 20 And in that op-ed, Ms. Heard repeated her false

21 allegations against Mr. Depp.

Now, she didn't mention his name. She

1 didn't have to. Everyone knew exactly who and 2 what Ms. Heard was talking about. Ms. Heard got

3 on the stand and tried to tell you that the op-ed

4 was not about Mr. Depp. She then said, well, it

5 wasn't just about Mr. Depp, but she couldn't deny,

6 and this was yesterday, that this was at least

7 partly about Mr. Depp. The op-ed obviously is

8 about Mr. Depp, and the testimony at trial proves 9 that. You will recall testimony from the ACLU's

10 representative, an attorney, stating that

11 Mr. Depp's name was included in drafts of the

12 op-ed and that they and others understood that the

13 op-ed was about Mr. Depp. Specifically, the ACLU 14 representative testified, among other things,

15 "based on my review of prior drafts of the op-ed,

16 I knew that they were -- that she was referring to 17 Johnny Depp and the marriage."

Ms. Heard's claim that the op-ed is not 19 about Mr. Depp is just another one of her many, 20 many lies. In fact, what you have seen time and 21 time again, through the course of this trial, is 22 that Ms. Heard lies. She lies all the time about

1 things that are important and things that aren't 2 important. She just can't seem to stop. And as 3 Ms. Vasquez said, what she routinely does is she 4 doesn't take ownership or responsibility for anything, and she has an excuse for everything. 6

But in this courtroom, confronted with the evidence, she can't run away from her own words. As my colleague said, words matter, and 9 Ms. Heard is condemned by her own words.

10 . So, Tom, let's please take a look at 11 the words she used in her op-ed, which is 12 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, and which Ms. Heard 13 published in December 2018, two years after she 14 first publicly accused Mr. Depp of being an abuser 15 on May 27th, 2016.

The title of the op-ed reads, as you 17 can see, "Amber Heard: I spoke up against sexual 18 violence and faced our culture's wrath. That has 19 to change."

20 Below that, Ms. Heard states "then 21 two years ago, I became a public figure 22 representing domestic abuse, and I felt the full

1 force of our culture's wrath for women who speak 2 out."

3 And below that, Ms. Heard states "I 4 have the rare vantage point of seeing, in real time, how institutions protect men accused of abuse."

Each of those statements clearly refers 8 to Ms. Heard's allegations against Mr. Depp. And 9 taken collectively, their message and their 10 implication about Mr. Depp is clear, i.e., that 11 Ms. Heard is a survivor of domestic abuse and that 12 Mr. Depp is a perpetrator.

13 The context in the rest of the op-ed 14 makes that clear as well. Consider, for instance, 15 this line: "Imagine a powerful man as a ship, 16 like the Titanic. That ship is a huge enterprise. 17 When it strikes an iceberg there are a lot of 18 people on board desperate to patch up holes, not 19 because they believe in or even care about the 20 ship, but because their own fates depend upon the 21 enterprise."

Everyone in Hollywood knew, and

1 everyone in this courtroom now knows exactly what 1 words "I spoke up against sexual violence,"

2 Ms. Heard intended those words to mean. The ship,

- the Titanic, is Mr. Depp, and Ms. Heard was the
- 4 iceberg that sank him. That's precisely what
- 5 Ms. Heard was saying in the op-ed. And in just
- 6 the same way, everyone in Hollywood knew --
- 7 Hollywood and elsewhere knew exactly what
- 8 Ms. Heard meant by the words "two years ago, I
- 9 became a public figure representing domestic 10 abuse."

That was a clear reference to the day, 11 12 two years earlier, on May 27th, 2016, as 13 Ms. Vasquez said, that was six years to the day. 14 That's when his life ended. That was six years 15 ago to this day, when Ms. Heard, on May 27th, 162016, walked into court with her public -- with 17 her publicist, Jody Gottlieb, having tipped off 18 TMZ, with an alleged mark on her face to accuse 19 Mr. Depp of abuse.

20 As always, as always, Ms. Heard was 21 quite mindful of her image. She was careful to 22 portray herself as the innocent representative of

- 2 prominently featured. Nowhere in this tweet or
- anywhere else does Ms. Heard disavow the title.
- 4 To the contrary. She's proclaiming it. At the
- 5 same time Ms. Heard posted this tweet, she posted 6 another, which you can see right beneath it.
- 7 ' "I'm honored to announce my role as an
- 8 ACLU ambassador on women's rights."
- 9. Aggrandizing herself at the expense of 10 Mr. Depp.

So make no mistake, this was about 12 Mr. Depp. In the wake of the #MeToo movement, 13 with her ACLU ambassadorship and a major movie 14 coming out, Ms. Heard was trading on her 15 accusations of abuse against Mr. Depp. She was 16 reminding everyone of those allegations. She was, 17 once again, taking on the role of her lifetime, 18 the heroic survivor of domestic abuse, or to use 19 her phrase, "a public figure representing abuse." 20 And she was, once again, casting Mr. Depp as the 21 villan in her drama, the supposed perpetrator of 22 that abuse.

7799

1 abuse survivors, and by implication, Mr. Depp as 2 the perpetrator of domestic abuse. She was the

woman who, in the words of her title, supposedly

"spoke up against sexual violence."

Now, Ms. Heard has done a lot to try to 6 walk away from the title, in particular. That's 7 true to form. She has testified that she didn't 8 write it, and she will argue later that she 9 shouldn't be held liable because she didn't write 10 it. But as the Court's instructions, which you 11 heard this morning, made clear, Ms. Heard didn't 12 have to personally write any part of the op-ed for 13 her to defame Mr. Depp through implication in The 14 Washington Post.

15 And let's, please, Tom, turn to 16 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.

Ms. Heard, as you all can see on the 18 screen, retweeted the article, including the 19 title, on her Twitter page. Ms. Heard put her 20 name on it, and she proudly declared "Today, I 21 published this op-ed in The Washington Post..." 22

Her tweet included the title with the

7801 When you meet to deliberate this case, 2 you're going to be tasked with several questions.

- 3 Those questions appear on a form that you will use
- to reach your verdict in this case. So I would
- just like to ask you, please, to spend a few minutes with me going through that.
- Tom, would you please put up the
- special verdict form so the jury can see it. It's a little bit hard to read, so,
- 10 maybe, Tom, might, please, blow it up. Thank you 11 very much.
- 12 You will see here, the first page of 13 the verdict form relating to Mr. Depp's claim for 14 defamation against Ms. Heard. There are three 15 pages relating to Mr. Depp's claim, one for each 16 of the three defamatory statements in the op-ed 17 that form the basis of Mr. Depp's claim against 18 Ms. Heard. You've heard those three statements 19 many times, but they bear repeating, I promise, 20 just once more, here.
- One, "I spoke up against sexual 22 violence and faced our culture's wrath. That has

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1 to change."

16

Two, "Then two years ago, I became a public figure representing domestic abuse, and I felt the full force of our culture's wrath for women who speak out."

Three, "I had the rare vantage point of seeing, in real time, how institutions protect men accused of abuse."

Now, there are seven questions that you 10 will need to, please, answer with respect to each 11 of those statements. So that's 21 questions, I

12 think. That's 7 as to each statement.

One, was the statement made or 14 published by Ms. Heard?

Two, was the statement about Mr. Depp?

16 Three, was the statement false?

17 Four, did the statement have a 18 defamatory implication about Mr. Depp?

Five, was the defamatory implication

20 designed and intended by Ms. Heard?

21 Six, was the defamatory implication 22 conveyed to someone other than Mr. Depp?

Seven, did Ms. Heard make the statement with actual malice, which as Her Honor explained, means, did Ms. Heard make the statement knowing it was false or with reckless disregard as to the truth.

Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence
clearly shows that the answers to those seven
questions are all yes. And if you answer yes to
all of those questions, which you should, we
respectfully submit, you will be asked to state
the amount of damages to which Mr. Depp is
entitled. In the wake of the #MeToo movement,
movie producers know better than to cast a movie
star who has been accused of domestic and sexual
violence. And it is entirely within your
discretion, as jurors, to quantify the amount of
money that constitutes fair compensation for
money that constitutes fair compensation for
Mr. Depp's pain and humiliation inflicted by
Ms. Heard's defamation.

20 But while Mr. Depp certainly is 21 entitled to monetary compensation, this case, at 22 least for Mr. Depp, has never been about money.

7802 1 This case, for Mr. Depp, has never been about

2 money. Nor is it about punishing Ms. Heard. It

3 is about Mr. Depp's reputation. And freeing him

4 from the prison in which he has lived for the last

5 six years, and it's six years to the day. The

most important questions for Mr. Depp, the ones

7 truly at the heart of this case are questions two,

three, and four on the verdict form.

9 And while Tom is pulling that up, let's 10 take question 2.

Were the statements about Mr. Depp?

12 Yes. Two points here. One, when the ACLU pitched

13 the op-ed to The Washington Post, I think you'll

14 remember this, they pitched it as "a piece by

15 Amber Heard, who, as you know, was beaten up

16 during her brief marriage to Johnny Depp."

17 That's how they pitched it.

18 Two, after the op-ed was published,

19 news outlets, including USA TODAY, and you've seen

20 that, that was the exhibit, characterized the

21 op-ed as referring to Ms. Heard's allegations of

22 abuse against Mr. Depp. So the USA TODAY

7803

7

7805

interpreted it that way, and so did many other
 publications. They got exactly the implication
 that Ms. Heard and the ACLU intended them to have.

Tom, if you would, please, pull up
Plaintiff's Exhibit 409 and 411, if you could put
them side by side.

(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.)

And this was expected, this is what they wanted. There have been a lot of press, 10 two years prior to the publication of the op-ed,

11 about Ms. Heard's claims of abuse against Mr. Depp 12 when she walked into court with her publicist to

13 obtain the domestic violence -- the no notice ex

14 parte, meaning Mr. Depp's lawyer was not informed

15 and he was already in New York, which he testified

16 Ms. Heard was well aware of. You don't need a

17 domestic violence restraining order when your

18 husband is halfway across the continent and is 19 heading for a European tour.

19 heading for a European tour.
20 On May 27th, 2016, with a purported

21 mark on her face, everyone knew exactly who and 22 what Ms. Heard was referring to in the op-ed. She

7806 I was referring to Mr. Depp. I Ms. Heard's attempt to paint herself as a heroic 2 Let's take questions two and three survivor, an innocent survivor, and Mr. Depp as a 3 next, if we can. terrifying abuser are utterly false. We ask you 4 Were each of the statements false? And 4 to, please, return your verdict for Mr. Depp. We did the statements have a defamatory implication ask you, we implore you to give him his name, his on Mr. Depp? reputation and his career back. Thank you very Again, the clear answer is yes. The much, ladies and gentlemen. 8 statements, understood in the context of the op-ed 8 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and 9 itself, and in the broader context of the press 9 gentlemen, let's go ahead and take a break at this 10 coverage of Ms. Heard walking into the court with 10 time. Again, this case has not been submitted to 11 a mark on her face to obtain a domestic violence 11 you yet. We will continue with closing arguments 12 restraining order against Mr. Depp in May of 2016, 12 when we come back, so do not discuss the case with 13 imply, clearly imply that Mr. Depp physically and 13 yourselves, don't do any outside research, okay? 14 sexually abused Ms. Heard. (Whereupon, the jury exited the 15 The overwhelming evidence that you all 15 courtroom and the following proceedings took 16 have heard and has come forward in this case shows 16 place.) 17 that those allegations are false, indespicably 17 THE COURT: All right. Let's come back 18 false. Mr. Depp did not physically abuse 18 at 11:05. 19 Ms. Heard. He did not sexually abuse Ms. Heard. 19 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor. Two items. 20 You've heard audio after audio where Ms. Heard 20 THE COURT: Okay. Sure. 21 admits that she was physically abusing him. 21 (Sidebar.) 22 While Mr. Depp's name will be forever 22 MS. BREDEHOFT: The first of those, 7807 7809 1 tarnished by these horrendous and false 1 Your Honor --2 allegations, this case is about telling you his 2 THE COURT: Wait. 13 3 story and the truth about what really happened, MS. BREDEHOFT: Sorry. 4 which you've now heard. It is about restoring his 4 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, what's your 5 5 lost reputation. It's about showing Mr. Depp's issue. 6 children, Lily-Rose and Jack, that the truth is 6 MS. BREDEHOFT: The first of those is 7 worth fighting for. It is. And it's about we believe that the arguments on the damages and 8 restoring Mr. Depp's name and standing in the restoring the reputation has opened up the door 9 community to the fullest extent that he can. And for the U.K. judgment. 10 you can do something. And only you, ladies and 10 THE COURT: No. Definitely not. 11 gentlemen, can do that for him. 11 Evidence is done. You heard from Mr. Depp yesterday that 12 MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay. Your Honor, the 13 he has been carrying these outlandish, outrageous 13 second thing is, I just saw, while Mr. Chew was 14 stories on his back pretty stoically, and living 14 arguing, I think we made a mistake in both verdict 15 with them for six years and waiting to be able to 15 forms, on the last. I think you'll appreciate it 16 bring the truth back. And he has told you the 16 as well. 17 truth, the unvarnished truth, even truth that 17 THE COURT: Sorry, Judy. Last day, 18 could embarrass him. He knew that was going to 18 you're going to take Judy's mic out. 19 happen when he brought this case. MS. BREDEHOFT: She's never going to You've seen the evidence in this case 20 forgive me. 21 over six long weeks, which we, again, thank you I believe this word should be "any," 22 for. That evidence shows overwhelmingly that 22 instead of "all." I think what this does is

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

	1 May 27, 2022
7810 1 requires them to find all three.	7812
2 THE COURT: Okay. So you want us to	THE COURT: Okay. (Whereupon, the jury entered the
3 change the last page, if you answered yes to all	3 courtroom and the following proceedings took
4 the questions.	4 place.)
5 MS. BREDEHOFT: To be any	5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You
6 THE COURT: No, all the questions	6 may be seated. All right. Closing arguments,
7 well, all the questions in 1, all the questions in	7 Mr. Rottenborn.
8 2, and all the questions in 3.	8 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 MS. BREDEHOFT: Or.	9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. ROTTENBORN: 1, 2, 4, 3.	10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Good morning,
11 THE COURT: You see what I'm saying? I	11 everyone.
12 can explain that to them, if you want.	They're trying to convince you that
MS. BREDEHOFT: I think that would be	13 Mr. Depp has carried his burden of proof in
14 helpful.	14 proving that he was never abusive to Amber on even
THE COURT: At the end, I'll explain	15 one occasion. Think about the message that
16 that to them. That means you have to answer all	16 Mr. Depp and his attorneys are sending to Amber,
17 the questions.	17 and by extension, to every victim of domestic
18 MS. BREDEHOFT: Because I think it	18 abuse everywhere. If you didn't take pictures, it
19 works	19 didn't happen. If you did take pictures, they're
20 THE COURT: You created the form,	20 fake. If you didn't tell your friends, you're
21 right?	21 lying. And if you did tell your friends, they're
22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes.	22 part of the hoax. If you didn't seek medical
7811	7813
1 THE COURT: So, I'm correct, right?	1 treatment, you weren't injured. If you did seek
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes, that's right.	2 medical treatment, you're crazy.
3 The or.	3 If you do everything that you can to
4 THE COURT: I'll explain that to them,	4 help your spouse, the person that you love, rid
5 make sure we have it right.	5 himself of the crushing drug and alcohol abuse
6 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you, Your Honor.	6 that spins him into an abusive, rage-filled
7 THE COURT: Did you break that too?	7 monster, you're a nag. And if you finally decide
8 MS. VASQUEZ: I don't think so. It's	8 that enough is enough, you've had enough of the
9 still on, so that's a good thing.	9 fear, enough of the pain and you have to leave to
10 MR. CHEW: Keep laughing. It's my	10 save yourself, you're a gold digger.
I1 fault.	This is the message that Mr. Depp is
THE COURT: Let's make it 11:10 now.	12 asking you to send. But he doesn't stop there,
13 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.	13 because in Mr. Depp's world, you don't leave
THE COURT: We'll come back at 11:10;	14 Mr. Depp. And if you do, he will start a campaign
15 okay. 11:10. Thank you.	15 of global humiliation against you. A smear
16 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	16 campaign that lasts to this very day. He will do
17 (Recess taken from 10:47 a.m. to	17 everything he can to destroy your life, to destroy
18 11:10 a.m.)	18 your career. That is what they're saying, ladies
19 THE BAILIFF: All rise. Please be	19 and gentlemen.
20 seated.	20 And that's what they're trying to get
21 THE COURT: Are we ready for the jury?	21 you, the jury, to be an accomplice to. But it's
22 MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, Your Honor.	22 not surprising because Mr. Depp cannot and will

1 not take responsibility for his own actions. It's 2 always someone else's fault.

Just as Ms. Vasquez did and Mr. Chew 4 did, I would like to extend my thanks to you on 5 behalf of Amber and our whole legal team for the 6 care and the diligence at which you have served as 7 jurors in this matter.

You've paid attention to every witness, 9 every piece of evidence, and I can't even imagine 10 the sacrifice that you've made in terms of time 11 away from your friends, your family, your job, to 12 be here on this jury. It's a very important role 13 you're serving, and we thank you very much.

Let's pick up where we started 15 six weeks ago in opening statements. You may 16 remember that I asked you to keep a simple 17 question in mind, which is "why are you here?"

And much of what you've heard during 19 the course of this trial, you don't need to make a 20 decision on in order to return a verdict for 21 Ms. Heard on Mr. Depp's claim of defamation.

Now, we'll talk about Ms. Heard's claim 22

3

16

7815

1 of defamation against Mr. Depp, and Elaine will address most of that in a few minutes.

But your key question to answer is, 4 does the First Amendment give Ms. Heard the right 5 to write the words that she wrote in this article on December 18th, 2018? That's the question.

And you cannot simultaneously protect 8 and uphold the First Amendment and find in favor of Johnny Depp on his claim. You simply cannot. 10 You have to decide, should someone be able to 11 write an article like that in the United States of 12 America without being sued successfully, without 13 having to go through the hell that Ms. Heard has 14 gone through?

So let's talk about that. And to do 15 16 that, we get to explain a bit more about the law 17 that you have to follow. .

This is the op-ed piece. I'm not going 19 to read it to you again. You heard me read it to 20 you in opening statements. And I would urge you, 21 when you're in the deliberation room, read it. 22 Read it again. And I know we focused on it, you

1 know, here and there over the course of the trial.

2 but the vast majority of this trial has not

3 focused on these words, on this piece. This is 4 obviously the paper edition. There's the online

5' edition as well. Now we get a chance to discuss 6 with you exactly what you have to find about this

article. Let's try this again. 8 This is one of the jury instructions

9 that you'll get, and I've been so looking forward 10 to being able to actually explain to you -- as the 11 judge read all the instructions to you this 12 morning, and I know it was a lot -- but we've been 13 looking forward to being able to explain to you 14 what you're here to decide. Because I imagine for 15 some of the case, it's been kind of unclear what 16 are you being asked to decide.

These are the things that you have to 18 find, and you have to answer yes. As Mr. Chew 19 said, you have to answer yes to all of them in 20 order to find in favor of Mr. Depp.

21 So you have to find that the statement 22 was about Mr. Depp. And you can decide that in

1 the context of the article. And I'll get to the arguments that Mr. Depp raises on that in a 3 minute.

4 You also have to find that the statement is false. And we're going to look at the statements here. And we're going to look at each of the three statements, and in order to win 8 his claim, Mr. Depp has to prove every single 9 element. And there's a concept in the jury 10 instructions that you really didn't hear from 11 Mr. Depp's side this morning, but you've all heard 12 it, I'm sure, outside the courtroom, which is 13 "burden of proof." It is Mr. Depp's burden to 14 prove each and every one of these elements. If he 15 cannot, Ms. Heard wins. If he cannot prove each 16 and every one of these elements, under the burden 17 of proof that's applicable, Ms. Heard wins.

And there's two different burdens of 19 proof that we'll get to. For all of them except 20 Number 7, the burden of proof is greater weight of 21 the evidence. So you weigh the evidence, and you 22 decide has Mr. Depp proven to you the first six

1 elements.

The seventh one, for actual malice, the 12 clear and convincing evidence standard, we'll get to in a few minutes.

But these are -- this is what he has to 6 prove, and he cannot do that.

These are the three statements. And I 8 know you may be thinking to yourself, Don't we 9 have to decide if Mr. Depp committed abuse? And 10 the answer is no, you don't have to. Because 11 these three statements, you can decide as a matter 12 of law, or as a matter of fact, as you weigh the 13 evidence and the fact, that they're true.

So the first two statements I'm going 15 to address together. "Then, two years ago, I 16 became a public figure representing domestic 17 abuse, and I felt the full force of our culture's 18 wrath for women who speak out."

Number 2, "I have a rare vantage point 20 of seeing in real time how institutions protect 21 men accused of abuse."

When you go back to the jury room to

1 temporary restraining order against Mr. Depp, 2 after she became a public figure representing domestic abuse.

But the words that she wrote here and then chronicling her own experience after that, those words are true. Those words are true. Similarly, the rare vantage point of seeing, based 8 on her own experiences post May 27th, 2016, how 9 institutions protect men accused of abuse, those 10 words are true, and the First Amendment protects 11 Ms. Heard's rights to say them.

12 Now, the third statement is absolutely 13 true as well, but we're going to take that 14 separately because she didn't write it.

Under the First Amendment, the 15 16 statements have to be false in order for Mr. Depp 17 to win. Now, Plaintiff addresses, like I said, an 18 argument that we're not making, and as I said, 19 we're not running from the fact that when she 20 discussed becoming a public figure representing 21 domestic abuse, Amber was speaking of her 22 experiences reporting domestic abuse against

7819

1 deliberate, you should feel free, like I said, to

2 read the article and think of the article's

3 purpose. The jury instructions also tell you to

4 take these statements in the context of the

5 article. Think about the purpose. The purpose of

6 the article was to promote legislative measures

7 designed to protect victims of domestic abuse,

8 designed to protect people who did exactly what

9 Ms. Heard did, to speak out. That's apparent on

10 the face of the article. 11 And to do that, Ms. Heard talked about 12 her own life experiences as someone who had

13 obtained a TRO against Mr. Depp, someone who had 14 accused Mr. Depp of domestic violence. Make no 15 mistake, and Mr. Depp's side keeps trying to say 16 that we're somehow suggesting that two years 17 before she wrote this, that Ms. Heard hadn't had

18 anything to do with Mr. Depp. We're not saying

21 felt the full force of our culture's wrath for

22 women who speak out," after she obtained a

19 that. You heard Ms. Heard herself say, "Of 20 course, two years before I wrote this article, I 1 Johnny Depp. She admitted to that. But that

doesn't make the article or the statements about

Johnny Depp. Think about it. Think about every

piece of evidence that you've heard over the last

six weeks about his abuse, about their

relationship. None of that is in the article.

None of it.

8 We all know that it would be a very different article if she had written about what 10 she suffered that she'd told you about over the 11 last six weeks. Because he knows the words are 12 true, Mr. Depp says that the words now have a 13 defamatory implication. He says the statements 14 are the same as saying, "Johnny Depp abused me."

But just because he wants to make the 16 article about himself doesn't mean it is. He has 17 to show you that any defamatory implication was 18 designed and intended. Look at Number 4, 19 "designed and intended by Ms. Heard to convey the 20 defamatory meaning that he suggests." Just 21 because people might read the article and remember 22 "Oh, yeah. Amber Heard used to be married to

Johnny Depp, and she accused him of abuse," that doesn't mean that she designed and intended defamatory implications in writing about herself.

And think about it. If Mr. Depp is right and virtually any statement that anyone could ever make about their own life that implies anything, implies any involvement with any other person, could be defamatory, and that's clearly not what the First Amendment intends. She didn't design and intend the words to be the equivalent of writing, "Johnny Depp abused me."

And you don't have to take her words
13 for it. You can take the words of Terrence
14 Dougherty of the ACLU. He said that the op-ed
15 wasn't even Ms. Heard's idea. The ACLU wrote the
16 first draft, and great care was taken in drafting
17 the article so as not to make it about Mr. Depp or
18 Ms. Heard's relationship with him.

19 That's the only evidence presented 20 about Ms. Heard's motive except her statements 21 that that was exactly what she wanted to do. She 22 wanted to talk about her experiences after Johnny 700.4

2 circumstantial evidence. You can weigh facts, but
3 you can't see evidence where no evidence exists.
4 And the undisputed evidence here is that Ms. Heard
5 didn't write the headline. She didn't approve the
6 headline. She had nothing to do with it. She was
7 not given notice of the headline. She testified,
8 you saw her testify, about the sexual assault that
9 she experienced at the hands of Mr. Depp. You saw
10 her testify about that. But they're calling her a

1 your common sense at the door. And you can weigh

12 own mouth -- with her own mouth exactly, exactly
13 what she went through for the first time in court.
14 Because people who have suffered that,
15 they don't want to broadcast that to the world.
16 They want to penalize Ms. Heard for not speaking
17 about that earlier. That's ridiculous, and it's
18 insulting. And it's just victim blaming at its

11 liar. You saw her on the stand testify with your

The only reference to sexual assault in 21 the article, and you can read the op-ed, is sexual 22 assault that she said she's experienced by the

7823

19 most disgusting.

7825

- 1 Depp. She wanted to own her story after Johnny
- 2 Depp, fit those into what other women experience,
- 3 what other people experience, having accused
- 4 someone of domestic abuse and the backlash that
- 5 they suffer and then talk about legislative
- 6 measures that can help protect people in those
- 7 positions. That's what she meant, and that's what
- 8 the article is about.

There's no hidden meaning here, ladies 10 and gentlemen. This isn't a hit piece on Johnny 11 Depp. This isn't a hit piece on Johnny Depp. And 12 you can end here. You can end there by saying the 13 statements that she wrote were not false, and the 14 First Amendment protects them.

Let's talk about the headline for a 16 minute. The headline that was published in the 17 online version only of the article on 18 December 18th, 2018, said, "I spoke up against 19 sexual violence and faced our culture's wrath."

A few points here: This evidence is

21 undisputed. There's no dispute about this, so as 22 you weigh the evidence, you don't have to check

time she was of college age, before Mr. Depp. So
 there is a reference to sexual violence in that
 article, but it's not by Mr. Depp. And Mr. Depp
 can't hold her liable for a headline she didn't
 write that contains something that had nothing - that was not about him.

And they want you to think that she
republished the headline, republished the headline
by tweeting out the link to the online version.
lo So let's look at this. This is the tweet that she
ls sent on December 19th. The hyperlink says,
l2 "Opinion: Amber Heard: I spoke up against sexual
l3 violence." That's the online version of the
l4 article. As Ms. Heard said, you can't attach, you
l5 can't tweet, a piece of paper. So when she wanted
l6 to share that she had written this article, what
rhoice does she have? She has to attach the link.
And the jury instructions that you have

18 And the jury instructions that you have 19 make clear a hyperlink is not republication. 20 Forwarding a link on does not mean that you've 21 broadcast the statement again, but that's what 22 they want to make you think. The only way, and

1 the jury instructions that you have, we don't need

- 2 to read through them in detail now. But the
- 3 reproduction instruction says that merely linking
- 4 to an article does not amount to republication,
- 5 but adding content to a linked article may
- 6 constitute republication. You must determine
- 7 whether any added content was intended to reach a
- 8 new audience. And if you find any content added
- 9 to the hyperlink was intended to reach a new

10 audience, it constitutes a republication.

There's no content added to the

12 article. She tweeted above the article, simply 13 saying, "Today I published this." That's what she

14 said. She didn't add any content to it. She

15 could have added some of the content that you've

16 heard in this courtroom to it. She could have

17 said, "Not only did two years ago I become a

18 public figure representing domestic abuse, but let

19 me tell you about the domestic abuse that I

20 suffered." That would be adding new content.

21 This is not adding new content.

But I guess it's no surprise because

7827

1 this whole case is about blaming Amber Heard for

- 2 things she didn't do. That's what Mr. Depp does.
- 3 It's what he's always done, blame other people,
- 4 refuse to take accountability. But the problem
- 5 for him here is that he's running headlong into
- 6 the United States Constitution which says that you
- 7 cannot hold Amber Heard liable for words she
- 8 didn't write or publish.

But here we are. Here we are. And you 10 can decide this case without ever wading into any

11 of the allegations, the facts, the evidence that

12 you've heard about the heinous abuse that

13 Ms. Heard suffered at the hands of Mr. Depp. You

14 can decide that by determining that those

15 statements are true and they're protected by the

16 First Amendment.

17 But Mr. Depp brought this case, and 18 he's suggesting that he was never abusive to 19 Ms. Heard. So that's where you want to make your 20 decision. That's where the road ends for

21 Mr. Depp.

22 Ladies and gentlemen, let me be very 1 clear. If Amber was abused by Mr. Depp even one

2 time, then she wins. One time. And we're not

just talking about physical abuse. We're talking

about emotional abuse, psychological abuse,

financial abuse, sexual abuse. That's what we're

talking about.

Let's look at the evidence. You heard 8 Mr. Depp define abuse from his own mouth. He

9 admitted all of that when he talked about his

10 childhood. As they referenced, his mother called

11 him "One Eye" because he had a lazy eye. And he

12 said that that was worse than the beatings that he

13 took, worse than the beatings that he took. Being

14 called "One Eye" by his mother was worse than the

15 beatings that he took. And after the evidence

16 that you've seen, some of which you're going to

17 see, I want you to think to yourself, "Is being

18 called 'One Eye' worse than what he did to

19 Ms Heard?" Absolutely not.

20 You'll remember, his expert, Shannon

21 Curry, agreed with all that, that abuse can take

22 many forms. And you'll remember that Dawn Hughes,

1 Ms. Heard's expert, talked about the reports of

intimate partner violence by Ms. Heard

characterized by physical violence, psychological aggression, sexual violence, coercive control, and

surveillance behaviors.

6 She tested Ms. Heard. She said that

7 the tests show that there was a high degree of

8 serious violence perpetrated by Mr. Depp toward

9 Ms. Heard. She got that opinion and that

10 impression by reviewing the medical records of

11 Ms. Heard. She talked about the role of coercive 12 control that Mr. Depp exercised over Ms. Heard.

13 The effective coercive control, she said, was

14 drastic, and it was composed of things such as

15 possessive jealousy; the imbalance of power, not

16 only in physical stature and physical size, but in

17 where they were in their life, in their career; by

18 intimidation.

19 She said writing obscene messages to 20 your partner can absolutely be intimidating 21 behavior. She said that Mr. Depp, after reviewing

22 the evidence, banging and throwing and hitting

7830

1 things in the household, that's psychological

2 aggression. Punching a wall, throwing something,

3 screaming, that is abuse. That's abuse, ladies

4 and gentlemen. She said alcohol can just throw

5 lighter fluid off a flame in a situation of

6 domestic violence.

All of these things constitute the 8 domestic violence that Ms. Heard suffered at the 9 hand of Mr. Depp.

10 And he's trying to get you, to fool 11 you, to believing that he's carried his burden of 12 proof, that never a single time in their 13 relationship was he in any way, physically or 14 nonphysically, abusive to Ms. Heard. And that's 15 ridiculous. That's ridiculous. So let's look at 16 some of that evidence. And like I said, you can 17 apply your own common sense. You don't need to 18 check that at the door when you go into the 19 deliberation room.

20 I'm going to walk you through the 21 evidence, some of the evidence, that's been 22 presented, including evidence from Mr. Depp's own

1 mouth, his own words or words of the witnesses.

2 Keep in mind the burden of proof. And as we go

3 through this evidence, I'd ask you to keep this in

4 mind as well: It's not about who's the better

5 spouse. It's not about whether you think

6 Ms. Heard may have been abusive to Mr. Depp. It's

7 not. Because remember, if you think that they

8 were both abusive to each other and that's what

9 their witness, Laurel Anderson, testified to, then 10 Amber wins.

11 They're trying to trick you into

12 thinking that Amber has to be perfect in order to

13 win, even while they're ignoring J -- Mr. Depp's 14 many flaws, but don't fall for that trick.

15 Amber's not perfect. None of us are. She's never 16 pretended to be, and that's not what you're being

17 asked to decide.

One time, ladies and gentlemen, one

19 time. If he abused her one time, Amber wins.

20 Actually, if he fails to prove that he never

21 abused her one time. Amber wins.

So let's take a look at some of the 22

1 evidence. This was one of the first messages

2 shown to Mr. Depp in his cross-examination. This

3 is a message to Paul Bettany, his drug buddy,

4 early on in their relationship when he says.

"Let's burn Amber. Let's drown her before we burn

6 her. I will fuck her burnt corpse after she is

7 dead."

8 Some of the most vile, disgusting

9 language that you could ever imagine. That is 10 what he said to her at the beginning of the 11 relationship.

12 So let's look at how the relationship

13 was bookended. You remember Mr. Depp the other

14 day, in response to some texts that we'll see

15 later, saying, "I don't write like that."

This is a bookend of the relationship. 17 This is after it was over. I asked Mr. Depp to

18 read that top text. You'll remember that was the

19 only thing I asked him to read, and he immediately

20 said, "I didn't write that text," even though, of

21 course, he did, even though he wrote the text

22 underward -- under it that said, "Hopefully, that

1 cunt's rotted corpse is decomposing in the fucking 2 trunk of a Honda Civic." That's how he bookended

3 their relationship. Ladies and gentlemen, these

4 words are a window in the heart and mind of

5 America's favorite pirate. This is the real

6 Johnny Depp.

This is the real Johnny Depp after

8 Ms. Heard decided that she couldn't take it

9 anymore, decided that she need to leave him. And

10 I'm not going to reread this whole text to you.

11 But this is where she -- he says, "She's begging

12 for global humiliation, and she's going to get

13 it." He says, "I'll stop at nothing, and I can

14 only hope that Karma kicks in and takes the gift

15 of breath from her."

16 It's one of the only promises to Mr. --17 Ms. Heard that Mr. Depp has ever kept.

18 That's how he ended the relationship, 19 but you saw how he started it.

Now, Ms. Heard did testify on the stand 20 21 that Mr. Depp abused her countless times. First 22 it started, they were very happy. They started

7837

dating in 2011, and things were good. And she testified that even during periods of abuse, even during their relationship when there was abuse occurring, that there were periods where they were very happy. It's the cycle of violence. It's the cycle of sobriety. There were periods when everything was good, when the monster was gone, and then the monster would return.

You heard about how the first incidence 10 of violence took place in 2012 when Ms. Heard 11 asked Johnny about his tattoo that used to say 12 "Winona forever," and had been changed to say 13 "wino forever," and she laughed. And she said, 14 "And he slapped me across the face." She thought 15 it was a joke, and then he did it two more times, 16 and then he said, "I thought I put the monster 17 away, and I've done it before." That's what he 18 said to her during that first incidence of 19 violence.

20 But you don't just have to take what 21 Mr. Depp said in his texts. We can hear through 22 his own voice what he called Ms. Heard.

(Whereupon, the following audio was 2 played.) 3 MS. HEARD: And you go louder. Every 4 single time you get --MR. DEPP: Because you're a fucking cunt. 6 (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.) MR. ROTTENBORN: We talked about the 9 monster. You heard Mr. Depp get on the stand and 10 say that that was Ms. Heard's term, that she made 11 it up, that was what she used to refer to him when 12 she was nagging him because she didn't want him to 13 have a good time. She didn't want him to have a 14 beer once in a while is what he's implying, but 15 no. This was a term created by Mr. Depp that she 16 heard for the first time in 2012 after he slapped 17 her three times across the face and said, "I 18 thought I put the monster away." And after he sat up here on the stand,

20 under oath, and told you that she made that term

21 up, we looked back at his texts, the writing at

22 the time. The first text is after Australia, in

2015, during a period of sobriety, a short-lived
 period of recovery for Mr. Depp, when he told
 Jerry Judge, his bodyguard, "All I had to do was
 send the monster away and lock him up."

6 an email to Elton John, in 2012 referring to
7 himself as the monster. He tells Dr. Kipper, the
8 doctor to whom he's paid millions and millions and
9 millions of dollars, "Thank you, my darling
10 Kipper." He says, "I've locked my monster child
11 away in a cage deep within."

Remember he texted Elton John, or sent

He tells Stephen Deuters. You know
13 Mr. Deuters. He liked to text Mr. Deuters. He
14 tells him, "She thinks my Peruvian period," which
15 is a reference to cocaine, he admitted to that,
16 that that means him using cocaine, "has made me a
17 monster and that I'm ruining the relationship."

18 Imagine that, someone actually thinking 19 that maybe the impact of alcohol and cocaine is 20 ruining your relationship. How dare she? He 21 says, "Need to discuss the news helicopters. The 22 monster -- I want to shoot a motherfucker, but

7835

don't worry; the monster is not involved."

Mr. Depp knows that he can turn into a
monster. He knows that. He knows that. He got
up here on the stand to try to deny that to you.
Talk about a lack of accountability. Let's see
the monster. Let's see the monster in the flesh.

(Whereupon, the following video was
played.)

9 MR. DEPP: Motherfucker. Motherfucker. 10 MS. HEARD: What happened? What 11 happened? Nothing happened this morning, you know 12 that?

MR. DEPP: Were you in here?

14 MS. HEARD: No.

MR. DEPP: So then nothing happened to 16 you this morning.

MS. HEARD: Yeah. You're right. I 18 just woke up, and you were so sweet and nice. We 19 were not evening fighting this morning. All I did 20 was say sorry.

21 MR. DEPP: Did something happen to you 22 this morning? I don't think so.

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

7838

MS. HEARD: No. That's the thing.
MR. DEPP: You want to see crazy? I'll
give you fucking crazy. That's crazy. All your
crazy.

5 MS. HEARD: Have you drunk this whole 6 thing this morning?

7 MR. DEPP: Oh, you got this going? You 8 got this going?

9 MS. HEARD: No, I just started it.

12

MR. DEPP: Oh, really, oh, really? You

MS. HEARD: No, I didn't. You were 13 smashing shit.

14 MR. DEPP: Bye. Ass. (Indiscernible.)

15 (Whereupon, the video recording ended.)

MR. ROTTENBORN: Do you see Ms. Heard 17 laughing in that? She's not laughing at that.

18 Mr. Depp, in this courtroom, right now is laughing.

19 and making snide remarks as that video is being

20 played. Who does that? Who does that?

21 Imagine being in Amber's shoes on 22 February 10th, 2016, videotaping him. Because

7839

1 when he's sober and sweet, you've never loved
2 anything more, but when he mixes the drugs and he
3 mixes drinks, he turns into this man. You've seen

4 it before. You're praying it won't happen again,

5 but deep down you know it will. You know that

6 that man will come out. You know that monster

7 will come out, and you want him to change.

8 Imagine watching your husband, the person you

9 love, behaving violently that way, like a wild

10 animal. That is abuse. That's abuse. You don't

11 have to look at that incident in isolation to find

12 that it's abuse. You can look at it in the

13 context of their whole relationship. This isn't

14 about breaking down a series of individual days

15 that you've heard evidence about. This is about

16 the cumulative effect of Mr. Depp and Ms. Heard's

17 relationship and whether that constitutes abuse.

18 That is abuse, ladies and gentlemen. That's

19 domestic abuse.

20 And their response is, she sold it to 21 TMZ, which she didn't. There's no evidence of.

22 And she was on a plane, the only evidence suggests

1 she was on an international flight when

2 Mr. Tremaine testified that they received it and

3 it was validated within something like 15 minutes,

4 which could only have happened by the person who

5 sent it. Ms. Heard was in the air. She didn't

6 send it to TMZ. She never leaked anything to TMZ,

7 as you heard from her own mouth. Who would want

that to become public?

9 Again, in order to find for Mr. Depp,
10 you would have to find that for his defamation
11 claim, every single thing that ever happened
12 between them that could constitute abuse, Amber
13 was the abuser, every single time. You would have
14 to believe the unbelievable. You all are smarter
15 than that.

16 But Mr. Depp thought he could hide it.
17 He thought he could hide the monster. That's why
18 he lied in insurance forms that you have to fill
19 out in order to be able to act. That's why he
20 said he hadn't taken illegal substances, whether
21 prescribed by a physician or not. That obviously
22 wasn't true, within the past 12 months.

7841

They keep talking about Mr. Depp's role
as a father. This is what he sent, a text that he
sent, DX207, "Now Lily-Rose," his daughter, "hates
me because she thinks I'm drinking, and she's
right but I can't admit it or I fucking die in her

This is Mr. Depp passed out in a Tokyo
hotel room during the press tour for the
Lone Ranger in 2013, when Ms. Heard testified that
To Mr. Depp screamed at me, and all I could think
were the kids were in an adjoining room, and
Mr. Depp passed out with his head like this. I
don't think he was sleeping on the floor because
the had a bad back. They keep referring to these
pictures as she took pictures of Mr. Depp while he
was asleep. He's not asleep in that picture, he's
passed out, drunk and high, and she's taking
pictures because she wants him to realize what

20 I don't think that looks like the spice 21 cabinet of anyone in this courtroom. That's 22 Mr. Depp. This is Mr. Depp.

(Whereupon, a photograph was shown.) 2 This is Mr. Depp. 3 This is Mr. Depp. And all you hear from Mr. Depp, when these pictures are shown, is snickering and

6 defiance. Victim blaming, Blaming Ms. Heard for taking this picture of him. For trying to help.

So let's talk about some of these 9 instances of abuse -- oh, forgot one.

10 In March 2013, talked about the wino 11 forever tattoo, in March 2013, there were a couple 12 instances. There was one on March 8th, and we'll 13 get to that in a second, where he was drinking 14 brown liquor and doing a lot of cocaine. Or that 15 might march -- sorry, that was March 22nd. On 16 March 8th, this is when Mr. Depp backhanded her 17 and she felt that her lip went into her teeth and 18 got a little blood on the wall. He grabbed her by 19 the arm and held her on the floor, screaming at 20 her.

21 (Whereupon, a photograph was shown.) 22 We'll come back to this in a minute.

7843

This, is March 22nd, this is what 2 Mr. Depp said, isn't every hour happy hour? 3 Again, totally abandoning any responsibility for 4 behavior like this. But what happened on 5 March 22nd is he wanted Ms. Heard to remove a 6 painting by her ex-partner, he wanted her to admit 7 to an affair that she wasn't having. She didn't 8 admit to it because it wasn't true, so he decided 9 to have some lines of cocaine and some whiskey for 10 breakfast. And then, on the way to filming the 11 Keith Richards documentary, after a multi-hour 12 argument, he grabbed their dog, their teacup 13 Yorkie and holds the dog, Boo, out of the window 14 of a moving car and he's howling like an animal, 15 Ms. Heard said, while holding the dog out of the

18 Let's go back to this. Because 19 Ms. Vasquez read a parade of witnesses that she 20 believes support Johnny's defense in this case. 21 But as you've seen, over the course of this

16 car. That is abuse, ladies and gentlemen. That's

17 abuse.

22 proceeding, these witnesses, as I previewed in the

1 opening, almost all of them are witnesses on his 2 payroll. They're all scared to say anything bad about him. And they've seen what happens to people who do. And none were there for the 5 instances of domestic violence. What they're 6 saying is, oh, if he didn't abuse Ms. Heard in front of his four bodyguards, then it must have 8 never happened. That's essentially what they're 9 asking you to believe. That's ridiculous. That's 10 not the way domestic violence works. Take his 11 sister Christi. I know it seems like a year ago, 12 but she was the first witness in this case. You 13 remember her on the stand, when I presented her. 14 these texts that say stop drinking, stop coke and 15 stop pills. You remember her squirming and 16 saying, oh, I don't think I was asking him to stop 17 drinking, stop coke, and stop pills. She couldn't 18 answer that basic question because she wanted to 19 lie for Mr. Depp and say that Ms. Heard was 20 delusional. She couldn't even admit this to you. 21 She's nothing but an enabler.

The same with Sean Bett, his head of

1 security, who has been sitting in this courtroom

2 for the past six weeks. He's right there.

3 Mr. Bett was the one who testified to you that

4 when Mr. Depp has a few drinks of alcohol, he

5 said -- I wrote this down, he said it's like the

6 rest of us drinking sparkling water. I don't

7 think that kitchen video that we just saw was like

8 you or I when we drink sparkling water. This is 9 the same Sean Bett who said that on the evening of

10 May 21st, 2016, which Elaine will talk about in a

11 little bit, he said Mr. Depp may have bumped

12 something off a table. You've seen the pictures.

13 You'll see them again.

14 Just like Travis McGivern said that 15 Mr. Depp rearranged Ms. Heard's closet. You've 16 seen the pictures. You'll see them again.

17 Just like Tara Roberts, in the Bahamas, 18 who tried to blame everything on Ms. Heard, and 19 only under cross-examination admitted that 20 Mr. Depp passed out, face down in the sand 21 underneath a hammock and his son, Jack, found him 22 there.

PLANET DEPOS -888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

She took a video of their cabin in the
Bahamas to try to suggest to you that this assault
that Ms. Heard alleges couldn't have taken place,
but conveniently, she didn't take the camera into
the closet where Mr. Depp held Ms. Heard, hit her.
We'll get to that incident. She didn't take the
video camera into the bathroom, where he sexually
assaulted Ms. Heard. She didn't do that because
she wanted to generate evidence only favorable to
Mr. Depp.

Think about Sean Bett and Starling
12 Jenkins' conflicting stories about the birthday
13 party on April 21st. Remember, Mr. Depp
14 experienced horrific financial news on the evening
15 of April 21st, 2016. He learned that he was,
16 essentially, out of money and needed to start
17 selling things. We all know what Mr. Depp does
18 when he receives bad news like that. He left the
19 meeting at 9:30 and didn't arrive at Ms. Heard's
20 birthday party until 11:15. Okay, fine, he was
21 late. But we all know what he does when he has
22 that hour and 45 minutes of free time and he's

stressed out about something.

Mr. Bett testified, remember, he said, 3 I took him to his home on Sweetzer Avenue, his 4 other home, to pick up a birthday present for 5 Ms. Heard. That's what he said. That's what he 6 said. Mr. Jenkins, you may remember him, he 7 testified by video link on the stand, and I said, 8 you don't know what he did in that intervening 9 time period. He said, oh, yes, I do. I do. He 10 said Mr. Depp was visiting his sick mother. And I 11 said, who told you that? And he said Mr. Bett. 12 The witnesses that Mr. Depp has paraded 13 up here, who are here in person, they're here in 14 person because they're on his payroll, almost all 15 of them. And they're telling you whatever they 16 think they need to tell you to get you to take 17 Mr. Depp's side. Just as we previewed they would 18 do in our opening.

But Mr. Depp would go through periods 20 where he would apologize, where he would be 21 grateful. I have no doubt that there were times 22 when Mr. Depp wanted to get better. These are

texts, PX120A and 120B, I won't read them all to
 you, these are texts that Mr. Depp sent Paige
 Heard, Amber's mom, after detox on the island in
 2014.

He says there were more than a few times when I thought it would be more simple to take that route, meaning going for a swim and swallow a big drink of ocean. He said it was Amber and Amber alone that got me through this. There's no luckier man on this earth to have the strength that Amber gives me and the full support 12 of each of you.

So, as you're weighing the evidence and 14 you're weighing Mr. Depp's credibility, think to 15 yourself, what do I believe, what he has a motive 16 to say on the stand or what he wrote 17 contemporaneously with the events?

Take his own words to Amber after the 19 detox. "Just to let you know that I'm fine, my 20 angel. I miss you, of course, but this was the 21 right thing to do to speed up the process. I love 22 you more than life."

7847

7849

1 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed.)
2 But then there were these days, and
3 then there were times like this. This is sent on
4 March 9th, we had a slightly grim morning, is the

5 way Mr. Depp describes it. You'll remember the 6 text from a few days later, referred to as the

7 disco bloodbath text. Where Ms. Heard says, "Is

8 that about last Friday night, by any chance?"

9 Mr. Depp responds, "How can you make me smile 10 about such a hideous moment?" He testified, on 11 the stand, I don't remember what happened that 12 Friday night. But Ms. Heard sure did.

And this, this is one of the things
14 that she had to show for it. Now, Ms. Vasquez
15 showed this to you in opening statements and made
16 it seem like, again, remember what I said at the
17 beginning, if you take pictures, they're fake. If
18 you didn't take pictures, it didn't happen.
19 Here's one where she did take a picture, but
20 Ms. Vasquez says, but she doesn't have injuries on
21 her face. Look at the date that this picture was
22 taken, ladies and gentlemen, March 23rd, 2013,

1 15 days after this incident. So even when there 2 are pictures, they're trying to deceive you into 3 thinking, oh, there should have been more. This 4 is a two-week-old bruise, and she texted it -- 5 look who she texts that to, she texts it to her 6 mom. They say she never told anyone. Text to 7 mom, March 23rd, 2013.

8 Let's go to Hicksville. You remember
9 Hicksville. Obviously, there's been a little bit
10 of discussion about that in this case. This is
11 where Mr. Depp got jealous that a woman named
12 Kelly Sue was sitting close to Ms. Heard and maybe
13 had her arm around her at a campfire. And
14 everyone was having a good time. There were some
15 mushrooms involved, there was some drinking, and
16 Mr. Depp got jealous of that. Now, he claims that
17 Ms. Heard is the abuser, that she's so much
18 stronger than he is, but then he testified that
19 the evidence shows that in Hicksville, he was the
20 one who said he was just trying to protect Amber.
21 Well, who says -- why would she need protection if
22 she's the abuser? And he went and he took Kelly

7851 1 Sue's hand, forcibly removed it from Ms. Heard, 2 embarrassing Ms. Heard, ruining the mood of the 3 whole night, so they went and they got into an 4 argument in the trailer, in which not only did he 5 trash the trailer, but he took her into the 6 bathroom, he slammed her into the side wall of the 7 bedroom by her neck, holds her there -- sorry, 8 that was the next one. In Hicksville, he took her 9 into the bathroom of the trailer and did what he 10 called a cavity search, where he inserted his 11 fingers inside of her and sexually assaulted her, 12 and then trashed the trailer. And you heard this 13 Morgan Night person who testified a few days ago, 14 who said it was \$62 of damage. You also heard 15 evidence the way he got involved in this trial was 16 by reaching out to a -- one of the main Johnny 17 Depp fan influencers on social media, and you saw 18 that text. That's how he injected himself into 19 this trial. There was way more than \$62 of 20 damage. You heard testimony from Rocky 21 Pennington, Whitney Heard, Whitney Henriquez, and 21 daughter. Sickening. 22 Amber about him trashing the trailer. And is that

1 really a surprise? The man's admitted that he
2 smashes things when he gets angry. The man's
3 admitted that he's smashed hotel rooms. You saw
4 the quote that he admitted to the other day, that
5 when he wants to hit something, he's going to hit,
6 and he doesn't care about the repercussions.

So, in Hicksville, Hicksville was late
May 2013, this is a text, another text to drug
buddy Bettany, where he says "I, of course,
lo pounded and displayed ugly colors to Amber on
lrecent journey. I'm an insane person and not so
la fair-headed after too much of the drink. Weed,
la pills, fine. Booze, my capacity is too large, and
lu I won't stop. Ugly and sad. Oh, how I love it."

THE COURT: It appears to be an Amber
la Alert, but I think we are okay. People are
getting it, I guess, on their phones that hadn't
la silenced that.

19 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay, Your Honor. 20 Should I keep going?

21 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

22

MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.

7853

So that's after Hicksville. This is what I'm getting to next. This, remember the testimony about Mr. Depp being drunk on his yacht, on the island in the Bahamas, in 2013, when Mr. Depp looked a little scary by the way his body fell into the water, and Lily-Rose, his daughter, 7 started to cry. Ms. Heard comforted Lily-Rose and 8 then Depp accused Amber of making them aware of 9 this, that he was drinking again, making his kids 10 aware of this. And he slammed her up against the 11 side wall of the bedroom, held her up by her neck, 12 held her there for a second, and said, I can 13 fucking kill you and you're an embarrassment. 14 That's what he said. And then do you remember 15 what happened? Lily-Rose and Amber helicoptered 16 away from the island. And while Amber was in the 17 helicopter with Lily-Rose, Mr. Depp sends her this 18 piece of poetry. Calling her a lesbian camp 19 counselor because she cared about his drinking, 20 and just ridiculing her for trying to protect his This text, let's fast-forward a little 22

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

7854

1 bit to -- actually, before we talk about this, 2 this is the Boston flight. Let's talk about the

3 Moscow flight. The Moscow flight, when Mr. Depp

4 and Amber, she said that was the one time she took

ecstasy or MDMA with Mr. Depp and she learned her

lesson. When he hit her on the plane, when he

threatened to break the flight attendant's wrist.

8 Ms. Vasquez, again, just tried to tell you, oh, he

9 always wore rings. Now, Ms. Heard said almost all

10 the time he did. Maybe sometimes when he didn't.

11 And in the picture that she just showed you,

12 IPX 1248, you can take a look at that when you

13 review the evidence, that's a picture taken in

14 Moscow with no rings, other than a wedding ring,

15 on his finger, or some kind of ring. He's wearing

16 one ring on his finger. And so, in Mr. Depp's

17 world, they're going to try to come at you either

18 way and say well, he was wearing rings, but it

19 didn't leave a mark on your face, and then they

20 show you a picture where he wasn't wearing rings

21 during that trip.

22 So that's what happened in Moscow.

7855

On September 2013, in London, Mr. Depp 2 was filming with Paul Bettany, he missed a few days of work, going to a multi-day drug binge, and 4 that's when he told Ms. Heard that if she accepted an invitation and went to a concert with a friend, 6 that she was starring in the movie with, that the engagement would be off. Talk about coercive 8 control that Dawn Hughes testified is abuse. That 9 is abuse.

10 And there's been a lot mentioned in 11 this case by Mr. Depp about Ms. Heard not wanting 12 him to leave. Ms. Heard not wanting him to leave. 13 Well, this is why she didn't want him to leave, 14 because he goes off with his friends and he twists 15 off, as he calls it and goes off on a multi-day 16 bender. That's why she didn't want him to leave 17 when he got spun up like that and she could see 18 the monster coming.

19 So let's fast-forward to the Boston 20 plane incident. Mr. Depp was jealous that she was 21 filming a movie with James Franco. Ms. Heard 22 testified that when she met him in Boston, she was

1 on the plane, his car pulled up. She could guess,

2 by the way he was moving, she knew what was going

3 to board that plane, and it wasn't Mr. Depp, the

4 man. It was Mr. Depp, the monster. She said, I

5 already know he's drunk, I already know he's

using. And then when he got on the plane, he

straight-up started taunting her. He called her a

8 gold digger and a slut. He started throwing

things at her, ice cubes and utensils, and he

10 slapped her face against the window when she was

11 seated. And when she got up to move, how dare

12 she, he kicked her in the back. She said, I feel

13 this boot in my back. And he continued to drink

14 and eventually started howling like an animal,

15 passed out in the bathroom.

16 Let's play 221, please.

17 (Whereupon, the following audio

18 recording was played.)

19 MR. DEPP: (Moaning.)

20 (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.)

21 MR. ROTTENBORN: That's Mr. Depp on the

22 plane. But he expects you to believe him, that he

7857

1 didn't have anything to drink on that plane except

2 for, maybe, one thing of champagne. That's what

3 he expects you to believe. He's talking about

4 blackouts. These blackouts where he doesn't

remember what happened. He blames them only on

opiates, but we know they happened throughout,

where he doesn't remember what's happening. 8 As you're weighing the evidence, as 9 you're assessing whether Mr. Depp has borne his 10 burden of proof, think to yourself, do you believe 11 Amber's account of what happened on the plane or 12 do you believe that person's account, that you 13 just heard? But, actually, you can look at this 14 account from a few days later. May 30th, in which 15 he told, again, Paul Bettany, he said, "Drank all 16 night before I picked Amber up to fly to LA. No 17 food for days, powders, half a bottle of whiskey, 18 a thousand Red Bulls and vodkas, pills, two 19 bottles of champagne, and what do you get? An 20 angry agro engine and a fucking blackout, 21 screaming obscenities and insulting any fuck who

22 got near. I'm done. I'm admittedly too fucked in

12 trial.

7858

1 the head to spray my rage at the one I love. For 2 little reason as well. I'm too old to be that guy, but pills are fine." 4

And he expects you to believe that he has carried his burden of proof in showing that no abuse happened on that plane.

You don't have to look at just that, 8 you can look at this text of Patty Smith, when he 9 says "When I was in NYC, there were brief visits 10 and I fucked and charged by horrific fights with 11 Amber. I fucked up and drank and got shitty. Was 12 so disappointed in myself,"

13 You can look at his text to Ms. Heard, 14 the next day, where he says "Once again, I find 15 myself in a place of shame and regret. Of course 16 I'm sorry. I really don't know why or what 17 happened." Well, no wonder. You heard the voice 18 on the plane, of course he doesn't know what 19 happened. "But I will never do it again. My 20 illness somehow crept up and grabbed me. I must 21 get better. I love you and feel so bad for 22 letting you down."

And what his response has been, 2 throughout this trial, to things like that is blaming Amber, saying he is just placating her. Is that showing burden of proof and showing that abuse hasn't happened even a single time? 6 This is in the lead-up to Australia. but before we get there, we can talk about January 25th, in Tokyo, at the premiere of 9 Mortdecai, where he did slam her up against the

10 hallway wall, whale on her, and he did hit her on 11 the back in the closet. And what do they say? 12 Look at her backless dress. She doesn't have any 13 bruises. She testified, herself, that she was 14 checking out pictures because she was concerned

15 that there were. So, now, their theory is, if

16 there's no marks, it didn't happen. We all know 17 that's not the case.

February 2015, this is when they got 19 married. The day they got married, you heard the 20 testimony from iO Tillett Wright, where Mr. Depp 21 told him, I can now punch her anytime I want and 22 no one can do anything about it. He'll say it's a

1 joke, a joke he made on his wedding day.

Then we fast-forward to Australia. where Ms. Heard is flying from London, where she 4 is filming the Danish Girl, to see her new husband

5 for the first time since their wedding, and these 6 are excerpts from DX 353. All but the bottom

7 right one are in the days leading up to this,

8 where he's on a drug-fueled bender. He's hanging 9 out with Marilyn Manson, he's getting drugs from

10 his assistants, who are enablers, again. There's 11 a reason you didn't hear them testify in this

13 They're giving him drugs for days and 14 days. And then the one on the bottom right, 15 you'll remember, you've seen a couple times. This 16 is after he chopped his finger off, when he says 17 need more cocaine and ecstasy.

18 So -- and no one, Ms. Heard was in 19 touch with his assistants, but no one told her the 20 hornet's nest that she was walking into. And we 21 all know what happened in Australia. We all know 22 that Mr. Depp, she gets there, he pulls out a bag

7859

1 of MDMA, and he took a handful. She didn't say he

2 took ten at a time. She did say he took eight to

3 ten over the course of that period. The second

day, Mr. Depp, who claims that Ms. Heard refused

to do a postnup, calls Amber's domestic relations

attorney, Michele Mulrooney, you heard from her,

when he was drunk and fired her. And he told

Amber, for about the 25th time, she said, the only

way out of this is death. I don't want a postnup.

10 And then she said that she remembered him slanming

11 her against the wall. I won't go through, you

12 remember her testimony from Australia. You

13 remember her saying that he had her by the neck,

14 squeezing her neck. You remember her saying that

15 he threw her on the games table, like a ping-pong

16 table. You remember seeing pictures of that

17 broken table. You remember her saying that, at

18 some point, he had a broken bottle up against her

19 face and he told her that he'd carve off her face,

20 that he was throwing bottles at her. He's

21 throwing bottles, one after another, and I could 22 feel glass breaking behind me. And you've seen

7865

7862

the evidence of that. He was just, over and over
 again, smashing the phone into the wall, screaming
 at me, as she watched it break into pieces.

Now, she doesn't know how he lost his finger. She said that she saw him smash a phone to pieces, and you heard him get impeached the other day with his testimony from the U.K., which is different from what he testified here, where he said that he did smash the phone, and then they tried to put that picture in front of you with the lidesk phone and suggest that that was it. It's deception. Trying to deceive you.

Then you heard Ms. Heard testify that

14 the next morning, she found meat that he left all
15 over the house, but not before what happened
16 before she went to bed that night. When Ms. Heard
17 testified "And I remember trying to get up and I
18 was slipping on the glass." Wanting to move -- "I
19 felt this pressure, pressure in my pubic bone. I
20 remember just not wanting to move because I didn't
21 know if it was broken. I didn't know if the
22 bottle that he had inside me was broken."

This is the next morning. This is what

1 "call Carly Simon." She didn't write that. Who
2 was the lunatic in the house? Who was writing
3 'everywhere? There's no evidence she wrote that.
4 She testified she doesn't know who Carly Simon was
5 until she was told.
6 This is some of the evidence. Mr. Depp
7 told you a couple different stories the other day
8 about the evidence on the right. He claimed that
9 that was the handle of vodka, between those two
10 beer cans there. But then under
11 cross-examination, he admitted it's not, that
12 there's not enough glass on the floor, that

13 there's no handle of vodka on the floor. But what 14 there is in the picture on the right is evidence

15 of when he was throwing bottles past Amber's head 16 and breaking that plate glass window. More

17 writing. More abuse on the right. Imagine having 18 a painting area where you're drawing two canvases,

19 and you and your husband get in a fight, and while 20 you're asleep that night, he takes the bloody

21 stump of his finger and dips it in paint and

22 destroys your paintings.

7863

Ladies and gentlemen, that's abuse.
 Good luck and be careful at the top.
 And this is a good chance to talk at

And this is a good chance to talk about Mr. Depp's controlling and jealous rage and his desire to control Amber's career.

6 He didn't want her to act. As early as 7 2013, he tells his sister, I don't need actress 8 bullshit and her fucking ambition. He tells her 9 in this recording.

10 (Whereupon, the following audio 11 recording was played.)

12 MS. HEARD: Have you looked at the 13 bigger picture at --

MR. DEPP: I become irrational when 15 you're doing movies. I become jealous and fucking 16 crazy and weird, and you know, we fight a lot 17 more.

18 (Whereupon, the audio recording ended.)

MR. ROTTENBORN: "I become jealous when 20 you're doing movies. I become irrational and 21 fucking crazy and weird," out of his own mouth.

This is some of notes of Dr. Blaustein,

2 he wrote with the bloody stump of his finger. 3 There's been testimony about what happened to cut 4 the finger off. But frankly, it's irrelevant to 5 your deliberations here. Amber could have chopped 5 6 it off with an axe, and it has nothing to do with 7 whether or not Mr. Depp abused her, but we all 8 know she didn't. We all know that his fingers 9 weren't curled and someone was standing with a 10 half gallon vodka bottle, from about where that 11 canopy is, and threw it and it somehow managed to 12 damage just the bottom of the finger and leave the 13 nail fully intact. We all know that didn't 14 happen. Mr. Depp knows that didn't happen. We'll 15 see some evidence on that. But here, he writes about her ambitions 17 "Starring Billy Bob and easy Amber." We've talked 18 about controlling jealousy. We talked about him 19 not wanting her to act. Dawn Hughes testified how 20 that's a form of intimate partner abuse.

Here, he's writing on the mirror again,

22 and they tried to blame her and say that she wrote

22

7869 ·

1 his therapist, "Called himself destructive," 2 something about "wait up to fight the devil. 3 jealous," and I know this is hard to read, but you 4 can see "jealous," you can see "paranoia, fear, 5 envy." He wanted to control her. He wanted to own her. He didn't want her to have any career.

And then he says things like, "I have other uses for your throat which do not include 9 injury." No one who's -- hasn't previously 10 grabbed someone by the throat would write "which 11 do not include injury," but we all know how 12 Mr. Depp thinks along these lines. Because you 13 saw these two texts the other day that he sat on 14 the stand and denied writing, and I'm not going to 15 read them again. Because they're the most vulgar, 16 vile writing you can imagine.

17 He said, "That's not my style. I 18 wouldn't write like that." Of course he would, 19 and he did. These are texts that he produced, 20 that he gave to Amber and our team in this 21 litigation, from him to his personal assistant 22 Stephen Deuters. This is the way he thinks about

7866

1 2015. After just a few weeks in Australia,

2 Mr. Depp has a finger injury, no doubt about that.

He comes home. He gets what they call a soft cast

on it, which you heard his treating physician and

our expert say doesn't have sides on it. But it's

a hard plaster cast over the top of his finger

that can be wielded like a club.

8 And they got in an argument when 9 Ms. Heard discovered that he was having an affair 10 with a woman named Rochelle that had been going on

11 before the wedding, after the wedding, and she

12 confronts him about that. No surprise there.

13 They got in a huge argument. At some point in the

14 argument, he bolted up the stairs. Now, Travis

15 McGivern claims that she threw a Red Bull can at

16 him. She and Whitney Henriquez say that

17 absolutely didn't happen.

18 But even if you believe Mr. McGivern, 19 at some point, Mr. Depp went up the stairs; he 20 went to the fight. This is the man that claims he 21 always want to run, and this time he had his

22 bodyguard with him. So he could have just walked

1 women, this, not what you heard on the stand, 2 that. It's disgusting.

13

He admitted that he cut his finger off. This is evidence of him admitting to it to people 5 other than Amber. "I cut the top of my middle 6 finger off," says that to Dr. Kipper. Says it 7 again to Dr. Kipper. The bottom one, he says to 8 Nurse Falati: "I just chopped my finger off."

But he does say it to Amber too, 10 remember? We played this one four times, and 11 Mr. Depp denied that he was actually saying "the 12 day that I chopped my finger off." Let's hear it 13 one more time.

14 (Whereupon, the following audio was 15 played.)

MR. DEPP: I'm talking about Australia, 17 the day that I chopped my finger off.

MS. HEARD: No, we're talking about 19 Australia? Okay.

MR. ROTTENBORN: "The day that I 20 21 chopped my finger off."

22 So let's fast-forward to March 23rd, 7867 1 out the door, but he runs up the stairs. And

2 Ms. Henriquez is standing on the precipice of the

3 stairs. And Amber admitted that when she thought

4 he was about to push her down the stairs, as he's

grabbing at her and Amber with his free hand and

trying to club them with the casted hand, that he

said, "I punched him square in the face."

"I punched him square in the face."

8 And they showed you a picture of the 10 shiner that she had -- that Mr. Depp had. 11 Ms. Heard doesn't deny that. They're trying to 12 say she's the abuser for defending herself and her 13 sister from this animal who's running at them, 1'4 this person that they claim couldn't possibly have 15 done this because he had a hurt finger. Yet he 16 could have done this. This is what Mr. McGivern

17 said was rearranging her closet. I don't know what you-all do when you 19 rearrange your closets, but I hope it doesn't look 20 like this. This is throwing a clothing rack down 21 this stairs. This is knocking this over. This is 22 what he did to rearrange her closet with his hurt

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

I finger that he couldn't possibly have hit 2 Ms. Heard with, they claim. That, in and of 3 itself, that destruction of property, even if he 4 hadn't hit her that night, that's abuse.

Imagine being married to someone and 6 walking on eggshells so thick that you don't know if you set them off, if that's what's going to 8 happen. That's abuse, and it's disgusting.

But sometimes he would apologize. He'd 10 say, "I can't express how sorry I am for having 11 stooped so low and to have spewed such vicious 12 untruths for the sole purpose of hurting you. 13 Grievous error, shameful."

So he went in these cycles. He went in 15 these cycles. She thought he could change for 16 good, and when it was good, it was really good. 17 But then sometimes, things like December 15th came 17 continue to blame the victim. 18 around where she remembers him chasing her in the 19 kitchen. She remembers him shoving her two or 20 three times and sending her toppling over a chaise 21 lounge and saying, "Do you really want to go 22 again, tough guy?" And she looked at him, and he

1 balled up his fist, leaned back, and headbutted 2 her square in the nose and pounded her head with 3 the back of his fist so she couldn't breathe on 4 that bed that we're going to see in a second, where she was suffocating in this pillow top.

And she said, "This is when I thought I was going to die. He's going to kill me, and he 8 doesn't even know what he's doing because he's out 9 of his mind."

16

And these are the pictures that they 10 11 claim -- I don't know what they're claiming. I 12 don't know if they're claiming she painted on the 13 bruise. "Oh, you weren't hurt badly enough; so 14 therefore, you're making it up"? Look under her 15 eye.

And, again, their theory is that all of 16 17 this is a lie, all of this was some grand hoax. 18 If this were a hoax, ladies and gentlemen, she'd 19 have worse injuries than that. She'd really do it 20 up. She took pictures as they existed, when she 21 could at the time of abuse, and yet Ms. Vasquez 22 has the nerve to say, "Well, why didn't you

1 videotape an incident of abuse?"

2 What? As she's being hit, she's supposed to somehow grab a video camera with one hand while she's defending herself with another hand? You heard her in one of the audio tapes, talking about when she hit him as he was trying to barge at her and she got her feet caught under a 8 door as he's coming after her. And, of course, as 9 Dawn Hughes testified to, victims, of course, of 10 control like this, they do try to appease their 11 abusive partner and apologize for things that 12 aren't their fault. "So as you're coming at me, 13 Johnny, and you push a door into me and I have to 14 hit you to get away to protect myself." Yeah, she 15 did apologize for that because that's the cycle of 16 violence. That's what victims do, yet they

There's no evidence she painted on that 19 bloody lip. And again, if we're talking about a 20 hoax. The next day, she went on James Corden, 21 they say, "Well, you can't see any injury there, 22 so it must not have happened." She would have to

7871

1 be the dumbest person in the world to say, "I'm going to commit an abuse hoax. Let's do it the day before I go on national television. Let's do it the day before."

But you heard Melanie Inglessis, her 6 makeup artist, testify in great deal -- and I 7 didn't understand half the terms about makeup that 8 she was using -- but she testified in great detail 9 about exactly what she did to cover up those 10 bruises, the red lipstick that she put to cover up 11 the busted lip. You heard the testimony of that 12 from a neutral party who said exactly what she 13 did. She talked about how she looked at the color 14 wheel to neutralize the bruising.

15 They claim it was just an accidental 16 headbutt. Well, no accidental headbutt rips out 17 hair. They claim, "Oh, Ms. Heard somehow used a 18 pocketknife to cut this portion of the bed out to 19 stage this." Well, I don't know if that's a 20 pocketknife or not, but the only testimony in this 21 trial about a pocket knife is Whitney Henriquez 22 saying Mr. Depp carried a pocketknife in his

pocket every day. We all know where that -- if it
 is a pocketknife, where that came from.
 I think the most telling -- one of the
 most telling things about this incident is the
 text he sent to David Heard, Amber's dad, two

6 weeks later, where he says, "I meant to send this 7 to you a week or so ago. I'm sending it to you

8 now," and he says -- I forget if it's a text or an

9 email, but you can look at DX580 -- he says, "Yes. 10 I fucked up and went too far in our fight."

11 Because as a result of that fight and the bruises 12 that all of her family saw, the family refused to 13 go to the Bahamas with him for Christmas.

The family refused to go to the Bahamas
15 for Christmas, and on that trip to the island,
16 Mr. Depp kept passing out. And every time he
17 passed out, he would dump a glass of wine on
18 Amber's lap, and she didn't like that and she
19 yelled at him. And because it was in front of his

20 kids, he got up -- this is the one where Tara 21 Robert took the video, but she didn't show you the 22 closet; she didn't show you the bathroom -- and

7875

22

1 Amber says, "While holding my neck" -- this is in 2 the closet -- "he said, 'I'll fucking kill you if 3 you ever speak to me like that in front of my kids 4 again because you embarrass me."

And then he took her into the bathroom,
and she said that this time, "He grabbed my
vagina, shoved his fingers inside me, but through
my bathing suit, and held me there and asked me if
I was so fucking tough." And then she ran out of
the house, and to keep him away from her, that's
when he claims, and she admits, she threw
something at him; she didn't know what it was.
If's been testimony it was a can of mineral
spirits, that she claimed -- that hit Mr. Depp.
And Mr. Depp is somehow the victim in that
encounter? Come on.

We talked about the plane -- the train 18 ride the Asia. Well, you saw the pictures taken 19 before they got on the train ride where Mr. Depp 20 has the same shadowing under his eye that he does 21 in the allegedly abusive picture. But in that 22 picture, he held Ms. Heard -- in that train ride,

7876

1 talk about a honeymoon -- he held her up against
2 the wall while she was trying to get his arms off
3 her neck. And he was squeezing her neck against
4 the railway car. "And at some point, I pull the
5 lapel of his shirt." He rips the shirt off, and
6 she woke up with the shirt around her neck and a
7 giant knot on the back of her head.

8 Let's fast-forward to her birthday
9 party, just very quickly. He experienced terrible
10 financial news that night. He came over. They
11 got into a fight. There's been conflicting
12 testimony about that. But Amber said, "I hit the
13 side of the desk. He chest bumped me. He fell to
14 the floor. I fell to the floor, and when I got
15 back up, he held me down by the shoulders." And
16 that's when he grabbed her by the pubic bone, and
17 pushed her down.

And you'll remember Ms. Vasquez showing 19 her her interrogatory answers where she details a 20 lot of things and saying, "Nowhere in there does 21 it say that."

And Ms. Heard says, "It actually does

7877

on page 64," and pointed out to Ms. Vasquez
exactly where it says that. That's abuse. It's
sexual abuse.

But we all know what Mr. Depp does when he experiences terrible financial news -- or terrible news. He experienced terrible news that night on the way to the birthday party, where his -- both of his bodyguards have testified that he was either visiting his sick mom or getting a 10 birthday present for Amber.

11 And then we know what happens the day 12 after his mother passed away on May 21st, 2016. 13 And we're going to skip through a little bit of 14 this now and Elaine will talk about this in the 15 context of the counterclaim, but these are 16 pictures afterwards. These are pictures that now 17 they're claiming that -- "Oh, they must have been 18 faked." There's been no testimony in this case 19 that these pictures were faked. There's been no 20 testimony that the marks you see on Amber's face, 21 including this mark with a straight line from 22 below her eye to the top of her temple that lines

7878

1 up directly with a phone, there's been no 2 testimony that those are faked.

Mr. Depp went through the house. He
destroyed pictures of her friends. That's abuse.
Property destruction like that, in and of itself,
it's abuse. He destroyed her office. He
destroyed her friend Rocky Pennington's
preparation for the bead show that she was having.
He spilled wine in the hallway that you'll notice
the police denied. "Oh, there was no spilled wine

Well, even Isaac Baruch testified that 13 he saw this in the hallway. And they said she 14 never said anything to her medical providers. 15 These are the texts that she sent to her nurse, 16 Erin Falati, the night that it happened. These 17 are pictures of her in the courtroom.

We're not going to play this right now,
19 but you can listen to this. This is that terribly
20 disturbing text of him in July 2016 threatening to
21 cut himself and telling her, "You cut me or I
22 will." You all remember playing that. It was

1 awful. It was awful, and I'm not going to play it 2 again, but it was horrible. That, in and of 3 itself, is abusive.

This is the document that Mr. Depp signed, the divorce agreement, where he says -- signed -- in agreement with his signature, "Neither party has made false accusations for financial gain." He said that then. He could have fought this then, but he didn't because he loknew that her allegations were true.

But then he continued his campaign of 12 humiliation. He says, "I want her replaced on 13 that WB film." Ladies and gentlemen, the facts 14 are absolutely overwhelming of abuse. One time, 15 that's all you have to remember. Mr. Depp simply 16 cannot prove to you that he never once abused 17 Amber, and if you don't know, you have to return a 18 verdict for Ms. Heard.

A ruling against Amber here sends a 20 message that no matter what you do as an abuse 21 victim, you always have to do more. No matter 22 what you document, you always have to document

7880

1 more. No matter whom you tell, you always have to tell more people. No matter how honest you are about your own imperfections and your own shortcomings in a relationship, you have to be perfect in order for people to believe you.

Don't send that message. That's what he wants you to send.

So I'm going to quickly get to another
point that you have to find in order to prove -10 or to rule for Mr. Depp. You would have to find
It that Ms. Heard made the statements with actual
malice. Now, what Mr. Chew didn't tell you is
that you have to find this by clear and convincing
weight of the evidence standard that applies to
the other claims, the other elements of the claim.
Clear and convincing evidence is evidence that
reates in your minds a firm belief or conviction
that Mr. Depp has proved this issue.

20 So if you believe that Ms. Heard did 21 not act maliciously in writing her op-ed, then you 22 must return a verdict for Ms. Heard, even if you

788

1 think that he never abused her.

But we can -- I'll quickly go over the
evidence of this. The op-ed, you look at the
words; it clearly wasn't a hit piece. She visited
her attorney, Eric George, who testified by video
that his objective was to make sure that there
could be no meritorious claim that could be
brought about this article relating to defamation.
He gave Ms. Heard advice. She affirmatively
of followed all of it. So you cannot find that
Ms. Heard met the clear and convincing evidence
standard, given the testimony of Eric George,
sieven the testimony of Terrence Dougherty from the
ACLU, when Amber went out of her way to ask her
lawyer if it was okay.

They will say doesn't matter if she's 17 lying, but even if that isn't -- they will say 18 that that doesn't matter, if she's lying, but even 19 that isn't true. Because, again, keep in mind 20 that if Ms. Heard wanted to be malicious toward 21 Mr. Depp, the article would be very different. 22 And I think it's interesting here -- and we'll

1 talk about Mr. Waldman in a second -- but that 2 Amber utilizing her attorney, Eric George, to make sure that she was following the law. Mr. Depp 4 used his attorney, Adam Waldman, as an attack dog to defame Amber and to fulfill Depp's promise to 6 her of global humiliation.

The last thing I'll touch on before 8 Elaine talks is Mr. Depp's damages. The man's at 9 least consistent in one respect: He blames other 10 people for his problems, everyone but himself. 11 You heard us reading the stack of articles dating 12 years before he broke up with Amber, before their 13 marriage broke apart, talking about his problems, 14 talking about the fact that his movies were flops, 15 talking about the fact that he was late to the 16 set, that he's unreliable as actor.

A word of reminder here, ladies and 18 gentlemen, is that the only thing Amber ever did 19 that Mr. Depp is allowed to sue her for is the 20 op-ed. He's trying to say, "I want to sue her for 21 what she said in 2016. I want to sue her for harm 22 that was caused then." You cannot do that. The

7883 1 only thing you're being asked to decide is are the words of the op-ed defamatory, nothing else. Any damage to Mr. Depp's career is self-caused.

3

Then think about the testimony that you've heard from his former business manager, 6 Joel Mandel. Said that Depp had issues with drugs 7 and alcohol that damaged his career. Depp sued 8 him. His former agent, Tracey Jacobs, said he was 9 late to the set and that he used an earpiece. 10 Depp fired her. She said Disney never committed 11 to Pirates 6.

12 Disney's corporate representative, Tina 13 Newman, said that there was no record in Disney's 14 records of this op-ed. This op-ed had nothing to 15 do with it. In fact, Kathryn Arnold, our damages 16 expert, testified that the paper version of the 17 article that allegedly, they say, came out four 18 days after the op-ed, was published the same day 19 as the op-ed that said that Johnny might not be in 20 Pirates 6. The same day, the paper article came 21 out the same day. So the op-ed didn't cause that. 22 There's no evidence of any contract by

1 Disney for Pirates 6. His agent, Jack Whigham,

2 couldn't identify a contract. His former agent,

3 Christian Carino, said that there was no contract.

4 He didn't lose anything as a result of this op-ed.

5 Anything he's lost is the result of his own 6 choices.

7 You also heard him lie to you. You 8 heard him tell you that this was his first chance 9 to tell his story, but let's break it down because 10 that's not true. He could have fought the TRO 11 that Amber filed. He could have said, "I didn't 12 abuse her." He chose not to do that. He could 13 have fought her in the divorce case, but he chose 14 not to do that. Instead, he signed the statement 15 saying that no one had falsified any statements. 16 He only filed this suit after he met Adam Waldman, 17 the same Adam Waldman who convinced him to file 18 suit against his former business manager, to file 19 suit against his law firm and fire them and blame 20 them for things.

21 But by the time that Amber wrote the 22 op-ed, Mr. Depp already had had another

7885

1 opportunity to tell his story. He filed a case in

2 the U.K. against The Sun for calling him a wife

beater. And in that case, he had many of the same

witnesses. He was on the stand for parts of five

days, and he got to tell the fact finder in the

6 U.K. whatever he wanted. Now, it's not the same

testimony he told here. You heard me impeach him

8 about 13 times with his testimony from the U.K.

9 But he's had his chances.

10 Ladies and gentlemen, it is time to 11 tell Mr. Depp this was his last chance, tell him 12 to move on with his life, tell him to let Amber 13 move on with hers, stand up for the freedom of 14 speech, stand up for the First Amendment. This 15 trial is about so much more than Johnny Depp 16 versus Amber Heard. It's about the freedom of 17 speech. Stand up for it, protect it, and reject 18 Mr. Depp's claims against Amber.

And now you'll hear from Ms. Bredehoft 20 about the counterclaim. Thank you.

MS. BREDEHOFT: I get -- I get to say 22 "Good afternoon." And I know you've been

7886

1 listening to everybody for a long time here, and I
2 echo Ben's very strong "thank you" to all of you
3 for this extreme service and extraordinary
4 service. We really appreciate it. I'm going to
5 go really fast and try to go as quick as I can so
6 that you can get a break from us and go make your
7 decision. I know you're probably itching to do it
8 at this point. But this is very important to us,
9 and we appreciate your listening, and we
10 appreciate your being here.

11 I'm going to stay on this slide for a
12 moment, and there's something that's very
13 important on this slide that hadn't been brought
14 to your attention by Mr. Depp's team. When you
15 see the actual damages, go down to the last
16 paragraph, if you will. It says, "Mr. Depp cannot
17 recover damages for any harm that occurred after
18 November 2, 2020."

19 Do you-all see that?

20 So what Mr. Depp's team got up here and 21 told you through Mr. Chew this morning has nothing 22 to do with this case. He had his chance in the

7887

22

1 U.K. The lawsuit was filed June 2018, six months
2 before the op-ed. The trial was July 2020, the
3 process ended, according to Mr. Marks, their
4 expert, on November 2, 2020, and his damages stop
5 then. He can't get reputational damages. He
6 can't get his legacy back for his children.

He can't get anything after that day 8 from you. You can't restore his reputation. You can't give him anything. They didn't tell you 10 that. But the Court told you that. And that's a 11 very, very important thing here. He told this 12 story. He had his opportunities. He had his full 13 opportunities to do all of that, and he came in 14 here and lied to you and said he's here to get his 15 reputation back. It's just one of many lies in 16 this case, but it's a really big one because here 17 we are, six weeks of your time, precious time, six 18 weeks of this court's time for what? For nothing. 19 Only to go after Amber. That's psychological 20 abuse. He's going after Amber for nothing because 21 he wants to put her through this again, the third 22 time.

So we're fighting back, and that's the counterclaim. She finally has said, "Enough.

Enough." And we're asking you to finally hold this man responsible. He has never accepted responsibility for anything in his life. You've heard it this whole time. He hasn't admitted to everything. He's blamed everybody in the world, his agent, his manager, his lawyer, Amber, his

friends, everybody, but he's never accepted

10 responsibility for a thing he's done in his life.

11 But we're asking you to accept -- to
12 make him accept responsibility, to hold him
13 legally responsible for his actions and to fully
14 and fairly compensate Amber for what he has done
15 by creating this concept of a hoax for the
16 defamation that he has committed that you have
17 heard so much about that just took wildfire and
18 went off into negative media and has made Amber's
19 life pure hell up to this day. We're asking you
20 to do that, to compensate her, to be fair and hold

We don't want another lawsuit. We

21 him responsible so he stops.

7889

1 don't want anything else. We want to leave Amber 2 alone and let her get on with her life and raise 3 her child.

So let talk about the counterclaim for a moment. These are Adam Waldman's statements. You've heard Mr. Depp say, "well, why aren't you suing Mr. Waldman?" Isn't that typical of Mr. Depp? He doesn't take responsible for anything, so how he's going to blame his lawyer. 10 But the evidence is very clear on all three 11 statements, and we'll show them to you in a 12 minute, that he says, "Adam Waldman, Mr. Depp's 13 attorney, says these things."

Now, Mr. Depp says, oh, I didn't even 15 know about those until the counterclaim. Well, we 16 know that's not true because Mr. Waldman's 17 testimony was two months before those statements 18 were made, in February of 2020, Mr. Depp 19 accompanied Mr. Waldman to the Daily Mail, the 20 same place that all three of these statements were 21 published, and he gave them two spliced audiotapes 22 to try to make it look like Amber was the person

7890

1 who was committing the abuse. He went with him.2 He knew that Mr. Waldman was doing this. He knew

3 that Mr. Waldman was launching a campaign against

4 Amber to try to discredit her, and the timing of

5 this, we're talking the statements now, are April

6 and June of 2020. The trial is July of 2020. So

7 they're launching an attack to try to discredit

8 Amber before the trial in the U.K. That's what

9 happened here. And that is Mr. Waldman, but it's

10 Mr. Depp. The judge gave you three different

11 instructions, and you all have them, he's acting

12 as his attorney, he has the authority, so Mr. Depp

13 is standing in the shoes of Mr. Waldman.

14 Mr. Waldman is standing in the shoes of Mr. Depp.

Michelle, can you, please, bring up the 16 first statement.

17 It says "Amber and her friends in the 18 media use fake sexual violence allegations as both 19 a sword and shield, depending on their needs. 20 They have selected some of here sex violence hoax 21 facts as the sword, inflicting them on the public

22 and Mr. Depp."

7891

22

Now, what this statement is meant to imply is that Amber is lying about the sexual assaults and using them with the media to try to discredit Mr. Depp. That's the clear implications of this.

Now, the first part of this is, this 6 7 contradicts Mr. Depp's claims today, that the 8 first time you ever heard about the sexual 9 assaults that happened was in this case. It was 10 in the U.K. case. This is the case that Mr. Depp 11 brought in the U.K. And instead of Amber Heard 12 trying to put this out in the media, she did 13 exactly the opposite. Now, this article's not in 14 your evidence, but at least some of you will 15 remember it being shown, at one point, with the 16 title that said that Amber was successful in being 17 able to treat her allegations of the sexual 18 violence confidential in that proceeding. And it 19 was treated confidential. She did exactly the 20 opposite. She didn't want to tell people this. 21 And you know that. You watched her on this stand.

22 It was heart rendering for her to have to do this

1 with the cameras, no less.

2 But what else is false about this 3 statement? It's that there was no false statements of sexual violence. Ben went through all four on them, and I will not repeat them all. You heard the testimony, and interestingly enough, you didn't hear any stories that differed from that with Mr. Depp. He didn't get on the stand and say, you know, no, this didn't happen, to at 10 least a couple of them, and he can't in Australia. 11 He can't remember anything, likely. But if you 12 just look at the pictures of the destruction in 13 that house. I mean, imagine painting those 14 canvases and how long that took and how much 15 hatred and rage you have to have for somebody to 16 do something like that. Writing on the walls. 17 Tearing up her nightgown and wrapping pieces of 18 raw steak and putting it all over the house. 19 Remember she also testified that he took her

7893

1 You know he knows that he did these things. You

With respect to the malice on this one.

21 before she left. You know these things happened.

2 know that he knows he was out of it for

3 three days. And that's all that we need to prove

20 clothes and swiped them through all the paint

4 for malice. But there's a couple more facts here.

5 You can find whatever Mr. Waldman's done and you

6 can find whatever Mr. Depp has done, and both of

7 those are the same, for purposes of evaluating the

8 verdict form. They stand in each other's shoes.

9 When you have an agent, and that's what the jury

10 instructions say, you can go with both. What did

11 Mr. Waldman do? There was an article about the

12 sexual violence that he had pulled from the April

13 one that went into the trial. Amber's testimony,

14 she was sitting near him in the trial, Adam

15 Waldman threw that newspaper down in front of her,

16 defiantly. That's actual malice. And she was

17 quite upset and you heard her testimony on the 18 stand about that. He was just inflicting it on

19 her.

20 Remember Bruce Witkin's testimony?
21 This was Johnny Depp's best friend for 40 years,
22 until he testified truthfully four years ago,

7894

about the drugs and alcohol, and he stopped 2 talking to him. There was a couple of really 3 important things that Bruce Witkin said. One of 4 the things is he said he met Adam Waldman. Once 5 Adam said, do you have any dirt on the Mandels? 6 And he said no, and that was it, then he didn't care. He is an attack dog. All he wants to do is 8 attack and put dirt on people. The second thing 9 that Witkin said, that I think was pretty 10 instructive, was that Johnny Depp has deep-seeded 11 anger issues that have nothing to do with Amber. 12 He remembered, even back when Johnny was married 13 to his sister-in-law, he had extreme jealousy, 14 even back then. And I think that's pretty 15 significant. Remember, Mr. Witkin also just 16 called in a few times to intercede in some of 17 these fights with Johnny and Amber, when Johnny 18 would become so angry. The last thing I thought 19 he said, that was pretty important, was that 20 Kipper and his whole group are a scam. You know, 21 he said how is it that they can be sober doctors, 22 you know, for these years and he's never sober?

positive social media that is putting all the
 negative out on Amber Heard. And he also ended up
 getting knocked out of Twitter because he was
 abusing Amber.

So, now, we go to the second
counterclaim statement, and that is, "Quite
simply, this was an ambush, a hoax. They set
Mr. Depp up by calling the cops, but the first
attempts didn't do the trick. The officers came
to the penthouses, thoroughly searched and
interviewed, and left after seeing no damage to
face or property. So Amber and her friends
spilled a little wine, roughed the place up, got
their story straight under the direction of a
second call to

Now, this is May 21. Now, the clear
18 implication here is that they're saying that Amber
19 got together with her friends, they decided they
20 were going to set Johnny up to be charged for
21 domestic violence, and, so, they called the
22 police, tried to make up this whole story, get him

7895

7897

He's even taking pot all the time. How can you be
 sober? I thought that was quite instructive. But
 in any event, going back to -- that's the first
 statement.

The second statement, Michelle, if you can bring that one up.

Oh, before I go there, I want to talk
about a few more things from Mr. Waldman that you
have in your pocket, to be able to find additional
malice with him. Remember, he's the one that,
that after the U.K. trial, went to the LAPD with a
notebook full of things and tried to get perjury
charges against Amber. The LAPD said, we don't
hivestigate those things. But he, then, went to a
Serman newspaper and said Amber's being
his investigated by the NYPD -- or the LAPD for
perjury. Do you remember that? That's malice.
That's showing his intent to do harm to Amber.
He also admitted that he speaks to

20 ThatUmbrellaGuy and you'll see that one text in

22 is the big lead of Johnny Depp's, you know,

21 there from the person from TMZ. ThatUmbrellaGuy

1 arrested, but the police said there's no evidence
2 here and went away. And they said, darn, and so
3 they spilled wine and they, you know, busted up
4 the place, and they called 911. They got advice
5 from a lawyer and a publicist, called 911, and
6 tried, again, to get Johnny charged. That's what
7 this says. Now, we all know that's false, and
8 it's heinously false. Because, you know, after
9 these events happened, and Ben talked about a
10 little bit of it, but I'll talk about the rest of
11 it, and I'm going to try to do it really quickly.

8 it's heinously false. Because, you know, after
9 these events happened, and Ben talked about a
10 little bit of it, but I'll talk about the rest of
11 it, and I'm going to try to do it really quickly.
12 But we all know Amber did everything in her power
13 not to tell them who Johnny was, not to press
14 charges, not to have him arrested. The exact
15 opposite of this. But what were the facts of
16 May 21st? He comes over, he's already been
17 drinking, he's already high, and he is on a tear
18 about feces in the bed from a month ago. And
19 remember how Amber talked about when he gets into
20 these drug-induced things, he gets into these
21 paranoias, and he gets some idea in his head, and
22 he just won't let it go. And that was his this

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

1 time. Somebody put that feces in the bed a month 2 ago. That was his spin. So she gets iO Tillett 3 on the phone, he's in New York at the time. He's 4 going -- they thought this is ridiculous, of 5 course nobody did, and by the way, Boo has this 6 huge problem, of course it was Boo, he's always 7 doing this, but Johnny won't get there. So then 8 they laugh. That makes him mad. Then he throws 9 the phone at Amber, Amber screams out and says, 10 ow, you just threw the cell phone at me. It hurt. 11 IO says, Amber, get out of there. Johnny gets 12 madder, pulls her hair, grabs her and starts 13 hitting her. So iO gets hold of Rocky Pennington, 14 she comes over as quickly as she can. She goes 15 over and gets in between the two of them. She 16 puts her hands up on his chest. He pushes them 17 down. And then she continues to stand in between 18 them, and he's screaming loudly, ten times, Amber, 19 get the fuck up. Amber, get the fuck up. Amber, 20 get the fuck up. Loudly, loudly. Then his 21 bodyguards hear this, they come in, they break it 22 up. That's how that happens.

1 bodyguards. But you saw the pictures; he went 2 through and trashed that place again, as he left. Now, the next part of this is the 4 police coming. IO calls the police, he's in New York. He calls the New York Police 911. He's afraid they're not going to get there fast enough. He still remembers December 15 because he came in 8 afterwards and saw all of the injuries on Amber 9 and all the evidence, and he's terrified that 10 Amber's still in there, the police haven't come, 11 and that Johnny's going to kill her. 12 So he calls a friend in LA and says, 13 "Please call 911. We've got to get somebody there 14 fast." So she calls 911. 15 So he have two calls, and you'll see 16 the call summary, and the call summary shows those 17 two calls, really close in proximity here. So 18 it's not them, uh-oh, we didn't get the first 19 police officers, so we'll rough up the place and

7899

But the next part of this, remember Josh Drew? And I think Elizabeth Marz was amazing in this one. Remember their testimony? After that, he goes and has to, you know, he always 5 leaves a path of destruction as he's leaving, so 6 he, you know, bashes up things, you saw Ben's 7 pictures here, on the picture frames, knocks 8 things over as he's going. Goes down the hallway, 9 he's splashing the wine. He gets his bodyguards 10 to let him into penthouse 5. That's where Josh 11 and Elizabeth are trying to help with Rocky's 12 beads thing for the next day. And he comes in 13 storming in and he says, "Get your bitch out of 14 here." And he's got the big magnum, and he's mad. 15 And Elizabeth is just terrified. She barely knows 16 Johnny; she's met him four times, and she goes 17 ripping out of there as fast as she can, and Josh 18 gets out of there too. 19 Then what does he do? He rearranges 20 the furniture, or he might have knocked something

21 off, you know, one of the countertops or 22 something, I think is the testimony of his

1 Amber and everyone had any idea that the second 2 one was coming.

20 make a second one. You'll see that they're, like,

21 eight minutes apart up there. But it took two

22 hours to dispatch the two different ones, and

The first ones come and, you know,
we've talked about it. We've shown you the
pictures. The police officers admitted that those
pictures could very much have been what was there
that night. Remember Officer Hadden, it was his
first week on the job, Officer Saenz was three
years on the job at that point. They say -- you
know, they tell Josh that if she will press
charges, if she'll give a name, we can file a
report and make an arrest. She wouldn't do it.
You did hear from Detective Sadanaga,

15 MR. CHEW: Objection. May we approach, 16 Your Honor?

17 (Sidebar.)

18 MR. CHEW: She blatantly misstated the 19 testimony of the police officer. The police 20 officer said there was no sign of injury. There 21 was no arrest. She needs to correct that.

22 MS. BREDEHOFT: Josh Drew testified

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

7902 1 that the police officer told him she's got a mark 2 on her face, there's property damage. You know --THE COURT: Was that in the evidence? 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, that was. MR. CHEW: No. No, it's not, Your Honor. MS. BREDEHOFT: No, it did make it in evidence. 9 MR. CHEW: The police officer said 10 there was no sign of injury. THE COURT: Well, I know the police 12 officer. She just said Josh Drew. 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, no, no. Josh Drew. 14 Josh Drew's came in. It came in. MR. CHEW: I don't believe that's 15 16 correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: I know what the police 18 officers said. 19 MS. BREDEHOFT: It came in through Josh 20 Drew. THE COURT: Well, I'll allow it. Go 21 22 ahead. 7903 MR. CHEW: We'll correct that. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3

2 later when they were first asked about it, whether 3 they just decided she's never going to press 4 charges, and you'll see on the call report they're 5 insisting that to the second set. It doesn't make any difference. The point is, this is still 7 false. This statement is still false because Amber did everything but try to press charges against Johnny Depp. So they go away, and the 10 testimony is that Josh and Amber and Rocky cleaned 11 up because they have dogs, so they cleaned up a 12 lot of the glass and the wine and those types. 13 They had no idea the second police officers were 14 coming by; and they certainly didn't call them and 15 they certainly didn't -- they never talked to a 16 publicist, she did talk to a lawyer, who gave her 17 advice, and that's why she wouldn't tell them, you 18 know, anything. She said, "I'm not going to 19 cooperate at all." 20 So, the second ones come, and you saw 21 that, you've got the video cameras in there. You 22 see there's no effort by them to try to get, now,

1 officers, you know, forgot about it two months

(Open court.) MS. BREDEHOFT: You recall Josh Drew saying that the police officer told him, you know, 6 if she'll give a name, we'll make an arrest. He definitely said that. But in any event, she would not; she would not cooperate. She didn't want to. But Detective Sadanaga, their domestic 10 relations -- or domestic violence person said they 11 should have done an incident report no matter 12 what, even if they decided there wasn't a crime 13 because domestic violence has the cycles, they 14 come back, and it's good to have the record for 15 the next time that it might happen. So she said 16 they should have, under the circumstances, even if 17 they didn't. And she also said that when they put 18 verbal dispute only in those calls, it is a code 19 that they use, and you'll see that it's twice on 20 that call report to say that's why we didn't write 21 a report.

But at any rate, whether the police

22

these officers to press charges against Johnny
 Depp. Just the opposite. Josh Drew doesn't want
 to even let them in the place. They come through
 quickly. Everything's fine. They wave,
 everything's fine.
 Are they trying to press charges

7 against Johnny? No. They're not trying to do
8 anything. They're trying to get them out of
9 there, which makes this statement a hundred
10 percent false. Was it made with malice?
11 Absolutely. There's nobody that thinks that Amber
12 tried to press charges that night. Johnny knew
13 that.

But the other thing that's very
15 helpful, and what you should look at, is
16 Defendant's Exhibit 772 and 773. Because, once
17 again, the next day, Johnny apologizes to Amber.
18 He says in two different text messages, that's 772
19 and 773, he says, "My profound apologies," in one
20 of them, and "My apologies are eternal" in the
21 other one. What is he apologizing for the next
22 day, on May 22nd, if he didn't know that he did

7906 1 all of those things? 1 specifically about Adam Waldman. Your Honor will 12 And, by the way, remember Isaac Baruch 2 recall that. And they would say, "I don't even remembers the wine in the hallway. The remember. Yes, I did talk to him." 4 police -- none of the police officers remembered THE COURT: Right. But nobody said he 5 the wine. And that's because they're busy and 5 planted these statements. 6 they've got a zillion other things going on, and 6 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, I didn't -- and I they didn't remember this call two months later didn't say they said he planted it. 8 8 when they were asked about it. So that's the next THE COURT: You just said that. 9 orie. 9 MS. BREDEHOFT: I accused him of 10 So, clearly, that's a hundred percent 10 planting it. 11 false. Clearly, they knew it. Clearly, there's 11 THE COURT: If you could clear that up. 12 malice in making that statement. They're trying 12 MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay. Thanks. 13 to suggest that she's manufacturing evidence with (Open court.) 13 14 her friends to try to frame Mr. Depp. Nothing 14 MS. BREDEHOFT: So, I didn't say that 15 could be further from the truth on that one, and 15 any of the witnesses admitted that Adam Waldman 16 she did not want those police officers to press 16 planted that. I'm saying he planted it, that's me 17 charges. 17 arguing the planted. And that's because it's all 18 the same thing. 18 Now, let's go to the third one. Oh, 19 19 let's talk about the makeup just for a moment She wasn't wearing any makeup. She was 20 while we're going to the third one. 20 natural. She wasn't wearing a stitch of makeup. 21 This makeup thing. The fresh face, 21 Every one of them said the exact same thing. But 22 natural. These were Adam Waldman planting these 22 why did you say that? She's an actor. She's not 7907 7909 1 when he talked to the ECB people. Remember the 1 going to go outside her house, you know, without 2 testimony here. "So did you talk to Mr. Waldman? 2 putting makeup on. And if she has bruises, why on 3 Did you talk to Mr. Waldman?" Mr. Waldman was 3 earth, when she's been covering them up for four trying to plant in all of these people's mind --4 years, why on earth would she not put makeup on so 5 MR. CHEW: Objection. Your Honor. 5 she would cover those up? Why on earth would she 6 MS. BREDEHOFT: -- that somehow she 6 not want to cover up those bruises. It makes no 7 wasn't --sense. 8 THE COURT: If you could approach. 8 But, you know what, guys saw her (Sidebar.) here -- you guys; sorry. You saw Amber on the 10 MR. CHEW: She's completely misstating 10 stand. There were days where she didn't wear eye 11 the testimony here. 11 makeup. A lot of people think that she's not 12 MS. BREDEHOFT: I don't agree. 12 wearing makeup when she doesn't have mascara and 13 MR. CHEW: She just is. 13 eyeliner on. She has different looks, and some of 14 MS. BREDEHOFT: I don't agree. 14 them are with eye makeup and some of them are 15 MR. CHEW: None of them said that they 15 without. And people misunderstand, especially 16 people that aren't that good in makeup, a lot of 16 were planted by Adam Waldman. They all said this 17 is a truthful statement. She's just lying. 17 men, frankly, go, oh, then she probably doesn't MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm not lying, Your 18 have makeup. And that's where that mistake 19 Honor, There --19 happens. 20 MR. CHEW: She is lying. 20 But you heard her testimony and you 21 THE COURT: Well --21 heard her makeup artist's testimony saying she

22 doesn't go out of her room without concealer and

MS. BREDEHOFT: No. We asked

22

7912 I foundation. She knows how to put these things in. 1 MR. CHEW: She's continuing to lie and 2 And you saw Defendant's Exhibit 155, and you'll 2 misstate the evidence. 3 have the actual thing in there, that's the type of THE COURT: Which lie do you say there? 4 palette she used. And she was very adept at MR. CHEW: That was not the -- that was 5 telling you what color she puts on for the not in the testimony. 6 different days of bruises. 6 MS. BREDEHOFT: Oh, it was, It This is a woman who, for four years, absolutely was. 8 did everything she could to cover up anybody 8 MR. CHEW: It was not, 9 9 knowing anything about this abuse. Do you THE COURT: Well, what do you believe 10 honestly think she's just going to walk around for 10 was the testimony? 11 the week with her bruises exposed? Of course MS. BREDEHOFT: Dr. Hughes testified 12 she's not. 12 that Bonnie Jacobs' notes documented both physical 13 Now, let's go to the third one, and 13 and sexual abuse. 14 this is the abuse hoax. He said, "We've reached She also said she talked to her and 15 the beginning of the end of Ms. Heard's abuse hoax 15 confirmed. That was the first day of her 16 against Johnny Depp." 16 testimony. 17 Now, obviously, the defamatory meaning THE COURT: I just don't have the 18 of that is that Amber's creating an abuse hoax. 18 transcript so I'm not sure. 19 But there is no abuse hoax. I don't need to tell MR. CHEW: That's not accurate, Your 20 you all of the evidence because Ben did a 20 Honor. She continues to do this. 21 beautiful job of just taking you through all of MS. BREDEHOFT: He can argue whatever 22 those different things. But I will point out a 22 he wants. But that's absolutely what she said. 7911 7913 1 couple things for you, and that is, remember MR. CHEW: She can't lie to the jury 2 like that. 2 Bonnie Jacobs, the therapist. Dr. Hughes and 3 Dr. Spiegel testified about Bonnie Jacobs' notes. MS. BREDEHOFT: And then I asked 4 And remember I was holding that -- you don't get 4 Dr. Curry about them after that. 5 to see them, but they testified that they went THE COURT: What was in the notes 6 through them. Dr. Hughes testified that she also 6 whatever -- I know she reviewed the notes, but 7 spoke to Bonnie Jacobs. She kept contemporaneous what was in the notes, did that come into 8 notes from 2011, when she first started seeing 8 evidence? 9 Amber, and the abuse is documented in those notes, 9 MR. CHEW: No, it did not. 10 is what Dr. Hughes testified, they start in 2012, 10 MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes. Well, her --11 both physical and sexual abuse. 11 THE COURT: I'm not sure the notes --12 MR. CHEW: Objection, Your Honor. 12 MS. BREDEHOFT: -- characterization of 13 MS. BREDEHOFT: Dr. Hughes testified to 13 it. Not, the actual notes did not. 14 that. 14 THE COURT: Characterization? 15 MR. CHEW: May --115 MS. BREDEHOFT: Characterization. 16 THE COURT: Approach. 16 Dr. Hughes said these things were in her note. 17 THE COURT: Her characterization of (Sidebar.) 17 18 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 18 them. 19 MR. CHEW: She keeps misstating. She 19 MS. BREDEHOFT: Characterization of 20 continues to lie to the jury. 20 them, right. MS. BREDEHOFT: No, I am not. I am 21 THE COURT: That's not actually in her 22 definitely not misstating that one. 22 notes.

```
MR. CHEW: Correct.
                                                                THE COURT: All right.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: No, she said -- no, she
                                                      2
                                                                (Sidebar.)
  said that the --
                                                               MR. CHEW: Wackadoodle Spiegel did not
         MR. CHEW: She needs to correct that.
                                                        say that.
  She continues to lie to the jury.
                                                      5
                                                                THE COURT: Dr. Spiegel didn't say
         MS. BREDEHOFT: She says that the notes
                                                      6
                                                        that?
  reflected physical and sexual abuse. She said
                                                      7
                                                               MR. CHEW: Could she please correct
  that. That was her testimony. She did.
                                                      8 that?
         MR. CHEW: That is not in evidence,
                                                      9
                                                               MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, he did.
10 Your Honor.
                                                      10
                                                               MR. CHEW: No, he didn't.
         THE COURT: I don't recall that.
11
                                                      11
                                                               THE COURT: He said he reviewed her
         MS. BREDEHOFT: She absolutely did. I
                                                      12 notes, but he didn't say anything. He didn't
                                                      13 characterize her --
13 mean, she absolutely did.
         THE COURT: You can use she
                                                               MS. BREDEHOFT: I just said he
15 characterized it as such. I don't know that you
                                                      15 confirmed.
16 can say they were actually in there.
                                                                THE COURT: But he didn't confirm. He
         MR. CHEW: Yeah. She's misstated that
17
                                                      17 just said, "I reviewed her notes," and said
18 to the jury.
                                                      18 nothing about that.
19
         MS. BREDEHOFT: No, I have not
                                                      19
                                                               MR. CHEW: Would you please ask her to
20 misstated.
                                                      20 retract that?
21
                                                      21
         MR. CHEW: Yes, you did.
                                                                THE COURT: I will.
22
         MS. BREDEHOFT: I have not submitted my
                                                      22
                                                               (Open court.)
                                                                                                     7917
1 testimony at all. That's very important evidence
                                                               MS. BREDEHOFT: Dr. Spiegel confirmed
2 from us because we could get those notes and we
                                                      2 that he had reviewed Bonnie Jacobs' notes as well,
3 tried several times.
                                                        and when I asked Dr. Curry, she said she had
         MR. CHEW: She's saying it's in
                                                      4 reviewed them but she had no comment. But she
  evidence when it's not.
                                                        also didn't deny that those were in there. Amber
         THE COURT: Well, but she did
                                                        Heard testified that she went back, and because of
  characterize the evidence. You can say
                                                      7 Bonnie Jacobs's notes, she realized for the first
                                                        time that the abuse started much earlier than she
  characterized the notes.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 even realized before. She always had thought that
10
                                                      10 it had started in 2013. When she went back and
         (Open court.)
11
         MS. BREDEHOFT: So, Dr. Hughes
                                                      11 saw Bonnie Jacobs's notes, she realized that it
                                                      12 had started much earlier, and she was very
12 specifically characterized Dr. Jacobs' --
13 Dr. Bonnie Jacobs' notes as reflecting both
                                                      13 embarrassed by that.
14 contemporaneous physical abuse and sexual abuse
                                                      14
                                                               Now, significantly, you remember that
15 throughout the time period. Dr. Spiegel confirmed
                                                      15 she testified about how she went -- she was first
16 that later and said the same.
                                                      16 called upon to actually detail all of her events
         When I asked Dr. Curry if she had
                                                      17 of abuse in February of this year, and she went
17
18 reviewed those notes, she said, yes. But she had
                                                      18 through and took the notes, took photos, she took
19 not comment --
                                                      19 everything she had, calendars, everything, put it
20
         MR. CHEW: Your Honor, objection.
                                                      20 all together, and you heard about at least 64
21
         MS. BREDEHOFT: -- and she did not
                                                      21 pages of detail, accountability of that, and
22 acknowledge that that was in there.
                                                      22 Mr. Depp's team has been able to not refute any of
```

7920 that. Remember they tried to impeach her and say, 1 talking.) 2 "Well, you didn't say that"? 2 MR. CHEW: Your Honor, we're going to 3 She said, "Yes, I did, on page 64." 3 object again. 4 4 Remember that? It was a very, very difficult (Sidebar.) 5 process for her because there was an awful lot of MR. CHEW: She's making legal 6 it, and she put it in great detail. 6 conclusions. It's quite clear that Judge White Now, let's talk for a moment about the had ruled very early in this case we're not 8 motive. They have said that she has created this 8 litigating the divorce here. She's telling the 9 whole hoax, and I think Ben's done a nice job of 9 jury her legal opinions of California divorce law. 10 showing how that can't possibly have been. But MS. BREDEHOFT: This is what Laura 11 what would Amber Heard's motive be for creating a 11 Wasser testified to. 12 hoax or creating any of this or making any of this 12 MR. CHEW: No, it's not. 13 up? That's a big question here. MS. BREDEHOFT: Listen, we can make 13 They call her a gold digger, right? 14 this argument, Your Honor. 15 But she obviously couldn't have done it for the THE COURT: You can make arguments if 15 16 money. Because first of all, remember the 16 they're in evidence. 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: They are. 17 testimony of Laura Wasser, the divorce attorney? 18 She said that California's a no-fault state and 18 MR. CHEW: No, they're not. 19 community property. So Amber doesn't have to have 19 MS. BREDEHOFT: Laura Wasser -- yes, 20 they are. Laura Wasser and Michele Mulrooney --20 an abuse. She could have divorced him for 21 irreconcilable differences, for abandonment, for 21 I'm sorry, Your Honor, but is this going against 22 adultery, for anything. She doesn't need an 22 our time? Because we're already in tough time. 7919 1 excuse, and she gets 50 percent of whatever he 1 MR. CHEW: You're already out of time. 2 earns during the time of the marriage unless they 2 MS. BREDEHOFT: I mean, he's already 3 had a prenup or postnup. 3 done this three times. Okay. The testimony from Michele Mulrooney 4 THE COURT: We won't count the 4 was that Amber completely cooperated with the sidebars. 6 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you. prenup and the postnup, but it was Johnny Depp, 7 7 who I know you've already heard from Ben, called MR. CHEW: Your Honor, it's not in 8 evidence. 8 her up and fired her. He didn't want a postnup or 9 9 a prenup. So she's entitled to 50 percent of MS. BREDEHOFT: It is. 10 everything he won -- he earns during that time. MR. CHEW: You wouldn't let that into 10 11 evidence. Now, we have Plaintiff's Exhibit 936 in 11 12 evidence, and look at page 69. It says how much 12 MS. BREDEHOFT: Oh, I absolutely got 13 that in. 13 he made in 2015. It was 43 million. Remember 14 doc -- Mr. Spindler, Depp's expert, who said that MR. CHEW: We did the whole deposition 15 designations. It was ruled very early in this 15 he made 22 million in 2016. So you've got 16 case we're not relitigating the divorce case. 16 \$65 million. Amber was entitled to 31.5 at least. MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm a hundred percent 17 That doesn't include all take back ends from, for 17

PLANET DEPOS

19

18 example, Pirates 5. She was entitled to that 19 money, so she didn't need to say anything. She

20 could have said, "I don't like you anymore. I

21 don't like the color of your hair. I'm going to

22 divorce you." (Indiscernible due to cross

18 certain that --

20 lawsuit too.

MR. CHEW: And she's wrong on the

21 MS. BREDEHOFT: -- it's in Laura
22 Wasser's, and it is in Michele Mulrooney's. Laura

```
1 Wasser has both the no-fault and the community
                                                                THE COURT: I understand, but if you're
2 property in there. Michele Mulrooney has the
                                                      2 going to do calculations, you're going to let them
  community property in there.
                                                         know this is mere inference of the evidence that's
         THE COURT: I know I heard about
                                                         in. That's fine. Then we'll go from there, okay?
  community property.
                                                      5
                                                                MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                      6
         MR. CHEW: She's saying she's entitled
                                                                (Open court.)
                                                                MS. BREDEHOFT: So if you do the math,
  to 31.25 -- I mean, it's ridiculous.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Right. And we put the
                                                      8 Amber's entitled to at least 31.5. What did she a
9 tax returns in, and Spindler testified to the
                                                      9 take? 7 million. What did she do with the
10 amounts. So you do the math, it's 31.
                                                       107 million? She gives it to charity. Or she
         THE COURT: So, but yeah, you do the
                                                       11 intends to give it to charity. Now, they make
12 math.
                                                       12 much ado about the pledge versus donation, but
                                                       13 both the corporate designees for ACLU and for
13
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Right.
14
         MR. CHEW: She's making --
                                                       14 Children's Hospital said donation and pledge are
15
         MS. BREDEHOFT: But we even asked it in
                                                       15 interchangeable. These are pledges. The ACLU
16 a cross-examination question of one of them, the
                                                       16 corporate designee said you typically do pledges
1731.5. I mean, this is -- I'm entitled --
                                                       17 because of the tax benefits, and that's what she
                                                       18 said. She pledged it over a period of time
18
         MR. CHEW: She's making -- she's
                                                       19 because of the tax implications to it and because
19 telling the jury ---
                                                      20 she was getting paid over the time.
         MS. BREDEHOFT: -- to make these
                                                                Now, if she started to make these
21 arguments.
22
         MR. CHEW: -- a falsity about the
                                                      22 donations, and you'll see that the first one was
                                                                                                      7925
                                                      1 on behalf of -- Mr. Depp's business manager,
1 application of California law.
                                                      2 Mr. White, actually sent the letters with the
         MS. BREDEHOFT: No.
                                                        hundred thousand for each of those. That was the
         MR. CHEW: That doesn't take into
                                                        initial ones. He was trying to take credit for
  account the --
         MS. BREDEHOFT: It was elicited.
                                                        those, and, in fact, both the ACLU and Children's
         MR. CHEW: -- debts that are assumed by
                                                         Hospital got confused and gave the credit to
                                                      7 Mr. Depp, not to Amber, for those.
7 Mr. Depp.
                                                      8
                                                                Then she made payments to both, and
8
         MS. BREDEHOFT: No. Wasser --
         MR. CHEW: This is -- Judge White said
                                                      9 Elon Musk also made payment to both for 500,000
10 very early on we're not relitigating the divorce
                                                       10 each, which she didn't count to her pledge, but
                                                       11 they helped those organizations. At the end of
11 case.
                                                       12 the day, she's made a million dollars in pledge --
12
         MS. BREDEHOFT: No, you don't take into
13 account debt.
                                                       13 in payments to them, but then got sued here and
                                                       14 hasn't been able to because she spent $6 million
         THE COURT: We're at the end of talking
14
                                                       15 in attorneys' fees. That is unrefuted.
15 about the divorce.
                                                       16
                                                                She still intends to pay those pledges,
16
         MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm near end, yes.
                                                       17 honor those pledges, and she's said that
17
         THE COURT: Okay. All right.
                                                       18 throughout. And I elicited from both the ACLU and
18
         MS. BREDEHOFT: I'm doing the
                                                       19 from Children's Hospital they haven't expired; she
19 motivation here.
20
         THE COURT: All right.
                                                       20 can pay them whenever she wants to, and she fully
                                                       21 intends to. But she has to get out from under
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay. I'm going to
21
```

22 this first.

22 move on, but I'm entitled to that.

7926

Now, who would blame a woman for giving 2 a million dollars in charitable donations? Who 3 would do that? That sounds like psychological 4 abuse to me.

Now, Mr. Mandel testified that Johnny 6 Depp is not a charitable person, and he hasn't 7 written any big checks for him. Mr. Depp got on 8 the stand and says he does it unanimously --9 anonymously, but Mr. Mandel would have been the 10 one writing the checks and he says that didn't 11 happen.

12 Now, let's go to damages because I 13 promised you I was going to go pretty fast here. There's a few different types of 15 damages, but one of the things that the Court has 16 talked to you about, she told you that because we 17 have defamation, it's invidious, and therefore 18 it's very difficult to prove these. And so these 19 damages to business reputation, inconvenience, 20 embarrassment, are presumed. You don't have to

22 And one great example of that is the

21 prove those damages.

7927

1 testimony from Mr. Hamada at Warner Brothers. He | 1 her on from that time. She lost three different

2 didn't want to have to get in the middle of all

- 3 this with Aquaman 2. They haven't even released
- 4 it. So he didn't read anything in preparation for
- 5 his deposition. He didn't talk to anybody before
- 6 his deposition. He said that -- he tried to do
- 7 technicals and say, "No, we never terminated her
- 8 contract, oh, yeah, we did tell her we were
- 9 probably not going to renew her option. And then 10 we didn't change the script."

11 But Amber got the script, and, yes, 12 they did change it. Then he said, you know, "No, 13 we never negotiate salaries, but oh, yeah, we did 14 negotiate -- renegotiate Jason Momoa's and Gal 15 Gadot's." So he just doesn't want to admit to any 16 of these things.

17 But what came out in Hamada's 18 deposition -- and I hope you were listening 19 carefully to this -- was the email from James Wan, 20 the director, and Jason Momoa, her costar, who 21 said they guarantee if they are in the film, she 22 is going to be Mera in the film. Why would they

1 do that unless they thought Warner Brothers was 2 being unfair to her? And why did she get almost knocked out of it because of the defamatory statements?

5 When they came out, they took on a life of their own. Ron Schnell, the Young Sheldon, I call him the Doogie Howser, our expert on IT, took 8 you through and tracked how they tracked the 9 defamatory statements, the language from the 10 defamatory statements, how that went through the 11 social media, in the negative social media.

12 And it kept going and kept going. And 13 he tracked, all the way until a few months ago, 14 over 2.36 million negative tweets, negative 15 Instagrams, negative social media comments, about 16 Amber that relate specifically to the information 17 that was in those three defamatory statements. It 18 took on a life of its own. They started to get 19 Amber fired from Aquaman 2. They have continued 20 to this day. They have followed her everywhere.

21 Everybody has stopped wanting --22 L'Oréal won't use her, even though they've kept

7929

- 2 opportunities that were being discussed with her.
- 3 The most significant part of that, though, is that
- 4 Aquaman was the biggest blockbuster ever for DC
- 5 films. It was the biggest. It was over a billion
- 6 dollars. This was her opportunity for her star.
- 7 Even the experts for Mr. Depp admitted this was
- her blockbuster, this was her mega.

9 But what happened instead? As she's 10 going through, as it's coming out, and in that 16 11 months they were going, "Well, nothing happened," 12 yeah, a lot's happened. She was -- had three 13 different films she was discussing. She got the 14 L'Oréal contract. She got The Stand. All of 15 those things were happening because of Aquaman.

16 And then everything shut down. She 17 wasn't allowed to do publicity for The Stand. She 18 wasn't allowed to -- she, you know, hasn't been 19 allowed to do any L'Oréal. She gets shut down 20 from her charitable organizations. She ended up 21 not getting the Amazon movie, and then they gave 22 her a hard time about Aquaman 2.

And instead of the career trajectory of 2 the other comparators, who all went way up -- and by the way, all the experts admit that that career 4 trajectory went well for all the rest of them, and 5 she's the only one that didn't have it. And the 6 reason is because of the defamatory statements. So you have some very good information to help you 7 microwave, for God's sakes. She can't get away on ascertaining those damages.

9 Now, Jessica Kovacevic -- and I don't 10 remember if you remember her, but she was her 11 agent, she said, "Everybody just stoped talking. 12 The producers don't want her. The directors don't 13 want her. Nobody wants her. We just can't get 14 the traction, and we should have been able to get 15 the traction. And they say it's because of those 16 statements."

17 So Kathyrn Arnold gave you some good 18 estimates on this, and they gave you a very good 19 basis for it. And she didn't use Jason Momoa's or 20 Gal Gadot's or the others, she used Ambers but 21 used those comparators to show that they got big 22 movies, that they got commercial opportunities,

7931

4

1 that they got these TV opportunities. But she 2 used the base that Amber had. So she used the 3 4 million from Aguaman that would be 3. She used 4 The Stand's, you know, how much they got paid per 5 movie.

And what she estimated on those, and she went through those details, is that if you 8 took that for the last two years and projected 9 into the next three or four, that it's been 47 and 10 \$50 million that she could have had instead of her 11 star being completely extinguished, and that's 12 what happened to her.

The emotional distress damages are even 14 more extreme here, and that is -- Dawn Hughes 15 testified extensively about the PTSD and what she 16 goes through, and every time she's called a liar, 17 every time these hoax things come up, everything, 18 it causes her to relive all of it. She talks 19 about the panic attacks, the nervousness, the 20 intrusive thoughts, the nightmares, all of the --21 the sweats, the, you know, the anxiety that goes 22 through all of this. It's significant.

But probably the most compelling

1 2 testimony that you could ever hear was from Amber yesterday and when she took the stand before. It

4 has destroyed her life. This has consumed her.

5 She's getting death threats. Her daughter --

6 they're threatening to put her daughter in a

8 from this. It's everywhere, this media, this

9 social media that has just taken off, has just

10 consumed her life. As she said, "I'm a human

11 being. No human being should be put through 12 this."

13 Now, Johnny Depp sued for a hundred 14 million -- for \$50 million, and we sent the 15 message back saying, "Fine. Then we're going to 16 sue for a hundred million. Because look at what 17 you've done to her."

We're not asking you to give a hundred 18 19 million dollars. We're asking you to just look at 20 the damages in this case and just be fair and 21 reasonable in whatever you determine by following 22 the evidence and the instructions. But we do ask

7933

1 that you fully and fairly compensate Amber for 2 everything that she's been through, both in terms 3 of reputation and emotional distress.

The very last point is punitive 5 damages, and you surely have those in this case. We've asked for 350,000 for the punitive damages, and we would ask that you award that. Thank you 8 very much.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All 10 right. Ladies and gentlemen, what I'm going to 11 do, we still have rebuttal closing arguments, but 12 I can guarantee you that rebuttal closing 13 arguments will be done, combined, within 45 14 minutes. But what I want to do is go ahead and 15 let you have your lunch, and then after lunch, 16 we'll come back in the courtroom, have the 17 rebuttal closing arguments, and then we'll submit 18 the case to you, okay? All right. So go ahead 19 and have your lunch. Do not discuss the case with 20 each other, and do not do any outside research, 21 okay?

22 (Whereupon, the jury exited the

Conducted on	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
7934	
1 courtroom and the following proceedings took	1 Aquaman 2 from anything said by Adam Waldman or
2 place.)	2 Johnny Depp.
3 THE COURT: All right. So, let's come	And Ms. Heard's costume was delayed
4 back at 2:10.	4 because of creative issues. They mischaracterized
5 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.	5 the testimony of Detective Sadanaga. They
6 THE COURT: And just for the record,	6 mischaracterized the testimony of Ronald Schnell.
7 plaintiffs have 39 minutes left for rebuttal;	7 You've been here. You've listened to the
8 defendants have six minutes.	8 testimony. You know the record. Ms. Heard lied,
	9 and she lied again and she kept lying. She lied
- ·	
MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, can we get	10 six years ago on May 27th, 2016, when she walked
11 any of those back from the sidebars?	11 into court in Los Angeles to publicly accuse
THE COURT: I didn't take any time from	12 Mr. Depp of abuse for the first time.
13 the sidebars, zero off from the sidebars. It's	She lied again when she told the world
14 all from testimony, okay?	14 over and over again that she donated all of the
15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.	15 \$7 million divorce settlement in to charity.
16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	16 You've heard the evidence about what she donated.
17 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.	17 And you watched her, you watched her try to save
18 THE COURT: All right.	18 her lie about that broken promise with more lies
19 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	19 on the stand in this courtroom. She lied again
20 (Recess taken from 1:11 p.m. to	20 when she told the world in her op-ed on
21 2:06 p.m.)	21 December 18th, 2018, that she was a public figure
22 THE BAILIFF: All rise. Please be	22 representing domestic abuse, painting herself as a
7935	7937
1 seated and come to order.	1 representative of abuse survivors everywhere and
2 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready	2 painting Mr. Depp as a representative of
3 for the jury?	3 perpetrators.
4 MR. CHEW: Yes, Your Honor.	4 She's come too for. She can't back
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes, Your Honor.	5 down. She's lied too many times to too many
6 THE COURT: Okay.	6 people. So when Mr. Depp finally decided to
7 (Whereupon, the jury entered the	7 fight, to clear his name by filing this lawsuit,
8 courtroom and the following proceedings took	8 Ms. Heard responded by making up more and more
9 place.)	9 stories of more and more extreme abuse. She came
1 = ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you,	10 up with a new accusation that Mr. Depp raped her
11 ladies and gentlemen. You may be seated.	11 with a bottle in Australia.
All right. Rebuttal arguments.	And she keeps making new claims up even
MS. VASQUEZ: Hello?	13 now. At this trial, for the very first time, she
Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.	14 claimed that she had been sexually assaulted the
15 Ms. Heard's counsel has mischaracterized the	15 night of her 30th birthday, even though she had
16 record multiple times, and Ms. Heard lied to you.	16 testified repeatedly about her birthday prior and
17 They've mischaracterized the testimony of Police	17 never mentioned it. And at this trial, she also
18 Officers Saenz and Howell, who explicitly	18 claimed for the very first time that Mr. Depp was
19 testified that they saw no signs of injury and no	19 hitting her all the time during the first year of
20 property damage. They mischaracterized the	20 their relationship, even though this first year,
21 testimony of Walter Hamada, who testified clearly	21 she had testified previously, was magic and bliss
22 that there was no impact on Ms. Heard's career at	22 with absolutely no violence.
	•

Her story is a constantly moving 2 target. It never stays the same. Mr. Depp owns 3 his mistakes. He owns all of them. You saw him 4 do it on the stand in a raw and powerful way. But 5 in this trial, Ms. Heard has been confronted with 6 her lies and the damage she has caused, and she cannot take any responsibility for what she has done.

And you've seen the story, her story, 10 it doesn't hold up. You've watched her 11 performance on the stand. You saw her get caught 12 in lie after lie. The time has come for those 13 lies to come to an end. The time has come for 14 you, the jury, to decide the truth.

15 I started this trial giving you an 16 opening statement, and I said to you that words 17 matter. And this case is about Ms. Heard's words, 18 the words she published in an op-ed about 19 Mr. Depp. Ms. Heard and her attorneys have talked 20 a lot about -- in this trial about the First 21 Amendment. They've talked about the importance of 22 free speech, and we agree. I'm a lawyer. Of

7939

1 course I agree with that.

But the First Amendment doesn't protect 3 lies that hurt and defame people. And there's a 4 difference. Ms. Heard has no right to tell the world that Mr. Depp physically or sexually assaulted her when that isn't true. That's not 7 protected speech. Our U.S. Constitution doesn't protect that speech.

And it is a core value of American 10 society that you are innocent until proven guilty. 11 There is a presumption of innocence in this 12 country. A person's life cannot and should not be 13 destroyed by a baseless charge and no opportunity 14 to defend yourself. That's why Mr. Depp had to 15 bring this claim. Ms. Heard was never going to 16 stop calling him an abuser. The only way to clear 17 his name was to stand up in this court where both 18 sides are bound by the same rules of American law, 19 a jury will be tasked, you, ladies and gentlemen, 20 will be task with deciding once and for all 21 Ms. Heard's lies could be exposed in a fair and 22 impartial process.

When Mr. Depp sued her, Ms. Heard

2 apparently decided she needed to sue him back, and

- because there were no statements made by Mr. Depp
- 4 on which she can base a claim, she sued him based
- 5 on statements made by one of his lawyers, Adam
- 6 Waldman, calling Ms. Heard's accusation of abuse a
- hoax. Make no mistake, though, they are a hoax.
- 8 Ms. Heard made up claims of abuse, and then she
- gave a performance where she passionately repeated
- 10 those made-up claims of abuse on the stand in 11 front of each of you.

12 But ask yourselves, who's really the 13 one alleging a hoax here? Who wants you to 14 believe that everyone else is lying, committing 15 perjury? Ms. Heard needs you to believe that all 16 the people who showed up in this courtroom to 17 testify on behalf of Mr. Depp, they're all lying. 18 She needs you to believe that the witnesses you 119 heard from, including security professionals, 20 former cops, medical professionals, and police 21 officers, they're all lying, covering up for 22 Mr. Depp.

7941

1 She's asking you to believe that she's

the one telling the truth and that the rest of the

- people in Mr. Depp's life are all part of the 3
- conspiracy of silence.
- 5 This case is not just about whether you
 - believe Mr. Depp or you believe Ms. Heard. This
- case is about whether you believe Ms. Heard,
- 8 Mr. Depp, Christi Dembrowski, who, yes, is here
- supporting her brother, Isaac Baruch, who weeped
- 10 in front of the world, Keenan Wyatt, who doesn't
- 11 work for Mr. Depp, Sean Bett, a former LA
- 12 sheriff's department, Malcolm Connolly, who worked
- 13 at prisons in the U.K., Starling Jenkins is a
- 14 former U.S. Marine, Travis McGivern, also another
- 15 former police officer, Ben King, who worked for
- 16 the Queen of England, David Kipper, Dr. David
- 17 Kipper, Ms. Heard's doctor, and, yes, Mr. Depp's
- 18 doctor, Debbie Lloyd, a nurse, Erin Falati,
- 19 Ms. Heard's personal nurse, Officer Saenz, an LA
- 20 police officer with training in domestic violence,
- 21 Officer Hadden, her understudy, yes, in his first 22 week, studying to pick up on these signs of

- 1 domestic abuse, Officer Gatlin, Brandon Patterson,
- 2 who worked at Eastern Columbia Building, Kate
- James, Ms. Heard's former personal assistant, Tara
- 4 Roberts, Alejandro Romero, he took his deposition
- 5 from his car because he had to go to work, but
- 6 that didn't stop him from telling the truth,
- 7 Edward White, Mr. Depp's business manager, Laura
- 8 Wasser, one of the most famous divorce lawyers in
- 9 California, Morgan Night, Beverly Leonard, Morgan 9 within that scope of that agency, within the scope
- 10 Tremaine, and Kate Moss. And all the other
- 11 witnesses whose stories support Mr. Depp's
- 12 description of what took place. These people have
- 13 nothing to gain by coming forward. They have 14 everything to lose.
- 15 You see Mr. Waldman's statement in
- 16 evidence in this case. When you look at them you
- 17 can tell that the key point Mr. Waldman was making
- 18 in each of these statements were simply that
- 19 Ms. Heard's accusations against Mr. Depp were
- 20 lies. That's why he talks of an abuse hoax.
- Now Ms. Heard has the burden of proof. 21 22 of proving that Mr. Waldman's statements are

- 1 false. And that means that Ms. Heard has to do
- more than prove that Mr. Waldman got some details
- wrong. She has to prove that Mr. Waldman's
- statements are false in their essential meaning.
- In other words, she has to prove that her abuse
- claims are not a hoax. But as you've seen, the
- evidence is overwhelming that Ms. Heard's claims
- 8 of abuse are false.
- We should also spend a bit of time
- 10 talking about actual malice. It is Ms. Heard's
- 11 burden to prove that Mr. Waldman's statements were
- 12 made with actual malice. And actual malice means
- 13 knowledge that the statements were false or
- 14 reckless, that's an important word, reckless with
- 15 regard for the truth,
- 16 But here, there's clear evidence that
- 17 Mr. Waldman genuinely believed that Ms. Heard had
- 18 committed a hoax. You watched him give the
- 19 testimony by definition in this case. He
- 20 testified about the evidence he found persuasive,
- 21 the numerous witnesses and the sworn depositions
- 22 of the police officers who went to the penthouses

1 that night and, again, saw no injury. There is no

- 2 evidence in this record, none, that Mr. Waldman
- acted with actual malice. He believed Mr. Depp.
- 4 He believed the record.
- It's also important to understand that
- 6 because Mr. Waldman's statements were not made by
- Mr. Depp, Ms. Heard needs to prove that
- 8 Mr. Waldman was acting as Mr. Depp's agent and
- 10 of the agency of the employment, when he made the
- 11 statement, Mr. Waldman is:a lawyer.
- 12 Ms. Heard has not presented evidence
- 13 that making those statements is part of
- 14 Mr. Waldman's responsibilities as Mr. Depp's
- 15 lawyer. There's nothing in the record.
- Ms. Heard is also claiming to be 16
- 17 suffering from PTSD and claims that should have
- 18 something to do with Mr. Waldman statements.
- 19 Ms. Heard wants you to believe that she suffers
- 20 from PTSD because Mr. Depp purportedly abused her.
- 21 But as usual, Ms. Heard is not telling the truth.
- 22 As you heard from Dr. Shannon Curry, Ms. Heard

7945

- 1 does not have PTSD and she does not act like a person with PTSD.
- 3 Ms. Heard is an actress in a major
- 4 film, involved in some IA training. She just had
- a baby. Dr. Curry also found that Ms. Heard
- attempted to grossly exaggerate her symptoms
- during testing, which is a sign of malingering, or
- said differently, of Ms. Heard lying.
- Ms. Heard hired Dr. Dawn Hughes to help
- 10 her with this narrative. Dr. Hughes did not catch
- 11 Ms. Heard's exaggeration during testing because
- 12 Dr. Hughes used an improper malingering screening
- 13 test. She wasn't going to find it. One that is
- 14 meant to identify an examinee's intent to fake a
- 15 severe mental illness or psychosis, not PTSD.
- Dr. Hughes diagnosed Ms. Heard with
- 17 PTSD without administering the gold standard
- 18 diagnostic test used for PTSD. She diagnosed her,
- 19 think about that, she diagnosed her with PTSD
- 20 administering the test she admitted was the gold
- 21 standard, two years after diagnosing Ms. Heard
- 22 with PTSD and shortly, curiously shortly after

1 Dr. Curry administered the gold standard 2 diagnostic test in her evaluation of Ms. Heard, 3 but before this is disclosed, Dr. Hughes decided 4 to administer the gold standard.

Finally, nevertheless, even after 6 Dr. Hughes used the proper test, there were a 7 number of deficiencies in her administration of 8 that test. You saw them. Including her failure 9 to even follow the instructions that render her 10 diagnoses unreliable.

Ms. Heard's claim for monetary damages 12 related to Mr. Waldman's statements, frankly, it's 13 a fantasy. Ms. Heard speculates wildly that she 14 has somehow been damaged by Mr. Waldman's 15 statements. But in reality, Ms. Heard has not 16 shown any damage at all that was because of or by 17 Mr. Waldman's statements.

There's evidence of a mountain of 19 negative press coverage about Ms. Heard, including 20 past reports about the defecating in Mr. Depp's 21 bed, cutting off his finger, and putting out a 22 cigarette on his face. But none of that has

1 acting since she was 13 years old on the Disney 2 Channel, and she's in every single Spiderman movie.

4 Further, the O scores of these actors are not at all comparable with Ms. Heard's O scores. As Mr. Bania testified, Ms. Heard's 7 rating was less compared to these actors and all 8 of Ms. Heard's O score ratings were less favorable 9 than the average of all performers.

10 As you heard from Michael Spindler, 11 because Ms. Arnold relies on the salaries of these 12 comparable actors to calculate Ms. Heard's 13 damages, Ms. Heard's claim for damages makes no 14 sense. There's no connection.

15 Further, and more fatally for Ms. 16 Heard's damages claim, is that there's no 17 connection to the Waldman statement, to 18 Mr. Waldman's statement. Even if you find damage 19 to her career, you have to connect it to the 20 defamatory statements. There is no connection.

21 Mr. Bania testified that all the 22 negative tweets that Ms. Heard has entered into

7947

1 anything to do with Mr. Waldman's statements.

And Ms. Heard presented no evidence, 3 none, of any film or other project that she has 4 lost, lost, because of those statements. In fact, 5 you heard from Warner Brothers' president, Walter 6 Hamada, who explained that Ms. Heard suffered no 7 loss, none, no loss of compensation or other

8 adverse effect on her major film Aquaman 2. You 9 heard it straight from him.

Ms. Heard's expert, Kathryn Arnold, 11 testified but for the statements from Mr. Waldman, 12 Ms. Heard would be much more successful in her 13 career. To form this opinion, Ms. Arnold compares 14 Ms. Heard to actors such as Jason Momoa, the 15 actual Aquaman, Chris Pine, Gal Gadot, and 16 Zendaya. But as Richard Marks, who does deals 17 every day, that's his job, not testifying here for 18 a paycheck, does deals in Hollywood and Doug 19 Bania, both testified that these actors are simply 20 not comparable to Ms. Heard. After all, Jason 21 Momoa, he was Aquaman, Chris Pine, he was Captain 1 evidence has no causal connection to the

statements underlying Ms. Heard's counterclaim.

3 Specifically, Ms. Heard's expert, Mr. Ron Schnell,

4 presented a full methodology that included picking

out hashtags at random, including

6 #justiceforjohnnydepp. What does that have to do

7 with the Waldman statements? And counting

8 accompanying tweets even though it had nothing to 9 do with Mr. Waldman.

Ms. Heard's counterclaim is based on 11 statements by Mr. Waldman, not Mr. Depp. 12 Ms. Heard knows perfectly well that she hasn't 13 suffered any damages from those statements buried 14 at the bottom of articles in a mere tabloid, the 15 Daily Mail. And the statements are substantially 16 true since they all -- since all they do, really, 17 is point out that Ms. Heard's abuse allegations 18 are false.

19 Ms. Heard's counsel argued that 20 Ms. Heard did not write the title of the online 21 version of the op-ed, which stated "I spoke out 22 Kirk, Gal Gadot, Wonder Woman, Zendaya, she's been 22 against sexual violence and faced our culture's

1 wrath. That has to change." Does not matter 2 whether or not Ms. Heard wrote the title.

You will recall from the Court's 4 instructions this morning that what matters is 5 whether Ms. Heard republished the defamatory 6 language. That instruction states, in part, that 7 you should find Ms. Heard republished this article 8 if she "retransmitted the defamatory material with 9 the goal of achieving a new audience." Stated 10 differently, republication occurs when the speaker 11 has affirmatively, that's important, affirmatively 12 reiterated the statement.

Ms. Heard affirmatively reiterated the 14 statement. She posted it on her Twitter.

If we could please pull up Plaintiff's 15 16 Exhibit 3. This is the tweet Ms. Heard sent. She 17 retweeted the Washington Post tweet to a new 18 audience, her own Twitter followers. And she 19 affirmatively reiterated the statement by proudly 20 declaring "Today I published this op-ed in The 21 Washington Post." She didn't quibble with the 22 title. She said, proudly, "Today I published this

1 you know, because you have seen and listened to

2 Ms. Heard in action, that Ms. Heard is a woman

3 who's documenting things throughout their

4 relationship. And it is instructive that the

5 things she chose to document had nothing to do

6 with violence. She documented Mr. Depp sleeping.

She documented lines of cocaine featuring 8 prominently Mr. Depp's loan-out company -- or

9 production company, Infinitum Nihil. Staged

10 photographs, that's what she documented.

Mr. Rottenborn's entire argument 12 assumes that Mr. Depp, a man, should be 13 disbelieved because -- despite the fact that 14 Ms. Heard can't support her accusations with 15 actual evidence. What we have put to you, the 16 jury, is not because Ms. Heard didn't take enough 17 pictures or tell people about abuse, that it 18 didn't happen.

What we offer to you is that given how 20 brutal and constant the abuse Ms. Heard claims, 21 she would have had serious injuries. That's a 22 fact. She would have had serious injuries. That

7951

1 op-ed in The Washington Post."

8

The title of the op-ed was prominently displayed in the tweet. That is enough for you, 4 members of the jury, to find that Ms. Heard 5 republished the title of the op-ed and adopted the 6 statement as her own. She can and should be held liable and responsible for that statement.

9 of the fact that Mr. Waldman reported her to law 10 enforcement in Los Angeles for perjury after her 11 allegations of abuse were made against Mr. Depp. 12 But I'll submit to you that all this proves is 13 that Mr. Waldman believes Ms. Heard has committed 14 perjury.

Ms. Heard tries to make something out

15 Mr. Rottenborn argued that the lack of 16 supporting evidence from Ms. Heard's allegations 17 of horrendous constant abuse is somehow not 18 something to be considered. But it is shameful 19 for you to consider the fact that she didn't 20 document all the terrible injuries that she claims 21 to have suffered. 22

That was a twisted argument. First,

1 would have been observable in the pictures we

2 looked at and by the witnesses we heard from and

would have required medical attention. That's it.

Ms. Heard testifies to injuries that multiple

people didn't see. What you have in the end is

Ms. Heard's word. Do you trust it?

We are not here because Ms. Heard told the world Mr. Depp is verbally abusive. This is not about the words used by Mr. Depp. We are here

10 because Ms. Heard told the world that Mr. Depp was

11 physically and sexually abusive. That's what

12 Ms. Heard was saying in the op-ed.

On May 27th, exactly six years ago 14 today, 2016, Ms. Heard walked into court with a

15 mark on her face to tell the world that her

16 husband was abusive. She renewed that falsehood 17 in her op-ed, describing herself as a public

18 figure representing domestic abuse. And she

19 published the op-ed, including the title, claiming

20 that she spoke up against sexual violence.

21 Everyone, everyone knew who she was talking about

22 when she used those words. She was alleging

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

l physical and sexual abuse. She was not alleging 2 emotional or psychological abuse. She was alleging physical and sexual abuse.

4

Ms. Heard cannot run away from her own 5 allegations now. You have all of the evidence of 6 what she alleged against Mr. Depp in 2016, and you 7 have all heard her incredibly dramatic, 8 over-the-top story of physical and sexual abuse.

9 That story was a defamatory lie. 10 Ms. Heard tried to argue that you only 11 need to find that Mr. Depp might have touched 12 Ms. Heard once in order to find in her favor 13 because that makes her a victim of abuse. But 14 common sense should tell you that you can't pick 15 and choose Ms. Heard's allegations. You saw her 16 on the stand. We all did. She gave the 17 performance of her life, telling story after story 18 of abuse. And not just any abuse, but 19 extravagant, over-the-top allegations of abuse 20 that would be truly brutal, horrific, if true. 21 You either believe all of it or you believe none 22 of it. Either she's telling the truth, including

1 in her most extreme allegations, or she's lying. 2 Either she was raped by a bottle or she's the sort 3 of person who would get on the stand in this courtroom and lie to you and the world about being raped. And if she would lie about that, what wouldn't she lie about? 6

You can't find that Mr. Depp hit her 8 once. Either he hit her countless times or you 9 can't believe a single word that comes out of her 10 mouth.

11 And what is the actual meaning of 12 Ms. Heard's op-ed? That's an important question. 13 It's not that Mr. Depp said a nasty word to her 14 once. It's not that Mr. Depp might have hit her 15 once. It's that she is a representative of 16 domestic abuse and by extension Mr. Depp is a 17 representative of abuse perpetrators. Words 18 matter.

19 Ms. Heard has shown you a lot of text 20 messages from Mr. Depp with some very vivid 21 language. As I told you at the start of this 22 trial, Mr. Depp has a unique style of writing. He

1 uses words I don't use, and you probably don't use 2 either. But as you also heard during this trial, 3 Mr. Depp writes in that way, in part, because he 4 modeled his writings on literary giants like 5 Hunter S. Thompson, and he's got a dark sense of 6 humor. It's not everyone's cup of tea, but it's

who he is. 18 In Mr. Depp's own text messages he 9 acknowledges that he said those things. And he 10 said things that he shouldn't have. But using bad 11 language and colorful humor does not mean that you 12 are a violent abuser and, ironically, as much as 13 Ms. Heard is trying to use Mr. Depp's words 14 against him, it is Ms. Heard who repeatedly 15 admitted to violence in her own words. You've 16 heard the tapes. You've heard her admit to 17 violence. Ms. Heard can try to distract you with 18 text messages showing that Mr. Depp uses bad 19 language and has a dark sense of humor. But none 20 of that, I submit to you ladies and gentlemen, 21 none of that is evidence of abuse. Hitting your 22 husband is evidence of abuse.

7955

7957

Mr. Rottenborn talked about the burden 2 of proof. Let's talk about that for a minute. 3 Mr. Depp only has to show that it is more likely 4 than not, more likely than not, that the

5 statements in the op-ed are false. That it is 6 more likely than not that they have a defamatory

implication; that it is more likely than not, that

8 Ms. Heard designed and intended the statements to refer to Mr. Depp. And the evidence on these

10 points is clear, Ms. Heard made these allegations 11 six years ago today, May 27th, 2016. She went out

12 of her way to make them public, tipping off TMZ. 13 When she published the op-ed she was reminding

14 everyone in Hollywood and the world of her abuse 15 claims, and those claims are a lie. Consider that 16 the true purpose of the article. Mr. Rottenborn

17 said it was to promote legislative reforms.

But what was it actually? The evidence 19 shows it was to promote Ms. Heard. It was timed 20 to be released at the same time as Aquaman on 21 December 21st, 2018. And it was timed to coincide 22 with her announcement of her ambassadorship at the

1 ACLU for women's right. That's not a coincidence. 2 It is designed that way. That is not a statement 3 about legislative reforms. This was about 4 furnishing Ms. Heard's reputation at the expense 5 of Mr. Depp's. It was about Ms. Heard continuing 6 to portray herself as a heroic survivor of abuse.

And on the question of actual malice, 8 that just means whether or not Ms. Heard knew the 9 allegations were false. Ms. Heard knows perfectly 10 well that she wasn't abused. She has direct 11 knowledge of that. She was in that relationship. 12 So actual malice is easily established.

You may have noticed that no one showed 14 up for Ms. Heard in this courtroom other than her 15 sister. Other than a witness who traveled to 16 Virginia for her as a paid expert. This is a 17 woman who burns bridges. Her close friends don't 18 show up for her.

Mr. Rottenborn tried to discredit 20 Mr. Depp's witnesses by suggesting they're all on 21 Mr. Depp's payroll so he double downed the hoax 22 theory, that everyone's just lying.

7960

7961

1 ground. What sort of person does that? 2 Definitely not someone that's afraid of him.

And Ms. Heard's attorney told the story 4 of May 21 that was completely, utterly 5 inconsistent with the testimonies of Officer Saenz 6 and Hadden, who testified, clearly, that they saw 7 no signs of injury, no sign of property damage. 8 And she told a story about how Officer Sadanaga.

9 I'll get that right, Sadanaga's testimony was also 10 wrong. She testified that the report was only

11 required in case of a crime.

12 Ms. Heard's attorneys tried to tell you 13 that Mr. Depp apologized on May 22nd because he 14 had hit Ms. Heard. Mr. Depp didn't apologize to 15 Ms. Heard on May 22nd for hitting her. He 16 apologized because he was leaving Ms. Heard. And 17 this was a woman, that in spite of all her 18 violence and all her rage, Mr. Depp, he loved her. 19 He had been with her for years. Of course he 20 apologized when he finally broke it off. 21 Ms. Bredehoft also tried to tell you

22 that Ms. Heard did everything in her power to keep

7959

First of all, that's not even true.

2 Keenan Wyatt isn't on his payroll, Ben King isn't 3 on his payroll, Officer Melissa Saenz isn't on his 4 payroll, Morgan Tremaine isn't on his payroll,

5 Officer Tyler Hadden, he's not on his payroll,

6 Beverly Leonard, Alejandro Romero, Brandon

7 Patterson, Morgan Night. None of those people are

8 on his payroll. And Kate Moss, Kate Moss is most definitely not on Mr. Depp's payroll.

Ms. Heard wants you to believe that all

11 these people were lying. Let's talk about the Boston plane. 13 Ms. Heard wants you to believe that the recording 14 you heard is from the Boston plane flight. But 15 that's quite telling. What did Ms. Heard capture 16 of this supposed rampage? Mr. Depp moaning in 17 distress? That's what she chose to record. What 18 sort of person records something like that. What 19 sort of person takes pictures of their husband or 20 boyfriend or fiancé, who is struggling with 21 sobriety, nodding off with ice cream dipping down

22 his leg, his hand in his pocket. Or asleep on the

1 the authorities away and to not get Mr. Depp in 2 trouble on May 21st, 2016.

3 Take a minute and think back to what Ms. Heard did six days later, six years ago today.

She walked into court with a visible mark on her

6 face, not wearing makeup that day, she tipped off

7 TMZ, she made it public and she showed up on the

8 cover of People magazine with a mark on her face.

9 Is that protecting Mr. Depp or was she trying to 10 destroy him?

11 Mr. Rottenborn asked you to consider 12 why you're here. So why are you here? You're 13 here because of a lie. And that was the lie that 14 Ms. Heard repeated in the op-ed.

15 At the start of this trial, we told you 16 that this trial was about the evidence. The 17 evidence overwhelmingly shows that Ms. Heard is an 18 abuser and that she is a liar. She lied about 19 Mr. Depp and took on the role of a lifetime as a 20 public figure representing domestic abuse.

What is her best evidence of that 22 abuse? A video of Mr. Depp flinging cabinets

```
1 around and text messages of Mr. Depp using bad
2 words and dark, ugly humor. But never once
3 admitting to abuse. Pictures of Mr. Depp
4 sleeping. That's her best evidence.
         Ms. Heard, herself, Ms. Heard held
```

6 herself out to the world as a representative of abused survivors everywhere. The face of the 8 #MeToo movement. This is not a Me Too situation. 9 There are no Me Toos. Just not Mes.

Ms. Heard does not deserve to be known 11 as a representative of survivors of abuse. And 12 Mr. Depp does not deserve to be known as a 13 representative of perpetrators of abuse. That is 14 what this case is about. It's not about money. 15 It's about giving Mr. Depp his life back, six 16 years ago when she took it away.

While you deliberate, ask yourselves 18 why Mr. Depp would put himself through this, 19 expose every embarrassing detail of his life on 20 national television, if he was guilty of anything, 21 anything, that Ms. Heard accuses him of.

22 We ask you, we implore you to render a

1 verdict for Mr. Depp. We ask you to set the

2 record straight, that he is not the abuser she 3 described and that she is not the heroic survivor 4 she portrayed. And we ask you to tell Ms. Heard

5 that what she did was wrong.

Thank you very much, ladies and 6 gentlemen.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, 9 ma'am. Rebuttal closing.

10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes, Your Honor.

11 Ladies and gentlemen, it's interesting 12 that in both their opening and their rebuttal 13 closing that you heard Mr. Depp's attorneys 14 address none of Ms. Heard's witnesses. None of 15 Ms. Heard's witnesses. They listed their own, who 16 didn't see -- who are on his payroll, weren't 17 there behind closed doors with them. But they 18 didn't address any of the witnesses, for example,

19 Josh Drew, Rocky Pennington, Liz Marz, who were 20 all there on May 21st, Melanie Inglessis, who

21 covered Ms. Heard's bruises. 22

They say that no one showed up for her.

1 No one showed up for her, but then they say that these people aren't friends anymore. If they're not friends anymore, then why would they be doing what they would suggest or lying for her? Why would they be corroborating everything that she savs?

It's this simple: If you believe that

8 Depp was abusive to Amber one time ever, in any of 9 the various forms of abuse, not only physical, 10 verbal, emotional, psychological, sexual, any of 11 the ways of abuse, then your job is very easy. 12 And you cannot only deny Mr. Depp's claim, but you 13 can find for Amber on her counterclaim. And it's interesting that Ms. Vasquez 15 just changed their theory, after six weeks, she 16 said, oh, well, domestic abuse just means physical 17 abuse. That's not what Mr. Depp said. That's not 18 what Dr. Curry said. That's not what Dr. Hughes 19 said. It's not what you know to be true. You 20 know that the evidence that you've seen 21 constitutes all sorts of abuse. And there's a

7965

1 from those sorts of abuse, because they know that he did it.

22 reason that they're running as fast as they can

3 Now, the suggestion that Amber's abuse 4 allegations are a hoax is vicious and vile.

Mr. Depp can say whatever he wants now, but he can't change the evidence that you've seen at the

trial. And the evidence shows that Ms. Heard did

not commit abuse hoaxes; not about sexual

violence, not about May 21st, 2016, and certainly 10 not about Mr. Depp or Mr. Waldman's catchall

11 all-purpose statement that Ms. Heard's "abuse

12 hoax" would suggest that every one of her

13 allegations are false. But that's coming to an 14 end.

15 The evidence shows she did not commit 16 any of those "hoaxes." The evidence shows that 17 she was abused exactly how, not only she, but her 18 witnesses supporting her claims say that she was, 19 and their witness, even, who claim that Mr. Depp 20 abused her.

Ms. Vasquez talked about actual malice. 22 She said because Mr. Waldman was acting as

7969

1 Mr. Depp's agent, you have to look at Mr. Waldman.
2 They're standing in the shoes of one another. And
3 as Mr. Depp's agent, Mr. Depp's malice is

4 Mr. Waldman's malice. Mr. Depp's malice is
5 Mr. Waldman's malice. He acted with actual malice
6 when he made these statements.

Now, after years and years of Mr. Depp
controlling the roles that Ms. Heard took,
Ms. Heard had the biggest hit of her career three
nonths before she was sued. She had withstood
Mr. Depp's attempt to have her fired from Aquaman,
and his own jealousy as his career went down the
drain before the op-ed, for reasons that had
hothing to do with Ms. Heard. But once the

15 lawsuit against Ms. Heard was filed, his campaign 16 that he promised to destroy her entered a new

17 phase. And then it reached a crescendo when 18 Mr. Depp and Mr. Waldman decided to meet with the

19 Daily Mail together and decided to plant

20 statements that were defamatory of Ms. Heard in 21 the spring of 2020, leading up to the U.K. trial.

22 You've heard Amber and her agent,

1 Jessica Kovacevic, talk about the impact to

suffer in silence. Stand up for the freedom ofspeech, the freedom to speak about your life that

the First Amendment protects.

4 Give Amber Heard her voice back. Give 5 Amber Heard her life back.

6 Thank you so much for your service on 7 this jury.

8 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rottenborn.

9 Members of the jury, this is an 10 important case to both the plaintiff and the 11 defendant. In order to reach a verdict, each of 12 you must agree on that verdict. In other words, 13 your verdict must be unanimous.

The first thing you should do when you 15 go back to the jury room is to select a presiding 16 juror. The role of the presiding juror is to see 17 to it that the deliberations are conducted in an 18 orderly and businesslike manner; that they are 19 conducted only in the jury room, when all the 20 members of the jury are present, and that each 21 juror has the opportunity to fully and fairly 22 express his or her views.

7967

196

It is rarely helpful for jurors, upon

2 first entering the jury room, to take a straw vote

3 or for any juror to make an emphatic expression of

4 his or her opinion in the case, or to announce a

5 determination to stand for a certain verdict. To

6 do so before all jurors have had an opportunity to

7 consult with one another and exchange views and

8 opinions might allow your sense of pride to

9 prevent you from retreating from an announced

10 position, even when shown that such position is

You have a duty to consult with one 13 another and to deliberate with the objective of 14 reaching an agreement, if it can be done without 15 offending your individual judgment.

16 Each of you must decide this case for 17 yourself, but only after an impartial 18 consideration of the evidence with your fellow 19 jurors.

20 In the course of deliberations, a juror 21 should not hesitate to reexamine his or her views 22 and change his or her opinion if convinced it is

Amber's career. She can't get hired because of 3 the negative treatment she gets. Studios like her, co-stars like her, she tests well, but she can't get opportunities because of the negativity 6 associated with Mr. Depp and Mr. Waldman. You heard Amber Heard on the stand 8 yesterday telling you exactly what she has 9 experienced as a result of Mr. Depp's promise to 10 bring her global humiliation. That promise, to 11 paraphrase Kathryn Arnold, Ms. Heard's damages 12 expert, that promise was a spark. And that 13 promise he kept because he had told her again and 14 again the only way out of this is death. And when 15 she chose a different path out of it, he decided 16 to make that promise. He decided to throw that 17 spark. And when Mr. Waldman became involved, that

20 We ask, ladies and gentlemen, that you 21 hold Mr. Depp accountable for his actions. Stand 22 up for victims of domestic abuse everywhere who

18 spark became a forest fire. That forest fire has

19 continued to this day.

1 an error. However, none of you should surrender 2 your honest conviction as to the weight, or effect 3 of the evidence solely because of the opinion of 4 your fellow jurors, or for the mere purpose of 5 returning a verdict.

In the jury room, you will have the 7 exhibits that were entered into evidence, you will 8 also have the instructions of law that I just read 9 to you, and you will have the verdict forms. You 10 will have a laptop, and that laptop will have just 11 two files on it, and Deputy Halusa will set it up 12 for you in the jury room. One is the plaintiff's 13 files for audio and video files, and one is the 14 defendant's files for audio and video files. And 15 you'll be able to hear and watch those as well, 16 the ones that were submitted into evidence.

17 During your deliberations, you are not 18 to communicate or provide any information to 19 anyone who is not a member of this jury by any 20 means about this case.

You may not use any electronic device 22 or media to conduct any independent research about

1 this case, any of the factual issues in the case, 2 and the individuals or attorneys involved in the

3 case. You are not permitted to consult

4 dictionaries or reference material, search the

5 Internet, visit websites or blogs, or use any

6 other tools to obtain information about this case, 7 or to help you decide the case.

Do not try to find out information from 9 any source outside of the testimony and the 10 exhibits received as evidence during the trial.

11 You will also have -- I know the 12 attorneys discussed briefly about the verdict 13 forms. You'll have two sets of verdict forms, one 14 for Mr. Depp's case and one for Ms. Heard's case, 15 okay?

16 Now, when you have these verdict forms, 17 the first three pages of both verdict forms have 18 each of the statements separately, okay?

19 So when you're going through it, you're 20 going to look at each statement separately and 21 answer the questions that are on the page, okay? 22

Now if you come to -- if you decide yes

1 for every question for a statement, then that's

2 when you go to the last page of the verdict form,

which is for damages. So, for example, if you

4 find yes for all of statement 1, then you could go 5

to 4 and 5 for damages.

6 If you find yes for all of statement 1 and statement 2, you still go to 4 and 5 for damages.

9 If you say yes for statement 1, 10 statement 2, and statement 3, you obviously go to 114 and 5 for damage.

If you answer no on any of the pages 12 13 for any of the statements, you don't go to 4 and 145, okay? So that will be for all of them.

15 And when you do come to a verdict, make 16 sure the foreperson signs it and dates it, and 17 then just let the deputy know about that, okay?

18 Now, for the tough part. You may 19 remember at the beginning of this case I told you 20 we would randomly select four people to be the 21 alternates, okay? We still have two alternates 22 left, and the numbers were placed in an envelope

1 in the very beginning of the case.

So let me just say, before I release 3 the alternates, that I know that this trial has 4 been a huge sacrifice for all of you, and I have taken -- and you've taken away from your life for 6 weeks on end here. And I know I speak for all of 7 us associated with the case, and I want to thank you for your service in this matter. I know how 9 hard it is to come this far and then not get a 10 chance to deliberate. But that does not lesson 11 the respect and admiration that we have for you.

12 As an alternate you do deliberate, but 13 you are still under order of this court not to do 14 any outside research in this case or to talk to 15 anyone about this case at this time, and you're 16 still under your oath as a juror. That is because 17 if something happens to a juror during 18 deliberations, I will call you back for service, 19 and you will join the deliberations and they'll 20 start again, and you'll be part of the jury. So 21 you are under these restrictions and your oath 22 until a verdict is reached. We will contact you

```
7976
1 once this has occurred and then you will be free
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your staff and your
2 from your obligations, okay? But, again, thank
                                                          deputies have been absolutely phenomenal all the
3 you for your service, all right?
                                                          way through, just outstanding with everything. We
         So in this matter, the alternate jurors
                                                       4 really appreciate them and we appreciate Your
5 are Juror Number 3 and 14. All right?
                                                         Honor as well.
         So, at this time, those jurors are
                                                       6
                                                                 THE COURT: All right.
7 excused. And, also, the remaining jurors can
                                                                 MR. CHEW: That is one thing on which
8 retire now to the jury room to begin their
                                                          Ms. Bredehoft and we respectfully dis -- agree,
                                                          completely. Completely agree.
9 deliberations, okay? So thank you all, okay?
         (Whereupon, the jury exited the
                                                        10
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay. I'm glad on the last
11 courtroom and the following proceedings took
                                                       11 day we finally have an agreement.
12 place.)
                                                                 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                        12
13
         THE COURT: All right. It is out of my
                                                       13 We're very grateful. Thank you.
14 hands.
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: One matter, if we can
15
         I have a few notes first, okay?
                                                        15 approach.
16
         Please make sure Jamie knows where you
                                                        16
                                                                 THE COURT: You want to approach?
17 want to be contacted for any jury questions we
                                                        17 Sure. Just for old times' sake.
18 have or when there's a verdict.
                                                        18
                                                                 (Sidebar.)
          When there is a verdict, I'll give you
                                                        19
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: I might have misheard
20 at least an hour lead time. It will always be at
                                                       20 it, but I thought at the end of your
21 the top of the hour. So, for example, if I get
                                                        21 explanation -- of Your Honor's explanation of the
22 the verdict at 10:20 in the morning, it wouldn't
                                                       22 verdict form, that I thought Your Honor said that
                                                 7975
                                                                                                       7977
                                                        1 if they find --
1 be read until noon. So it is always going to be
2 the top of the hour, at least 60 minutes later, if
                                                       2
                                                                 THE COURT: No.
                                                       3
3 that makes sense. That gives you time.
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: -- no on any of the --
         I would ask that at least one attorney
                                                                 THE COURT: Any of the pages. If you
5 is close enough, within a ten-minute range, for
                                                          put a no on a page, you don't get to damages on
  any questions. I'd appreciate that, okay?
                                                          that particular page.
         And I know some of the attorneys are
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: As long as Your
8 going to be leaving, so I just wanted to thank all
                                                       8 Honor -- it just was still confusing to me, but
9 of you for your professionalism and your hard work
                                                       9 the important thing is if Your Honor's on it.
10 during this case. It's much easier being a judge
                                                        10
                                                                 THE COURT: We're on it. We're good.
                                                        11
11 when you have excellent trial attorneys in front
                                                                 MR. CHEW: Thank you very much.
                                                        12
12 of you. It does make it easier. And I just want
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: Really, thank you.
13 to thank the attorneys and litigants for the
                                                        13 Yes, really, really thank you. We do really,
14 kindness and the great demeanor you've shown to my 14 really thank you.
15 staff and to the courthouse staff and to the
                                                                 THE COURT: All right. If we hear
16 deputies and the sheriff department. I really
                                                        16 anything, we'll let you know, okay? All right,
17 appreciate it. It means a great deal to me, okay?
                                                        17 thank you.
                                                                 THE BAILIFF: All rise.
         And I also appreciate Judy, because
                                                        18
19 she's a rock star.
                                                        19
                                                                 (Recess taken from 2:59 p.m. to
20
         Yes.
                                                       205:30 p.m.)
21
         (Standing ovation.)
                                                       21
                                                                 THE BAILIFF: All rise.
22
         THE COURT: Well, that's a first, okay.
                                                       22
                                                                 THE COURT: Since a long weekend let's
```

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 25 Conducted on May 27, 2022

Conducted or	n May 27, 2022
7978	7980
1 bring them in to send them home, okay?	1 5:41 p.m. to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., Tuesday, May
2 (Whereupon, the jury entered the	2 31, 2022.)
3 courtroom and the following proceedings took	3
4 place.)	4
5 THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and	5
6 gentlemen. Since a long weekend you can sit	6
7 down, please.	7
8 Since it's a long weekend for three	8
9 days, I just want to come back in here and remind	9
10 you of your responsibilities, which are extremely	10
11 important, especially now that you are in the	11
12 deliberation phase, okay?	12
So just to remind you, that you are not	13
14 to read anything about this case; you are not to	14
15 watch anything about this case; you are not to	15
16 listen to anything about this case; this applies	16
17 to television, newspapers, magazines, Internet,	17
18 and any online sites.	18
In addition, you are not to read, watch	19
20 or listen to anything about the case on social	20
21 media and the sites, any blogs or similar sites.	21
In addition, you must not communicate	22
7979	7981
1 with anyone about the case, whether in person or	1 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER
2 over the phone, by email, text, or instant	2 I, JUDITH E. BELLINGER, RPR, CRR, the
3 messaging, or by any other electronic or	3 court reporter before whom the foregoing hearing
4 nonelectronic means, this concludes your friends,	4 was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5 families, co-workers, acquaintances and strangers.	5 excerpt transcript is a true and correct record of
6 I also instruct you, you cannot do any	6 the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken
7 research or make any inquiry about this case,	7 by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
8 whether online or by any other means.	8 typewriting under my direction; and that I am
9 All right. So have a good weekend and	9 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
10 peaceful weekend for three days. And we'll come	10 any of the parties to this case and have no
11 back at 9:00; is that correct?	11 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
12 All right. We'll see you at 9:00 a.m.	12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
13 at that time. You can go straight into	13 my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 29th day
14 deliberations at that time. I won't bring you	14 of May, 2022.
15 back in here for that time, okay? Thank you.	15 My Commission Expires: September 30, 2024
16 (Whereupon, the jury exited the	16
17 courtroom and the following proceedings took	17 Quelith & Bullion
18 place.)	18 Quolith E. Bellinger
19 THE COURT: Enjoy your weekend. And	19 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
20 just be close by at 9:00 on Tuesday.	20 THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
21 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	21
22 (Whereupon, the trial was recessed at	22
	<u> </u>